1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA
2	**************************************
3	
4	IN RE: CHINESE-MANUFACTURED Docket No. 09-MD-2047 DRYWALL PRODUCTS LIABILITY New Orleans, Louisiana Tuesday, August 11, 2009
5	idesday, August II, 2009
6	********************
7	
8	TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS HEARD BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELDON E. FALLON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9	01.2122 0111201 00202
10	APPEARANCES:
11	
12	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: HERMAN, HERMAN, KATZ & COTLAR BY: RUSS M. HERMAN, ESQ. 820 O'Keefe Avenue
13	New Orleans, LA 70130
14	FOR THE DEFENDANT: FRILOT L.L.C.
15	BY: KERRY J. MILER, ESQ. Energy Centre - Suite 3700
16	1100 Poydras Street New Orleans, LA 70163-3700
17	New Offeans, LA 70103 3700
18	
19	Official Court Reporter: Karen A. Ibos, CCR, RPR, CRR 500 Poydras Street, Room HB-406
20	New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 (504) 589-7776
21	
22	
23	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer.
24	
25	

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (TUESDAY, AUGUST 22, 2009) 3 (STATUS CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS) 19:02:34 THE COURT: Be seated, please. Good morning, ladies and)9:02:34 5 gentlemen. Call the case, please.)9:02:36 6 09:02:38 7 THE DEPUTY CLERK: MDL No. 2047, in re: Chinese Manufactured Drywall Products.)9:02:41 8 THE COURT: Liaison counsel make their appearance for the)9:02:44 9 09:02:46 10 record, please. 09:02:47 11 MR. HERMAN: Good morning, Judge Fallon, Russ Herman for 09:02:50 12 plaintiffs in MDL 2047. 09:02:53 13 MR. MILLER: Kerry Miller for defendants, your Honor. THE COURT: I am pleased to advise that we have several 19:02:55 14 09:02:58 15 judges on the line: Judge Joseph Farina from Miami, Eleventh 09:03:04 16 Judicial District; Judge Robert Rosenberg from Fort Lauderdale, 09:03:08 17 Seventeenth Judicial District; and Judge Glenn Kelly, West Palm 09:03:14 18 Beach, Fifteenth Judicial District. 09:03:15 19 Gentlemen, I appreciate your being with us today. I am 09:03:18 20 going to look forward to working with you as a team, and hopefully together we can work on this matter. 19:03:24 21 09:03:26 22 I met with liaison counsel to talk about the agenda. 19:03:35 23 I'll take them in the order as it's given.

MR. HERMAN: May it please the court, good morning, your

First, Pretrial Orders.

09:03:38 24

Honor, and good morning your Honors.

1

3

5

09:03:42

)9:03:50

19:03:57

)9:04:05

)9:04:13 6

09:04:19 7

)9:04:23 8

)9:04:29 9

09:04:30 10

09:04:36 11

09:04:43 12

09:04:48 13

09:04:52 14

09:04:58 15

09:05:03 16

09:05:06 17

09:05:09 18

09:05:13 19

09:05:16 20

09:05:19 21

09:05:20 22

09:05:21 23

09:05:24 24

09:05:28 25

09:03:43 2

With respect to the status conference this morning, Item No. 2, Property Inspections, we've had numerous meetings with defense counsel. We're in progress, we expect to provide to the court for review no later than Thursday of this week an agreed to protocol for inspection and to get it to the five or six companies that were interviewed mutually by plaintiffs and defendants on Friday and ask them to please expedite their review and get back to us.

We plan to agree on a discrete number of initial inspections that will move rapidly, probably between 30 and 50 with your Honor's approval. And then look at whether the protocol is working and whether the company that's been contracted is doing the job. We will make sure that the company mutually selected or companies mutually selected are available to meet with your Honor on accord with your schedule before the inspections begin.

MR. MILLER: Your Honor, I think that's all correct. With respect to the inspection companies, just a few more details on what Mr. Herman had to say.

THE COURT: Speak into the mike so the judges can hear you.

MR. MILLER: I think the parties jointly believe that the inspection company needs to be court approved so whereby the parties may make suggestions to the court. Ultimately the inspection company needs to be court approved and we anticipate

3

4

5

09:05:34

)9:05:35

)9:05:40

)9:05:45

)9:05:50

09:05:55 7

09:06:00 8

09:06:07

09:06:12 10

09:06:18 11

09:06:22 12

09:06:26 13

09:06:30 14

09:06:36 15

09:06:41 16

09:06:46 17

09:06:51 18

09:06:58 19

09:07:03 20

09:07:09 21

09:07:16 22

09:07:22 23

09:07:27 24

09:07:30 25

)9:05:34 2

inserting the name of the inspection company into the pretrial order that's going to govern the inspections.

