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P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S<br>MONDAY, DECEMBER 7, 2015<br>A F T E R N O O N S E S S I O N (COURT CALLED TO ORDER)

THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.
THE COURT: Be seated, please. Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: MDL 2047, In re:
Chinese-Manufactured Drywall Products Liability Litigation. THE COURT: Would liaison counsel make their appearance for the record, please.

MR. LEVIN: Arnold Levin for Russ Herman, liaison counsel.

MR. ROSENBERG: Good afternoon, Judge Fallon. Harry Rosenberg as liaison counsel for CNBM and BNBM and Taishan, Your Honor.

MR. MILLER: Good afternoon, Judge. Kerry Miller as liaison counsel for the Knauf entities.

THE COURT: Okay. We're here today for our monthly status conference. We had it in the afternoon because we have a hearing tomorrow, but $I$ met a moment ago with liaison lead counsel.

The items of today that we'll be dealing with are
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the PSC's motion to strike the declaration included in the CNBM Group's reply, the PSC's motion to compel 30 (b) (6) depositions, and, of course, an evidentiary hearing regarding the order granting Knauf's motion to reconsider Oceanique's 60 percent award, as they term it.

With regard to the declaration, you'll recall that the plaintiffs responded to the motion, and in their response they had a number of exhibits and also some commentary declarations.

The defendant responded to the plaintiff's response and attached to their response an affidavit from one of the officers of CNBM and also a professor. The defendants take the position that they did that not to add any new material but to simply explain or correct certain comments made by the documents or the comments made by the plaintiffs, that there was nothing new. The plaintiffs take issue with that and feel that this is something new and comes at the 11 th hour and that it should not be admitted, so they move to strike.

I talked to the parties. My observation of the material is that I do think the material is relevant to the motion before me. I do think the information, the material that the defendants offer may well be helpful to the Court in understanding the matter, but $I$ do feel that it comes at a late time for the plaintiffs.

Now, because it's relevant, because it's helpful
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and we don't have a jury trial, I am inclined to allow it to be presented; however, I have to deal with the fact that it may be prejudicial at this time to the plaintiffs. So my inclination is to keep the record open for 45 days to allow the plaintiffs to either take the depositions of these individuals or to offer, introduce a reply, a response or other evidence that deals with those two witnesses' testimony or any other method that they may have, but the record then will kept open for that period of time to allow that to be done.

We also talked about motions. In cases of this sort, the MDL, one of the reasons for an MDL is to make it an efficient way of handling cases, and one of the ways that I deal with it by making it efficient is to meet every month so that we have it continually moving. Another way is to be available to the parties. When they have an issue, they get together and they call me and I have a court reporter, I listen to each side, and I rule on it.

Occasionally I get an e-mail from one side or the other with, of course, instructions from me that whatever is given to me by one side is cc'd to the other side. They need to know that all of the material that I get from either side I'm going to put in the record with the exception of some sensitive information dealing with fee issues or fee problems maybe one side has and the other side does not have, not at this stage of the litigation, but that happens over the course
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of litigation of this sort. I have to deal with those with a little more sensitivity and in a different fashion.

My hope is that each side, before they reach out to me, will reach out to each other and see whether or not they can resolve the case. If they have five issues, maybe they can resolve one of them or three of them or four of them and then get to me on the one they can't resolve.

When they recognize that there is one or more that they can't resolve, then they ought to decide whether to put it in the form of a motion or to invite my comments from e-mail. Either way, I will be placing it in the record.

The reason I mention to give them some flexibility is because, as you know, when you file a formal motion, you have to file with it a memorandum of law, and sometimes these issues have to do more with setting a date or dealing with an issue of a deposition or something of that sort that is really not a legal issue; it's more of a functional issue of the matter. So I leave it up to them, but they do have to understand that whatever they give to me will be in the record.

Let me hear from liaison counsel on the agenda. First item is pretrial orders, anything on that? MR. LEVIN: No, sir. THE COURT: State court trial settings? MR. LEVIN: No, sir.
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THE COURT: Any coordination matters? I might say this, that I prepared a draft of a letter to all of the judges. I circulated it to state liaison counsel and liaison counsel for each side before I sent it. They felt it could be sent.