THE COURT: I've had several meetings with the parties on this issue. My feeling is at this point I think all would profit by understanding the identification of the issues and the parties involved. I think we need a threshold inspection protocol to determine whether or not the property in question contains Chinese manufactured drywall; secondly, the name of the manufacturer, distributor and installer of that particular drywall; third, the nature and extent, if any, of the impact on the surrounding property. And that's the thrust of this initial inspection.

I need a protocol by the end of this week. I will, of course, forward it to the state judges for their inspection and input. And also when you give me the names of the inspectors, I'll also send it to my colleagues in state court for their input.

I think this case is going to profit from understanding and getting our hands around as quickly as possible what we're dealing with. We need to know whether or not a particular property has within it Chinese manufactured drywall. And if so, the extent of that drywall. It seems that this drywall has also been sold together with non-Chinese drywall. So not all homes that have some Chinese drywall, have all of the drywall is Chinese manufactured. We need to know that, we need to know how much is involved, we need to know who manufactured it, we need to know who distributed it, we need to know who installed it, and also we need to know at least

visually the nature and extent of the collateral damage.

1

3

4

09:07:34

09:07:43

)9:07:47

)9:07:54

)9:07:58 6

09:08:04 7

)9:08:07 8

09:08:13 9

)9:08:15 10

09:08:22 11

09:08:36 12

09:08:36 13

09:08:37 14

19:08:40 15

09:08:44 16

09:08:51 17

09:08:53 18

09:08:58 19

09:09:04 20

09:09:08 21

09:09:11 22

09:09:12 23

09:09:15 24

09:09:20 25

)9:07:39 2

So once that is ascertained, and I would hope that that could be ascertained within 30 or 60 days, I am looking for an initial read of 25, 30 homes, take a look at the protocol, you may have to tweak it at that time, and then let's step it out to 1,500, 2,000 homes throughout the country. And then from that group, both the federal court, as well as the state courts may find it advisable to select some cases and try those particular cases. At least that's my thought presently.

In addition, I asked counsel to get together and give to us a plaintiff and defendant profile form. The traditional method, as all of us know, is to draft a large set of interrogatories and serve those interrogatories and wait for 30 days and then you get them back and you deal with them back and forth. We don't have that time in this particular case, so we have to shortcut that and deal with profile forms instead of detailed sets of interrogatories. Doesn't mean that you can't file interrogatories later, it simply means that there's some information that you need now and we can't wait 30 and 60 days to get that information. So I've instructed counsel to get together and prepare profile forms.

Let me hear from the parties on the progress of those profile forms.

MR. HERMAN: May it please the court, your Honor, we've had a number of meetings. We expect to have more meetings as soon as this status conference is concluded and to send a representative

3

4

5

)9:09:24

)9:09:34

19:09:36

09:09:39

)9:09:45 6

09:09:49 7

)9:09:52 8

)9:09:56 9

09:10:03 10

09:10:06 11

09:10:10 12

09:10:13 13

09:10:16 14

09:10:20 15

09:10:24 16

09:10:26 17

09:10:28 18

09:10:32 19

09:10:35 20

09:10:38 21

09:10:40 22

09:10:42 23

09:10:45 24

09:10:48 25

19:09:30 2

from plaintiffs and whomever liaison counsel for defense selects with a profile forms for you to review. And if your Honor is satisfied with them to enter an order.

We have one issue outstanding, we expect that we're going to resolve it very shortly as soon as this is concluded. We're well aware your Honor is in trial, and so we will try not to interrupt your Honor while you're conducting other business.

I will say this, there was some dispute as to who was going to pay, how they were going to pay. The PSC, Plaintiffs Steering Committee met last night, and we met with some of our cochairs, we are going to pay the initial cost of the 25 inspections. That does not mean that we are not going to seek reimbursement at some point, it doesn't mean that we agree for the next thousand that we're going to pay. But in order to get this show on the road, the plaintiffs are going to pick up the costs of the inspections, whatever they are.

THE COURT: Okay. With regard to the profile forms, let me have those by the end of the week in final form. So I'll put that out in an order and we can distribute the profile forms and we'll get them back as quickly as possible.

MR. MILLER: Judge, Kerry Miller on behalf of the defendants. On the issue of the profile forms, just one supplemental point to what Mr. Herman had to say.

I think we are close to finalizing those documents, I have every confidence in the world they will be finalized in the

next day or so. I think in the course of our discussions yesterday there was an agreement that once they are finalized, submitted to the court for entry, that as part of the court's order authorizing the issuance of those profile forms that the return date would be 15 days.

THE COURT: Right.