I sent it but I've gotten some calls from judges from state court saying they don't have any of these cases, so I ask state liaison counsel to check their lists to make sure that I have the judges who have the cases.

In some of these states, they have established a sort of a complex litigation section, that one judge handles all complex litigation filed in that state so that if somebody gets a case, they transfer it to that judge, and they may not know that that judge is handling the case, but it comes up that way.

Ms. Barrios, if you want to comment on it.
MS. BARRIOS: Your Honor, I just want to let you know that we've taken care of the issue of the judges who don't think they have a case. We have been on the phone with Toni of your office and we've taken care of that.

THE COURT: Okay. Fine. Thank you.
Okay. So I will be contacting all of the judges and seeing who can coordinate the matter that makes it more efficient.

Okay. Any omnibus class action?
MR. LEVIN: Omni XX, we received the summons, and we've
served them pursuant to court order on counsel.
THE COURT: Any litigation expense fund issue? MR. LEVIN: No, sir.

THE COURT: Remediation program, anything from -MR. LEVIN: Well, just what's going to be this afternoon.

THE COURT: Anything that you have on remediation, Kerry? Anything?

MR. MILLER: No, Your Honor. We can defer to Jake's presentation.

THE COURT: All right. INEX, Banner, Knauf settlements, anything on the Global settlements? MR. LEVIN: I jumped the gun. We have the Oceanique this afternoon.

THE COURT: Right. Let's hear from the settlements at this point, the status of them.

MR. WOODY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. My name is Jake Woody from BrownGreer here to give the monthly status report.

Although it's only been three weeks since the last status conference and one of these weeks was Thanksgiving, we have made some significant progress on important areas of the settlement program. I'll start just briefly with our payment numbers.

Our total payments to date are $\$ 81,702,958$.
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That's an increase of $\$ 136,791$ since the last status conference which was three weeks ago. The payments fit into two broad categories -- Global, Banner, INEX Repair and Relocation Damages. The total payments there are $\$ 60,897,568$. The other categories is what we call Other Loss Claims, which are claims outside of repair and relocation, things like bodily injury, preremediation alternative living expenses, foreclosure payments, etcetera. The total payments there are $\$ 20,805,390$.

We have paid, on the Global, Banner, INEX side, we've paid 9,470 claimants. That's about 96 percent of all the eligible claimants have been paid so far. We have 417 claims that we have not paid yet. That's four percent of the total. Those claims fit into three subcategories. 203 claimants were awaiting documentation from a W9 and Verification of Claims form, and we do outreach to those claimants to remind them to submit those documents so that we can pay them.

175 are subject to what we call a double payment issue, where we have received more than one claim for one property. We're only allowed to pay a Global, Banner, INEX payment once per property, so when we get claims from multiple people, we have to try to resolve the dispute, and we've done that and gotten that number down to 175. That requires basically a hand-to-hand operation where we call people and e-mail them and try to resolve those issues.

THE COURT: That's sometimes due for various reasons. Occasionally we've had situations where a husband and wife divorced --

MR. WOODY: Correct.
THE COURT: -- and they each make a claim for the house. Sometimes we've have situations where somebody has sold the house, and they make a claim for it, and then the person who just bought the house makes a claim for it and that's a double.

MR. WOODY: That's correct.
THE COURT: There are some other areas also.
MR. WOODY: So those take a little bit of time and effort to resolve, but we have been largely successful in getting that number down to 175. Of course, you have to divide that by at least two to come up with the number of properties at issue because, by definition, more than one claimant has submitted a claim for the same property there. Then 39 are ready for us to pay. We just need to cut them a check and we'll do that this week.

When I last reported this to you, we had about 650 claims not paid. We reduced that number by a couple of hundred since our last report. So we continue to work through those.

I do remind anybody listening on the phone to check that if you haven't given us the Verification of Claims
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form and the W9 and you're wondering why you haven't been paid yet, that's probably why.

Those documents are available on our website. You can download them and then e-mail them to us at CDWquestions@BrownGreer.com. We are able to issue payment pretty quickly after we receive those documents.

I would like to talk quickly about what I think is a pretty significant accomplishment on the Other Loss side. I reported for the last few months that we've been very close to finishing the Other Loss program.

We're down to 726 claims who have requested that the Special Master award them additional money above what we have already offered those people. On Thursday, December 3rd, we e-mailed notifications to the lawyers and pro se claimants who had made those requests. We e-mailed them with a list of their claimants who had made the request and a determination from the Special Master along with some instructions on how to proceed. These claimants have until Friday, the 8th of December, to get back to us on the.

There are two options for these people: You can request reconsideration and have the Special Master take a second look at the award, or you can accept the amount and we'll pay you as soon as we can.

If you decide to request reconsideration, we do request that you include reference to the specific documents in
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your file that support the claim so that we don't have to hunt through hundreds and hundreds of pages to figure out if you're right or not, and also it's very helpful to receive a calculation of why you believe you're entitled to what you think you are. That helps us speed up the process. We can look at your calculation, look at your supporting documents, and determine if we agree with you or not or if the Special Master agrees with you or not.

Finally, the amounts listed, and this was part of the e-mail, but these are pro rata. These are subject to a pro rata reduction. The Special Master looked at the claim and determined what it was worth in a dollar amount. We do not have enough money to pay off everyone a hundred cents on the dollar for that, so we will have to reduce that amount by a pro rata percentage.

I can't give you the exact percentage just yet because I don't know how the request for reconsideration will turn out. That, when claims increase in value, it affects the pro rata calculation. So as soon as we are able to resolve any of the reconsideration requests, we can have a better handle on what the pro rata amount will be.

I will tell you that so far, although it's been a very short time, we've received six acceptances and two requests for reconsideration. Obviously those numbers will change significantly over the next two weeks.

I also wanted to touch briefly on the stipend issue. The Court entered PTO 30 on November 23rd of 2015. PTO 30 establishes the method and procedures by which we can issue any stipend payment, which is a thousand dollars per Knauf property and $\$ 150$ per nonKnauf property.

The PTO contains the procedures by which these claims can be paid, and also attached as an exhibit is a list of all the properties in our system in our program and a list of how much they were entitled to on the stipend front. The deadline to note any objections to that was December 3rd.

Those objections were submitted to the PSC, and we've worked closely with the PSC to categorize those objections and put them into broad categories that we can decide how to proceed with those objections.

Finally I've gotten a lot of questions about when we can issue the stipend payment. We need to wait, I think, until PTO 30 is final, which, I believe, under Federal Rule 4, is 30 days after the order. That was issued November 23rd; so, we can't make any payments until December 23rd. However, we are ready to do that as soon as that date passes, and we'll attempt to issue those as soon as possible after that date passes.

Just a quick summary of what we've done in the last weeks: We've made 37 payments totaling $\$ 136,791$. We've issued 96 notices; and, again, we've issued 726 Special Master
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award notifications, which is significant because that is -those are really the last of the Other Loss claims.

Once we work through the reconsideration requests and any appeals for that, we can disburse the amount remaining in the fund and close that chapter of the settlement program. We have closed 51 claims across the entire program.

Then on the stipend front, the objection deadline passed, and we're working with the PSC to process those, and as soon as the 30 days passes, we can begin to issue payments.

THE COURT: Okay. This, as I said before, is only one aspect of the settlement. This is in addition to the full remediation and the attorney's fees that was agreed to by Knauf

Some people do not have any additional claims; some people do have additional claims, and that's what we have been talking about here today. Everybody has the remediation and the remediation has taken place, and it's a little over a billion dollars, it looks like, that is going to be the amount; so, this is in addition to that.

Okay. Anything on Taishan?
MR. LEVIN: Yes, Your Honor. Just some scheduling that occurred in the liaison counsel's meeting. Harry will correct me if I'm wrong because I'm a terrible note taker.

The Rule 12 motion will be heard on
February 18th. The motions to dismiss, the motion to strike
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the affidavits that the plaintiffs at least say were late filed, that will be taken up after the argument tomorrow. The 30 (b) (6) motions, there will be a schedule for the defendant's response, and whether or not there is oral argument, that will be taken up tomorrow as well.

MR. ROSENBERG: Your Honor, since Mr. Levin invited me to correct him, I'm going to take that opportunity. We agree to discuss both the need for whether oral argument is necessary on the motion to compel additional 30 (b) (6) depositions, as well as the motion to strike. We are going to discuss that tomorrow after the scheduled hearing before Your Honor.