1

3

5

)9:10:56

)9:10:57

09:11:06

)9:10:56 2

19:11:03 4

09:11:07 6

09:11:08 7

)9:11:10 8

)9:11:13 9

)9:11:16 10

09:11:19 11

09:11:22 12

09:11:25 13

09:11:29 14

09:11:33 15

09:11:35 16

09:11:38 17

09:11:43 18

09:11:45 19

09:11:48 20

09:11:50 21

09:11:53 22

)9:11:57 23

09:12:01 24

09:12:05 25

MR. MILLER: Because we are going to try to move this fast, get those profile forms answered quickly. We understand from plaintiffs and defendants who come in subsequent to that it's going to be a rolling production. But for the group that's here right now, 15 days is what we're looking for to get the responses in.

THE COURT: And that dovetails in with the inspections because I think the profile forms will help you understand from the defendant's standpoint what the complaints are so you will be able to at least be aware of those when you do the inspections.

MR. MILLER: In terms of what the court identified as the main issues for the thrust of discovery, the one, the two, and the three, it's the profile forms and the inspections together in agreement that's hopefully going to answer those questions.

THE COURT: I think that's right. Also while you're doing the inspections you're going to come across some property, obviously, and some samples will be taken. The issue of what to do with those samples is the next item on the agenda, the Preservation Order. This type of material you need to talk to the people to find out how you store it. You can't stick it in a plastic bag,

you can't put it in your pocket and expect that the chain of 1 custody will be maintained. 09:12:14 2

09:12:10

)9:12:17

19:12:25

)9:12:30

)9:12:35 6

09:12:36 7

)9:12:40 8

09:12:44 9

09:12:48 10

09:12:55 11

09:12:58 12

09:13:03 13

09:13:05 14

09:13:08 15

09:13:12 16

09:13:14 17

09:13:14 18

09:13:19 19

09:13:30 20

09:13:30 21

09:13:30 22

09:13:32 23

09:13:36 24

09:13:42 25

3

4

5

So we need to deal with a preservation order and get that to me so I can send it to the state judges and hopefully that same chain of custody will satisfy their requirements as it will for the federal requirements.

You won't have to go back in and take other samples, the samples will be valid samples -- I am not saying you have to test them now, that may come later, but the samples will be validly taken, validly stored, and then able to be tested at another time. But the chain of custody is what I am focussing on with the preservation order. Anything on that?

MR. HERMAN: We've exchanged three different versions of a preservation order and we're continuing to work on it, your Honor. We'll have an order to you certainly before inspections begin.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, the next issue I believe on your Honor's agenda is No. 5 which would be State Court Trial Settings.

THE COURT: Yes, right. Anything on that from either the plaintiffs or defendants?

MR. HERMAN: From plaintiffs we will attempt to track removals and remands, your Honor, and I believe the defendants will advise, as is customary, at each status conference the trial settings in state and federal court, as well as motions that are

pending.

1

3

)9:13:48

)9:13:53

09:14:07

)9:13:50 2

19:14:01 4

)9:14:09 6

)9:14:15 7

)9:14:19 8

)9:14:24 9

)9:14:27 10

09:14:30 11

09:14:34 12

09:14:42 13

09:14:48 14

)9:14:55 15

09:15:01 16

09:15:05 17

09:15:12 18

09:15:16 19

09:15:21 20

09:15:23 21

)9:15:27 22

09:15:35 23

09:15:39 24

09:15:46 25

And, your Honor, as I understand it, your Honor is considering a period of time in which no motions will either be filed or heard.

THE COURT: Two points on that. The reason that I need to know about the state court settings is so that I am not inconsistent with their settings. I don't want to set a trial on a date that they have a trial set, so I want to be conscious of that. And if they have something coming up, I need to know it so I don't get in the way of it. That's the reason for that.

With respect to motions, my thinking with motions is that we've got to stop everything, the motions initially, just to get a handle on it. In this case we've got several thousand of claims that we know about and maybe many thousands more. We can't have thousands of motions being filed with people having to respond to the motions within a certain period of time or have their rights prejudiced. So I am going to be issuing an order freezing motions and then I am going to instruct the parties to get together a list of motions for me, prioritize the motions, and then I'll set a briefing schedule on those motions.

I need them to direct their attention on the key motions as opposed to being all over the place. So we're going to get to the motions and we're going to get to them expeditiously, but I need to focus on the key motions first rather than motions that can wait for a week or two.

)9:15:48

)9:15:58

09:16:04

)9:16:07

)9:16:19 6

)9:16:24 7

)9:16:29 8

)9:16:35 9

09:16:40 10

09:16:44 11

09:16:52 12

09:16:55 13

09:16:57 14

09:17:03 15

09:17:05 16

09:17:10 17

09:17:14 18

09:17:18 19

09:17:24 20

09:17:26 21

09:17:33 22

09:17:41 23

09:17:46 24

)9:17:52 25

)9:15:54 2

1

3

4

The State/Federal Coordination. My thinking there is that I talked to liaison counsel for both sides, lead counsel for both sides to suggest to them that they could get together for me some names of individuals who are involved in the state court proceedings and give me some input on a committee to appoint for state court liaison purposes, and I'd like the committee to feel free to come to every meeting, at least their chairs if not the whole committee, the state court liaison committee, and I want to hear from them as to what their needs are, what their problems are, that we can deal with them from the federal vantage point. So I will be hearing from counsel before the next meeting on that, on that issue.

THE COURT: Discovery Issues is the next item.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, with respect to coordination, we understand that there are a number of defense counsel that have signed up and haven't entered an appearance, and plaintiffs would not object to, if they are required to enter an appearance, would not object to your Honor considering that they don't have to file responsive pleadings at this point but at least we would know which party is represented by whom.

THE COURT: I am going to have to act on that, folks. My problem is is that I need to know who is in the litigation, and from that standpoint the people who have been sued and who have been served, those individuals have to make some appearance. And if they don't make the appearance, I am going to instruct the

3

5

)9:17:58

09:18:05

)9:18:18

09:18:02 2

19:18:11 4

)9:18:23 6

09:18:31 7

)9:18:34 8

)9:18:36 9

09:18:38 10

09:18:41 11

09:18:46 12

09:18:50 13

09:18:54 14

09:18:59 15

09:19:02 16

09:19:06 17

09:19:08 18

09:19:11 19

09:19:13 20

09:19:16 21

09:19:19 22

09:19:23 23

09:19:24 24

)9:19:27 25

plaintiffs who have sued them to file with me a motion for default judgment and I will have to act on that.

So I need to have some appearance by those individuals, and I need that done before the next meeting, either file a motion for default, I'm talking about individuals who are defendants who have been served who have not answered yet. It's time at least for them to make an appearance.

Any matters on Discovery Issues?

MR. MILLER: Your Honor, on that very point, on making the appearance by all defendants who have been served in the various MDL cases. Just as a point of reference, in Pre-Trial Order No. 1 entered by your Honor back in July, section 8 is titled Extension and Stay, and that's what provides, at least at this point an unlimited extension of time to even file a notice of appearance. So that would be the section that needs to be modified and get the parties who have been served at least file an appearance in the litigation so we know who they are.

As we work on these discovery issues and progress on profile forms and inspection sheets, if you're in the case, the parties jointly think, at least at this point, you need to get those profile forms, you need to be involved in the inspections, and you need to move forward. So we think it's critical that that paragraph get modified.

THE COURT: I just modified it, I verbally modified it.

It will go into my minute entry, that's already been done two

minutes ago.

1

)9:19:35

)9:19:35 2

)9:19:35 3

19:19:43 4

)9:19:52 6

)9:19:57 7

)9:20:07 8

)9:20:13 9

09:20:20 10

09:20:24 11

09:20:28 12

09:20:31 13

09:20:35 14

09:20:38 15

09:20:42 16

09:20:47 17

09:20:50 18

09:20:54 19

09:20:58 20

09:21:03 21

09:21:07 22

09:21:08 23

09:21:11 24

)9:21:17 25

)9:19:50

MR. HERMAN: May it please the court, with the next item on your agenda, Item No. 7, Discovery Issues, we are drafting, plaintiffs are drafting a master set of discovery and we should be ready to file that within the next two weeks.

We will be filing within the next two weeks a motion and brief for the conduct of early 30(b)(6) depositions. And we will give defendant liaison counsel a copy of any discovery we intend to initiate before it's filed so that we can meet and confer, and hopefully, your Honor, by the time we meet next in a status conference, that issue will either be ripe for your Honor's consideration or it will be resolved.

THE COURT: Let me comment on that. On both sides from discovery, before you file discovery, I need you to file it with each other or send it to each other in draft form and meet and confer on it. You don't have to agree, but at least you ought to meet and confer on it. And then after you've met and conferred, put it in final form and send it to the court. That's not going to delay it because I don't want you to meet and confer and get together in a week or two. When you send it in draft form, meet within an hour or two and talk about it and then file it with the court.

But meet and confer before you file discovery matters with the court so that I'll know that whatever the disagreement is you've at least tried to resolve it first.

Next item is the FOIA Issues.