Your Honor, there is one other footnote, if I might, if the Court would indulge me. When we met with -- when Your Honor met with liaison counsel earlier this afternoon, we did discuss that if there was a motion that was going to be filed by either side, the parties agree that they would notify the opposing side of the nature of that motion and the timeline for submission of that motion so we could hopefully continue to amicably resolve these logistical issues without burdening the Court. If we are unable to reach an accord, then we would ask the Court for assistance.

MR. LEVIN: One additional thing, Your Honor. The spoliation hearing which occurred a couple weeks ago with regard to Taishan and the PSC, the findings of fact and conclusions of law -- the proposed findings of fact and
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conclusions of law will be filed today.
MR. ROSENBERG: I'm only going to take issue with Mr. Levin's description of that as a spoliation hearing, Your Honor, but the Court is aware of the time line for the next hearing on that motion.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.
Anything on the Venture Supply and Porter Blaine defendants?

MR. LEVIN: No, sir.
THE COURT: Anything on the profile forms for the plaintiff or defendants?

MR. LEVIN: No, sir.
THE COURT: We have some matters set for hearing following the conference, as I mentioned, the reconsideration motion.

Anything on physical evidence preservation?
MR. LEVIN: No, sir.
THE COURT: The next status conference is on January 14th, and the following one is February 17th, and that one is at two o'clock.

MR. LEVIN: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Right. The January meeting on the 14th, I'll see the parties a half hour before each one -- 8:30 on the 14th and 1:30 on the 17th.

MR. ROSENBERG: Yes, sir. Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. LEVIN: Thank you. Thank you, sir.
THE COURT: We'll take a five-minute break here, and then we'll come back and deal with the reconsideration motion. THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.
(WHEREUPON, at 2:45 p.m. the monthly status conference concluded.)
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| BrownGreer.com [1] | 3:5, 3:14 | COURT [25]-1:1, 4:9, | 5:8 | EACH ${ }_{[1]}-6: 9$ |
| 15:5 | COLSON [2] - 2:7 | :14, 7:4, 7:8, 7:12, | depositions [3]-8:2, | EAGAN [2] - 3:22, |
| CENTER [1]-2:24 | comment [1]-11:15 | 721, 10:24, 11:1, | 9:5, 19:9 | 3:2 |
| CENTRE [1] - 2:4 | commentary [1] - 8:8 | 11:20, 12:2, 12:4, | DEPUTY [3]-7:7, | EASTERN ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1:1 |
| cents [1] - 16:13 | comments [3]-8:14, | 12:7, 12:11, 12:15 | 7:10, 21:4 | Eastern [1]-21:15 |
| certain [1]-8:14 | 8:15, 10:10 | 1, 14:5, 14:11 | description [1] - 20:3 | efficient [3]-9:12, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CERTIFICATE } \\ & 21: 10 \end{aligned}$ | COMMITTEE [1]-4:3 | 18:10, 20:6, 20:10, | determination [1] - | 9:13, 11:23 |
|  | COMPANY [3] - 3:4, | 20:13, 20:18, 20:22, | 15:1 | effort [1] - 14:13 |
| CERTIFIED [2] - 4:10,4:10 | 3:5, 3:14 | 21:2 | determine [1] - 16:7 | EIDSON [1] - 2:7 |
|  | compel [2] - 8:2, 19:9 | court [4]-9:16, 10:24, | determined [1] - 16:12 | EIKHOFF [1] - 2:24 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Certified }[3]-21: 12, \\ & 21: 13,21: 21 \end{aligned}$ | COMPEL [1] - 5:7 <br> complex [2]-11:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:6, 12:1 } \\ & \text { CRR [2] - 4:9, 21:21 } \end{aligned}$ | different ${ }_{[1]}$ - 10:2 <br> disburse [1] - 18:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { either }[4]-9: 5,9: 21, \\ & 10: 11,19: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| certify $[1]$ - $21: 16$ <br> change [1]-16:25 <br> chapter [1] - 18:5 | COMPUTER [1] - 4:14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CURRAULT }{ }_{[1]}-3: 23 \\ & \text { cut }[1]-14: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { discuss }[3]-19: 8, \\ 19: 10,19: 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELDON }_{[1]}-1: 12 \\ & \text { eligible }[1]-13: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | concluded [1] - 21:6 |  | dismiss [1] - 18:25 | ENERGY [1] - 2:4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CHARLES [2] - 2:20, } \\ & 3: 24 \end{aligned}$ | conclusions [2] - | D | dispute [1]-13:22 | entered [1]-17:2 |
|  | 19:25, |  | District $[3]-21: 15$, | $\text { entire }[1]-18: 6$ |
| check [3] - 11:7, | 1, 13:1, | DANIEL [1]-2:19 | DISTRICT [3]-1:1, | ENTITIES [2] - 2:13 |
| CHICAGO [1] - 3:10 | 20:18, 21:5 | $\text { date }[4]-10: 15,12: 2$ | :1, 1:13 | entitled [3] - 16:4, |
| CHINA [2] - 3:12, 3:13 | CONFERENCE [3] - | $17: 20,17: 21$ | divide [1]-14:14 | 17:9, 21:18 |
| CHINESE [1] - 1:4 | 1:12, 6:2, 6:5 | DAVID ${ }_{[1]}$ | divorced [1] - 14:3 | ERVIN [1] - 2:9 |
| Chinese [1]-7:11 | CONFERENCE. | DAVIS ${ }_{[1]}-1: 18$ | DOCKET [1] - 1:6 | ESQ [1] - 3:18 |
| CHINESEMANUFACTURED [1]-1:4 | ............ [1]-5:5 | DAWN [1] - 4:4 | DOCUMENT [1] - 1:9 | ESQUIRE [20] - 1:18, |
|  | contains [1] - 17:6 | $\text { days }[3]-9: 4,17: 18,$ | $13: 14$ | 2:9, 2:9, 2:14, 2:18, |
| ChineseManufactured [1] 7:11 | continually [1]-9:14 | deadline [2]-17:10, | documents [6] - 8:15, 13:16, 15:3, 15:6 | $2: 19,2: 19,2: 24,3: 6$ |
|  | 19:18 | 18:7 | $15: 25,16: 6$ | $3: 24,4: 4,4: 7$ |