)9:21:21

)9:21:29

)9:21:53

09:21:26 2

19:21:33 4

)9:22:03 6

09:22:10 7

)9:22:11 8

)9:22:13 9

09:22:20 10

09:22:23 11

09:22:27 12

09:22:29 13

09:22:32 14

09:22:37 15

09:22:48 16

09:22:48 17

09:22:52 18

09:22:59 19

09:23:09 20

09:23:11 21

09:23:15 22

09:23:19 23

09:23:27 24

09:23:34 25

3

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, I apologize, I've made an error in connection with the status report. It should have included your Honor's web site, www.laed.uscourts.gov/drywall/drywall.htm. Let me repeat it, www.laed.uscourts.gov/drywall/drywall.htm, which then would allow any interested party to access your conferences and your rulings.

THE COURT: Right. And a shortcut is the www.laed.uscourts.gov. When you pull the court's web site for the Eastern District, you'll see on the left-hand side a number of buttons and click on the Drywall button and I'll come up that way, too.

In that connection, I'll post everything on there for everyone who is interested in this litigation, whether it's litigants or lawyers or anyone else public, they have access to it. You can keep in touch with it, I have current events on it, I have all of the orders, all of my opinions will be posted on it. Even the transcripts from these hearings in due course will be posted on that website.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, under the Freedom of Information Act, plaintiffs have filed a number of requests to various governmental agencies, both federal and state, and will be filing more. We have not had a problem in terms of return at this juncture. In the event we do have a problem, we will report to the court by the next status conference and if necessary ask the court

to assist in having FOIA returns.

1

3

4

)9:23:40

)9:23:48

19:23:52

)9:23:58

)9:24:01 6

09:24:05 7

)9:24:05 8

09:24:08 9

09:24:16 10

09:24:20 11

09:24:25 12

09:24:27 13

09:24:30 14

09:24:32 15

09:24:35 16

09:24:39 17

09:24:45 18

09:24:53 19

)9:24:57 20

09:25:04 21

09:25:07 22

09:25:13 23

09:25:19 24

)9:25:22 25

)9:23:45 2

THE COURT: Both sides have a right to have that. If you don't get cooperation, let me know and I'll issue the appropriate order for the person to come down here and tell us why.

MR. MILLER: Your Honor, while on the topic, Russ, if you wouldn't mind sharing with me a copy of the FOIA requests that have been issued.

MR. HERMAN: I don't see any problem with sharing FOIA requests. And we would like any of the defense also to provide us copies of their FOIA requests; and we're also willing to exchange the materials that we receive, I think we can work cooperatively to have that process accelerated.

MR. MILLER: Sounds good from our end, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Next item is Trial Settings in Federal Court.

Mhat my thinking is on that is that after the next meeting, I am going to look to you all to give me each ten cases that you're focussing on, and those ten cases will be cases that will form the initial pool from which we'll try the cases. I will give you an opportunity to conduct some discovery, some pertinent case specific discovery on those ten cases, and then I'll ask you to come up with five from each side. From the five I'll give each side an opportunity to veto two selections.

We'll come up with three each, that will be six, we will try to try five cases, we will have one as a swing in the event one

2

3

5

)9:25:27

)9:25:32

)9:25:39

)9:25:46

19:25:43 4

)9:25:55 6

)9:25:56 7

)9:26:02 8

)9:26:06 9

09:26:13 10

09:26:17 11

09:26:21 12

09:26:23 13

19:26:29 14

09:26:35 15

09:26:41 16

09:26:43 17

09:26:48 18

09:26:51 19

09:26:54 20

)9:26:58 21

09:27:01 22

09:27:03 23

09:27:05 24

09:27:09 25

folds. And I hope to start those cases the beginning of next year,

January so that will give you four months or so to get ready for

them and we'll have an opportunity to look at the cases.

All of us who have been doing this for awhile know that you can think about a case, you can plan a case, you can visualize a case, but until you put a case on, you don't know what that case is about, just the way it works. From those bellwether trials you'll find out how much it costs to try the case, what's involved in the trial of the case, how the juries respond to those cases, and it'll give you some input, hopefully, that will give you an opportunity to look at this case from a global standpoint.

But that's my current thinking. But I will meet with the parties to discuss it with them before taking any action. And I will also coordinate as much as I can with the state courts so that I get their consent and cooperation also. Yes.

MR. MILLER: Judge, if I may on that point of trial settings. That's why the defendants think the discovery moving forward needs to be focused two things: No. 1, the selection of the bellwether plaintiffs. The plaintiffs are at an advantage, they know their clients better than we do. That's why the profile forms and the inspections need to go forward so the defendants have the ability to evaluate the cases and select their ten.

So that's why we think discovery needs to be focused that. And of course once the 20 cases are selected, there can be more intense discovery on those cases.

)9:27:11

)9:27:18

19:27:23

)9:27:27

)9:27:31 6

09:27:34 7

)9:27:37 8

)9:27:43 9

09:27:46 10

09:27:51 11

09:27:56 12

09:28:02 13

09:28:09 14

09:28:15 15

09:28:20 16

09:28:22 17

09:28:26 18

09:28:36 19

09:28:37 20

19:28:39 21

09:28:44 22

09:28:46 23

09:28:49 24

)9:28:53 25

)9:27:14 2

3

THE COURT: That's correct. And I agree with that.