| CHRISTINA [1] - 2:24 | CONTINUED [3] - 2:1, | 10:1, 21:3 | dollar [2]-16:12, | established [1] - 11:9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CHRISTOPHER }_{[1]} \text { - } \\ & 3 \cdot 18 \end{aligned}$ | 1, 4:1 |  | 16:14 | establishes [1] - 17:3 |
|  | coordinate [1]-11:22 | 9.23, 10:16 | dollars [2]-17:4 | etcetera [1] - 13:8 |
| CIRCLE [1] - 2:10 <br> circulated [1]-11:3 <br> CIVIL [1] - 1:6 | COORDINATION ${ }^{1]}$ - | deals [1] - 9:7 | 18:18 | EVIDENCE ${ }_{[1]}$ - 6:4 |
|  | coordination [1] - | DECEMBER [2]-1:7 | done $[3]$ - 9:9, 13:22, | evidence [2] - 9:6, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CIVIL }_{[1]}-1: 6 \\ & \text { claim }[7]-13: 19,14: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { coordination [1] - } \\ & \text { 11:1 } \end{aligned}$ | 7:2 | 17:23 <br> DONELSON [1] - 2:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20:16 } \\ & \text { evidentiary }[1]-8: 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 14:7, 14:8, 14:17, } \\ \text { 16:1, 16:11 } \\ \text { claimant }[1]-14: 16 \end{gathered}$ | COORDINATION. | December [4]-15:13, <br> 15:19, 17:10, 17:19 | DONNA [1] - 3:23 <br> double [2]-13:18, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EVIDENTIARY }_{[1]}- \\ & 5: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 5:15 | 15:24, 17:14 | 14:9 | EWELL [1] - 3:23 |
|  | CORAL [1]-2:10 | declaration [2] | down [3]-13:23, | exact ${ }_{[1]}$ - 16:16 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { claimants }[7] \text { - } 13: 10, \\ 13: 11,13: 14,13: 16, \\ 15: 14,15: 16,15: 18 \end{gathered}$ | CORPORATION ${ }_{[1]}$ | 8:6 | 14:14, 15:11 | exception [1] - 9:22 |
|  |  | DECLARAT | download [1] - 15:4 | exhibit [1] - 17:7 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Claims }[3]-13: 5, \\ 13: 15,14: 25 \end{gathered}$ | correct [6]-8:14 |  | draft [1] - 11:2 | exhibits [1] - 8:8 |
|  | 14:4, 14:10, 18:22 |  | DRIVE [1] - 3:10 | EXPENSE [1] - 5:17 |
| claims [12] - 13:5, | 19:7, 21:16 |  | Drywall [1]-7:11 | expense [1]-12:2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13:11, 13:13, 13:21, } \\ & \text { 14:21, 15:11, 16:18, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { counsel }[11]-7: 12, \\ 7: 15,7: 17,7: 20, \end{gathered}$ |  | DRYWALL [1] - 1:5 <br> due [1]-14:1 | expenses [1]-13:7 |
|  | $: 24,10: 21,11: 3$ | declarations [1]-8:9 | DUGGAN [1] - 1:22 | explain [1] - 8:14 |
| 17:7, 18:2, 18:6, 18:14, 18:15 | 11:7, 12:1, 19:14 | $\text { ] } 19$ | DUNBAR [1] - 2:13 | F |
| class $[1]-11: 24$ CLASS ${ }_{[1]}-5: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COUNSEL[3]-1:17, } \\ & \text { 2:13, 2:17 } \end{aligned}$ | 8:22, 20:8, 20:11 | DYSART [1]-2:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fact }[3]-9: 2,19: 24, \\ & 19: 25 \\ & \text { FALLON }[1]-1: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CLASS }_{[1]}-5: 16 \\ & \text { CLERK }[3]-7: 7,7: 10, \end{aligned}$ | couple [2]-14:21, | DEFENDANTS....... [1] | E |  |
| close ${ }_{[2]}$ - 15:9, 18:5closed [1]-18:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:23 } \\ & \text { course }[4]-8: 3,9: 19, \end{aligned}$ | defer [1]-12:9 <br> definition [1]-14:16 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | allon [1] - 7:16 |
| closely [1] - 17:12 | $9: 25,14: 14$ | DENTONS [2] - 3:5, | 10:11, 13:25, 15:4, | fashion [1]-10:2 |
| 7:17, 8:1, 8:12 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Court [11]-8:22, 17:2, } \\ \text { 19:13, 19:20, 19:21, } \end{array}$ |  | 16:10 | February [2]-18:25, |




| $\begin{aligned} & \text { put }[3]-9: 22,10: 10, \\ & 17: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { REMEDIATION }{ }_{[1]}- \\ & 5: 18 \end{aligned}$ | SANDRA [1] - 1:22 <br> schedule [1] - 19:3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20:3 } \\ & \text { ST }_{[2]}-2: 20,3: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:20, 19:24 } \\ & \text { TAISHAN........ } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q | 18:16, | scheduling [1] - 18:21 | start [1] - 12:23 |  |
|  |  | se | STATE [1]-5: | 18:2 |
| (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { remind }[2]-13: 16 \\ & 14: 24 \end{aligned}$ | seated [1]-7:8 <br> second [1] - 15:22 | state [5]-10:24, 11:3, | term [1] - 8:5 |
| ick [1] - 17:23 | RENE [1] - 2:19 | $\text { section }[1]-11: 1$ | State [1]-21:13 | testimony [1]-9:7 |
| quickly [2] - 15:6, 15:7 | Repair [1]-13:3 | SEDRAN ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1:2 | STATE/FEDERAL [1] | Thanksgiving [1] - |
| R | repair $[1]-13: 6$ reply $[2]-8: 2,9$ | see [2]-10:4, 20:23 | $\begin{array}{r} 4: 3 \\ \text { STA } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 21 \\ & \text { HAT }{ }_{[1]}-6 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { rata }[5]-16: 10,16: 11, \\ & 16: 15,16: 19,16: 21 \\ & \text { re }_{[1]}-7: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{REPLY}_{[1]}-5: 7 \\ & \text { report }[2]-12: 19, \\ & 14: 22 \end{aligned}$REPORT. | seeing [1] - 11:2 | States [2]-21:1 | THE [46] - 1:12, 1:17 |
|  |  | sensitive ${ }_{[1]}-9: 23$ <br> sensitivity ${ }_{[1]}-10: 2$ | $\begin{gathered} 21: 23 \\ \text { states }[1] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2:12, 2:17, 3:6, 3:19, } \\ & 4: 3,5: 6,5: 7,5: 9, \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | sent [3]-11:4, 11: | status [7] - 7:22 | 5:19, 5:22, 6:1, 6:2, |
| RE [1] - 1:4 <br> reach [3]-10:3, 10:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text {................... }[1]-5: 23 \\ & \text { reported }[2]-14: 20, \\ & \text { 15:9 } \end{aligned}$ | served [1]-12:1 | 12:16, 12:18, 12:21, | 6:3, 6:5, 6:8, 6:9, |
|  |  | set $[1]-20: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13: 1,20: 18,21: 5 \\ & \text { STATUS [4]-1:12, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:10, 7:7, 7:8, 7:10, } \\ & 7: 12,7: 21,10: 24, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ready }[2]^{-14: 18,} \\ & 17: 20 \\ & \text { really }[2]-10: 17,18: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reporter [7]-21:12, } \\ & \text { 21:13, 21:14, 21:21, } \end{aligned}$ | setting [1] - 10:1 | 5:5, 5:23, 6:5 | 11:1, 11:20, 12:2, |
|  |  | settings [1] - 10:24 | STENGEL [1] - 3:15 | 迷, 12:7, 12:11, |
|  |  | SETTINGS... | ${ }_{\text {STENOGRAPHY }}$ | 12:15, 14:1, 14:5, 14:11, 18:10, 20:6, |
| Realtime [2] - 21:12, 21:21 | REPORTER [3] - 4:9, 4:10, 4:10 | $\qquad$ [1] - 5:14 <br> settlement [3]-12:23, | 4:14 stipend [5] - 17:1, 17:4, 17:9, 17:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20:10, 20:13, 20:18, } \\ & 20: 22,21: 2,21: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | reporter [1]-9:16 <br> REPORTER'S [1] - | $18: 5,18: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:4, 17:9, 17:16, } \\ & 18: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 20:22, 21:2, } 2 \\ \text { THIS }_{[1]}-1: 9 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { reason }[1]-10: 12 \\ & \text { reasons [2] }-9: 11, \\ & 14: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { REPORTER'S }_{[1]}- \\ & 21: 10 \\ & \text { request }[5]-15: 16, \\ & 15: 21,15: 24,15: 25, \end{aligned}$ | $5: 19$ | STREET [8]-1:23, 2:5, 2:14, 2:25, 3:15, | thousand [1]-17:4 <br> three [4]-10:6, 12:20, |