With the ten cases, too, I ask that counsel in good faith try to select cases that are across the spectrum. I mean, it doesn't make any sense to take the same case and try that ten times or five times, it doesn't make any sense to do that. So I am going to look to you for some guidance and some suggestions as to how we get a sampling of what we're dealing with.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, there is, as natural, to have some disagreement between sides in a case. We think that the defendants know a lot more about our clients than we know, having conducted somewhere between 400 and 800 inspections directly with clients in Florida and elsewhere. Notwithstanding that, if we are to really have a spectrum of cases, then we need expedited 30(b)(6) depositions in-depth to determine which suppliers, which members of the distribution chain are going to be involved in those eventual five or six cases that are going to be tried.

So we have a disagreement as to what the limit would be in 30(b)(6), we'll try and work it out. We have to provide the usual list of subjects, but I note for your Honor and the other judges, we do have a disagreement on this issue.

THE COURT: All right. Okay. Next item is Filings in the MDL.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, there have been intense discussions which have become even more intense in the last three days. It would be premature for me to speak other than to say that

3

4

5

)9:29:04

)9:29:13

19:29:18

)9:29:25

19:29:29 6

09:29:38 7

)9:29:40 8

)9:29:43 9

09:29:47 10

09:29:50 11

09:29:54 12

19:29:55 13

09:29:55 14

09:29:58 15

09:30:01 16

09:30:04 17

09:30:05 18

09:30:08 19

09:30:12 20

09:30:16 21

09:30:21 22

09:30:25 23

09:30:31 24

09:30:36 25

09:29:07 2

folks on both sides are encouraged that there may be, with the consent of their clients, a waiver of service under some circumstances with a reservation of certain defenses and direct filing in the MDL with a reservation as to venue and other defenses. And hopefully that will happen because that will allow us, both sides, a better opportunity in the MDL to explore the issues.

THE COURT: Anything from the defendants on that?

MR. MILLER: I think Russ's recitation is right. There are certain defendants I think right now that are amenable to direct filing in the MDL while others may not be, but are evaluating the issue.

With respect to service, again, the same. I think there are certain defendants, particularly domestic defendants that are capable of accepting service. I know some of the foreign defendants are considering the issue. We hope to be back with your Honor at the next conference on it.

THE COURT: It's important that we get everybody in as quickly as we can, because the train is leaving, folks, and you're not going to be able to catch up to it. So the MDL is going to get it eventually, you might as well shortcut it. It's going to take about two months to get from filed in a state through the process to this MDL. If you can detour and come in initially reserving your rights, I don't expect anybody to give up any rights, but reserving your rights for all of the defenses, all of the

personant applicable laws, and where you're going to try the case, things of that sort and any problem with it. But I think it's to everybody's advantage that you get into this if you're going to go with the MDL that you get in as quickly as possible.

Tolling Agreements/Suspension of Prescription.

MR. HERMAN: We have no agreement as to tolling in Louisiana. Of course, what we are entertaining doing is filing a large complaint with all plaintiffs in alphabetical order in federal court and asking the court to put it on the suspense docket, either directly or by class action.

As to Tolling Agreements, there is no agreement on tolling at this point.

With respect to class actions, your Honor, the PSC has requested consent from defendants for a waiver of local Rule 23B requiring motions to be filed moving for certification as required by that rule.

THE COURT: All right. Anything on that?

MR. MILLER: Yeah, I think there's consent on defendants to go ahead and waive that local rule.

THE COURT: I will grant that. I am not going to be dealing with certifications within a short period of time like that in a case like this.

MR. HERMAN: May it please the court, on Motions in the MDL, there is an application for the homebuilders. I might note that the only attorney older than Arnold Levin in the courtroom is