| 14:1 |  | settlements [3] 12:12, 12:15 |  | $13: 2,13: 13$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { received }[3]-11: 25, \\ & 13: 19,16: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 21,15: 24,15: 25, \\ & 16: 17 \\ & \text { requested }[1]-15: 11 \end{aligned}$ | SETTLEMENTS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { strike }[4]-8: 1,8: 18, \\ & 18: 25,19: 10 \end{aligned}$ | Thursday ${ }_{[1]}$ - 15:13 timeline [1]-19:17 |
| recognize [1] - 10:8 <br> reconsider [1] - 8:4 | $\begin{array}{\|r} \hline \text { requests [4]-15:15, } \\ 16: 20,16: 24,18: 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 20 \\ & \text { short }[1]-16: 2 \end{aligned}$ | STRIKE [1]-5:6 subcategories [] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TO }[6]-1: 9,5: 6,5: 7, \\ & 5: 9: 52: 7: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | short [1] - 16:23 <br> side [13]-9:17, 9:1 | 13:13 | today [4]-7:21, 7:25, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { RECONSIDER }_{[1]}- \\ & 5: 10 \end{aligned}$ | requires [1]-13:23 <br> resolve [9]-10:5, | $9: 20,9: 21,9: 24$ | subject [2] - 13:18 | 18:16, 20 |
| RECONSIDERATION[1] - 6:3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { resolve }[9]-10: 5, \\ & \text { 10:6, 10:7, 10:9, } \\ & \text { 13:22, 13:25, 14:13, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 3,11: 4,13: 9 \\ & 15: 8,19: 16,19: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 10 \\ & \text { submission } \end{aligned}$ | together [1] - 9:16 tomorrow [4]-7:23, |
|  | 16:19, 19:19 | $15: 8,18: 1$ <br> significantly [1] - | 19:18 | 19:2, 19:5, 19:1 |
| 15:21, 15:24, 16:17, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { responded }[2]-8: 7 \text {, } \\ & 8: 10 \end{aligned}$ |  | submit [1]-13:16 <br> submitted [2]-14:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Toni }[1]-11: 18 \\ & \text { total }[4]-12: 25,13: 4, \end{aligned}$ |
| 16:20, 16:24, 18:3, $20: 14,21: 3$ | response [5] - 8:8 | significantly [1] - 16:25 | 17: | 13:8, 13:1 |
| record [7]-7:13, 9:4, | 8:11, 9:6, 19:4 |  | successful ${ }_{[1]}$ - 14:13 | totaling [1] - 17:2 |
| 9:8, 9:22, 10:11, | RICHARD [1] - 3: rise [2]-7:7 21:4 | situations [2]-14:2 | SUITE [6]-1:23, 2:5, $2: 14,2: 20,3: 10,4: 4$ | touch [1]-17:1 <br> transcript ${ }^{11]}$ - 21 |
| 10:20, 21:17 | rise [2] - 7:7, 21:4 | 14:6 | 2:14, 2:20, 3:10, 4:4 | transcript [1]-21: <br> TRANSCRIPT |
| RECORDED ${ }_{[1]}$ - 4:14 <br> reduce [1]-16:14 <br> reduced [1] - 14:21 <br> reduction [1]-16:11 <br> reference [1] - 15:25 <br> regard [2] - 8:6, 19:24 | $\operatorname{ROOM}_{[1]}-4: 11$ | $\boldsymbol{s i x}[1]-16: 23$ <br> sold [1]-14:6 | summary [1] - 17:23 <br> summons [1]-11:25 | TRANSCRIPT [2] - 1:12, 4:14 |
|  | ROSENBERG ${ }_{[5]}$ -2:14, 7:16, 19:6, | sometimes | SUPPLY [1] - 5:25 | transfer [1]-11:12 |
|  |  | 10:15, 14:1, 14 | Supply [1] - 20:7 | trial [2] - 9:1, 10:24 |
|  | 20:2, 20:25 | soon [5] - 15:23, | support [1]-16:1 | TRIAL [1] - 5:14 |
|  | Rosenberg [1] - 7:17 | 6:19, 17:20, 17:21, | supporting [1] - 16: | true [1]-21:16 |
| REGARDING [1] - 5:9 regarding ${ }_{[1]}-8: 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROTHENBERG }[1] \text { - } \\ & 3: 24 \end{aligned}$ | 18:9 | SUTCLIFFE [2] - 3:14, | $\operatorname{try}[2]-13: 22,13: 25$ |
|  |  | 10:16, 11:10 <br> SOUTH [1] - $3: 10$ | 3:18 | turn [1] - 16:18 |
| registered [1]-21:22 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { rule [1] - 9:17 } \\ \text { Rule [2] - 17:17, 18:24 } \end{array}$ |  | system [1] - 17:8 | TWO [1]-6.7 |
| Registered [1]-21:12 | Russ [1] - 7:14 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Special }_{[6]}-15: 12, \\ 15: 17,15: 21,16: 8, \\ 16: 11,17: 25 \\ \text { specific }_{[1]}-15: 25 \\ \text { speed }_{[1]}-16: 5 \\ \text { spoliation }_{[2]}-19: 23, \end{array}$ | T | 6:23 |
|  | S |  |  |  |
| Relocation [1] - 13:3 <br> relocation [1]-13:6 <br> remaining $[1]$ - 18:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { s/Cathy }[1]-21: 20 \\ & \text { SAN }_{[1]}-3: 20 \end{aligned}$ |  | $2: 22,2: 23$ |  |
|  |  |  | Taishan [3]-7:18, | unable [1] - 19:20 |