09:31:43 14

09:31:01

)9:31:08

09:31:10 7

09:31:16 8

)9:31:23 9

09:31:26 10

09:31:29 11

09:31:31 12

09:31:32 13

6

09:31:51 16

09:31:44 15

)9:31:54 17

)9:31:58 19

09:31:56 18

09:32:02 20

)9:32:05 21

)9:32:08 22
)9:32:11 23

09:32:13 24

19.32.13 2

09:32:20 25

09:32:26 1 subject to that motion. MR. LEVIN: Phil, welcome to our court.)9:32:28 2)9:32:33 3 MR. WITTMANN: I am hiding back here, Judge. THE COURT: We can't see you, Phil, so you must be in it 19:32:35 4)9:32:41 5 some way. I got that application and I am going to grant that. And)9:32:42 6 09:32:45 7 I look to the committee to give me some suggestions as to lead counsel.)9:32:49 8 MR. HERMAN: We will be pleased to work with whomever is)9:32:53 9 chosen and directed by your Honor. 09:33:02 10 09:33:05 11 THE COURT: Anything more on motions? I think we've 09:33:09 12 talked about that earlier. 09:33:12 13 MR. MILLER: I think so, your Honor. The idea is that a list is going to be submitted jointly by Friday on motions we think 09:33:13 14 19:33:17 15 can be prioritized and handled either with little discovery or with 09:33:20 16 discrete amounts of discovery. THE COURT: That's fine. Insurance Issues. 09:33:22 17 09:33:24 18 MR. HERMAN: There are no current issues other than, I 09:33:27 19 believe, there is one dec action, I will ask lead counsel for 09:33:31 20 plaintiffs. 09:33:33 21 MR. LEVIN: There are two dec actions in Virginia, your 09:33:40 22 Honor, and one has been noted as a tag along, the other will be 09:33:43 23 noted as a tag along. There is one homeowners suit against AIG in the Middle District of Florida, that will be tagged along today. 09:33:52 24 09:33:59 25 MR. HERMAN: Your Honor --

MR. MILLER: On the point of insurance issues, it is my belief and understanding that that question is part of the defense profile forms, identification of insurers.

THE COURT: I think it is.

09:34:00

09:34:09

09:34:05 2

19:34:12 4

)9:34:13 5

)9:34:18 6

)9:34:21 7

)9:34:24 8

)9:34:27 9

09:34:28 10

09:34:30 11

09:34:36 12

09:34:48 13

09:34:48 14

19:34:52 15

09:34:57 16

09:35:00 17

09:35:07 18

09:35:11 19

09:35:15 20

09:35:18 21

19:35:25 22

09:35:31 23

09:35:33 24

09:35:38 25

3

MR. MILLER: And if it is, as we all think that it is, that would all be answered within 15 days. I would hope that if there's going to be actions filed against those insurers if they're filed after that 15-day period because, as you put it out, the train is leaving the station.

MR. HERMAN: The plaintiffs will withhold filing any insurer amendments to complaints or new complaints until after the 15 days and we've met and conferred. Its plaintiffs' intention to chart policies and different types of policies of various parties, that's another reason we feel we need 30(b)(6), because of the chain of distribution they have different types of policies issued by different insurers with different clauses.

So we will be happy to refrain from filing any direct actions against insurers or amendments against insurers until the 15 days have passed and we've met and conferred.

THE COURT: Also with regard to depositions. I've done this in another case or two, there is an opportunity to do depositions online. There's some outside providers that afford that service.

In the cases that I've used it on, you log on with your social security number, it's prearranged, the depositions are taken

3

)9:35:42

)9:35:54

)9:35:47 2

19:35:59 4

)9:36:07 5

09:36:09 6

09:36:12 7

)9:36:16 8

)9:36:21 9

09:36:23 10

09:36:28 11

09:36:32 12

09:36:34 13

09:36:37 14

19:36:42 15

09:36:43 16

09:36:48 17

09:36:53 18

09:36:57 19

09:37:01 20

09:37:04 21

09:37:11 22

09:37:16 23

09:37:20 24

09:37:23 25

online; that is to say, two people, one from the plaintiff, one from the defendant or other parties appear, one individual has a lap top, the other asks the questions. People who want to participate in the deposition participate by pulling it up on their computer. On the right-hand side of the screen is the running transcript, on the left-hand side is voice and image. The plaintiffs have their chat rooms, defendants have their chat rooms, the Chinese wall separates them. You can communicate back and forth. If Hawaii has something or New York has something or someone else has something, you can communicate that way, and it comes up and you click on, you click on the screen next to the questioner.

At the appropriate time he elbows him and says New Orleans wants this, Miami wants this, and so forth and you do it that way.

With this number of people, it works. It's economical.

It's good because the experts can monitor the depositions. If you give me a heads up on a particular deposition that you anticipate some difficulty with, I log on and I'll make my rulings immediately so that you can continue on.

It's worked before and I notice it to you because you can take a look at it and if you want all or some of the depositions taken in that fashion you can do so.

MR. HERMAN: With great respect, your Honor, from the plaintiffs standpoint we prefer an iron curtain to a Chinese wall.

MR. MILLER: Well, just as long as it's not Chinese

at my office. So if it's more convenient for folks to do it then,

drywall, right? 19:37:32 2)9:37:34 3 THE COURT: As long as it's not Chinese drywall, manufactured drywall. Okay. 19:37:37 4 The next item is Service of Pleadings Electronically.)9:37:39 MR. HERMAN: Yes, your Honor, and LexisNexis has a)9:37:42 6 training session scheduled for today. At what time?)9:37:51 7 THE DEPUTY CLERK:)9:37:52 8 Noon. MR. HERMAN: At noon.)9:37:53 9 THE COURT: And segue into that, the importance in a case)9:37:53 10 09:37:57 11 of this sort, the importance of transparency and in disseminating 09:38:02 12 information, we talked about transparency with the website, the 09:38:06 13 method of disseminating information is done through an outside 09:38:10 14 provider LexisNexis. They will be keyed in to the court and they 09:38:15 15 will be served with the documents that the liaison counsel are served with. Instead of liaison counsel having to sending the 09:38:20 16 documents to 1,500 or 2,000 lawyers, LexisNexis can do that and it 09:38:26 17 will be done electronically with e-mail. 09:38:33 18 And as soon as the document is filed, they will get a 09:38:36 19 09:38:40 20 copy and they will be able to upload it and then distribute it 19:38:45 21 immediately. So you will get the filings within about 20 minutes after it's filed so you will know what's happening. 09:38:50 22 09:38:52 23 MR. MILLER: Your Honor, on that point. LexisNexis is)9:38:55 24 also going to conduct a training session tomorrow morning at nine

)9:37:28

09:38:58 25

if they're staying over, nine o'clock tomorrow. 1 09:39:02 09:39:04 2)9:39:07 3 19:39:11 4)9:39:15 courtroom 227.)9:39:16 6 09:39:18 7 19:39:22 8 floor.)9:39:26 9 09:39:28 10 09:39:30 11 09:39:33 12 09:39:34 13 09:39:35 14 09:39:38 15 09:39:44 16 09:39:50 17 09:39:59 18 09:40:02 19 09:40:08 20 09:40:13 21 09:40:17 22 09:40:25 23 09:40:28 24

09:40:34 25

THE COURT: We have a session here in court at 12 o'clock, I understand we've got about 100 people who are going to be attending. So if you're interested, you can attend.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: It's on the second floor, Judge,

THE COURT: I am in trial myself, starting in a couple of minutes, so it won't be in this courtroom, it will be on the second

Anything on the Master Complaint?

MR. HERMAN: Not at this time, your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything from the defendants on that?

MR. MILLER: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: The next status conference, I think it would be helpful if we had one in a shorter period of time, September the 3rd, Thursday, September the 3rd at 8:30 for the liaison counsel and lead counsel, and then at nine o'clock in open court.

Again, I appreciate the attendance of the judges from state court, they do me an honor by attending today; and hopefully one day they can sit with me on the bench and we'll deal with the Frye hearings, the Daubert hearings that we have.

One last comment about the PSC. I have asked the PSC to be conscious of individual lawyers who are not on the PSC who wish to perform work on this particular case under the direction of the PSC. There's room for everyone who wants to work, whether you're

3

4

5

6

)9:40:45

)9:40:49

19:40:52

)9:40:55

)9:41:00

09:41:06 7

)9:41:15 8

)9:41:22 9

09:41:26 10

09:41:33 11

09:41:38 12

09:41:42 13

09:41:43 14

09:41:49 15

09:41:53 16

09:41:57 17

09:42:03 18

09:42:04 19

09:42:06 20

09:42:10 21

09:42:14 22

09:42:19 23

09:42:22 24

09:42:23 25

)9:40:45 2

on the PSC or not on the PSC. I know there are extremely talented lawyers, and if you're willing to work and interested in working, I assure you there will be a spot for you.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, in accord with your Honor's direction, every lawyer that has submitted an application either to serve on the PSC or a committee who has a filed case and commits to file cases in the MDL will be appointed as of seven o'clock P.M. this evening to a committee. And in some cases, those that have been very active and have indicated, even though they're not on the PSC, they will be cochairs or chairs of various subcommittees. We will provide your Honor the list after we get confirmation back that they choose to serve and they're willing to serve under the guidelines set forth.

But I assure your Honor, it will be open participation, but only by those who intend to participate fully in the activities of the MDL. We've had a number of applications from folks that have no filed cases in federal court, so they should file if they want to participate.

THE COURT: Fine. I know we have several hundred people here. If there's anything anyone else wishes to bring up to the court I have it in open court for that reason, not only to inform you but also to give you a forum if you have something to say.

Hearing none, thank you very much, I look forward to seeing you on September 3rd.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Everyone rise.

(WHEREUPON, THE PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED.))9:42:25)9:42:25 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Karen A. Ibos, CCR, Official Court Reporter, United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript, to the best of my ability and understanding, from the record of the proceedings in the above-entitled and numbered matter. alen a floor Karen A. Ibos, CCR, RPR, CRR Official Court Reporter