1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA	
2	****************	
3	IN RE: CHINESE-MANUFACTURED	Docket No. 09-MD-2047
4	DRYWALL PRODUCTS LIABILITY	New Orleans, Louisiana Thursday, July 9, 2009
5		<u> </u>
6	******************	
7	TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS HEARD BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELDON E. FALLON	
8	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT JUDGE
9	APPEARANCES:	
10	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	HERMAN, HERMAN, KATZ & COTLAR
11 12		BY: RUSS M. HERMAN, ESQ. 820 O'Keefe Avenue New Orleans, LA 70130
13	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	FRILOT L.L.C.
14		BY: KERRY J. MILER, ESQ. Energy Centre - Suite 3700 1100 Poydras Street
15		New Orleans, LA 70163-3700
16	FOR LENNAR AND U.S. HOMES:	GREENBERG TRAURIG BY: HILARIE BASS, ESQ.
17		1221 Brickell Avenue Miami, FL 33131
18	FOR BANNER SUPPLY:	ADORNO & YOSS
1920		BY: JAN D. ATLAS, ESQ. 350 E. Las Olas Boulevard Suite 1700
21		Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301
22	Official Court Reporter:	Karen A. Ibos, CCR, RPR, CRR
23		500 Poydras Street, Room HB-406 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 (504) 589-7776
24		
25	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer.	

2

3

5

11:37:24

)2:08:20

)2:08:23 6

)2:08:23 7

)2:08:27 8

)2:08:33 9

)2:08:37 10

02:08:40 11

02:08:47 12

02:08:51 13

12:09:01 14

02:09:06 15

)2:09:15 16

02:09:20 17

02:09:32 18

02:09:35 19

02:09:40 20

12:09:46 21

02:09:50 22

02:09:51 23

02:09:55 24

)2:09:59 25

PROCEEDINGS

(THURSDAY, JULY 9, 2009)

(STATUS CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS)

THE COURT: Be seated, please. Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.

My name is Eldon Fallon, and I am the transferee judge who has been assigned the case, which you know is in re: Chinese Drywall, MDL-2047.

For those of you who are not from New Orleans, I take the opportunity to welcome you to our city. Hope that your work here is productive, as well as enjoyable.

The transfer order was issued in this case on June 15th and on June 16th I issued Pre-Trial Order No. 1. Among other things, the pretrial order established a web site. The web site can be accessed by going to the court's web site, www.laed.uscourts.gov and clicking on the drywall MDL and you should get that information.

First, I'd ask at this time for liaison counsel to make their appearance for the record.

MR. MILLER: Good afternoon, your Honor, Kerry Miller, defense liaison counsel.

MR. HERMAN: Good afternoon, Judge Fallon, I'm Russ
Herman for Herman, Herman, Katz and Cotlar, New Orleans, plaintiff
liaison counsel.

)2:10:01

02:10:03 2

)2:10:11 3

12:10:19 4

)2:10:25 5

02:10:31 6

02:10:41 7

)2:10:47 8

)2:10:52 9

)2:10:58 10

02:11:03 11

02:11:09 12

02:11:14 13

02:11:19 14

)2:11:23 15

02:11:26 16

02:11:31 17

)2:11:39 18

02:11:47 19

02:11:56 20

02:12:01 21

02:12:07 22

02:12:16 23

02:12:17 24

)2:12:23 25

THE COURT: One of the first things that I did with the Pre-Trial Order No. 1 is to create or try to create some mechanism, some method, a structure for handling this matter. I called for liaison counsel applications or suggestions from each side. I received a suggestion from the plaintiffs of Mr. Russ Herman and Kerry Miller applied as Defendant's liaison position.

There was some question from the defendants whether we should have two liaison, I picked Kerry Miller to be liaison counsel. I just want one liaison counsel for each side. The reason for that is that I see liaison counsel as dealing with administrative matters. I need somebody that the court can serve with orders and I only want to serve two people. The liaison counsel then has to serve or make sure that everybody else is advised of the litigation.

I need two people, one for each side, to make sure that they have a complete file so that anyone else on their side that needs documents knows where to go and one place to go. I need a liaison counsel to be responsible for coordinating the document depository, and I need liaison counsel to confer with their side and develop or make some suggestions to me on agenda items. It doesn't mean that that's the only matters that I will discuss, but at that point I just need two people to get together and work on an agenda.

In addition to the liaison counsel, I intend to appoint committees, a Plaintiff Steering Committee and a Defendant Steering

3

5

6

)2:12:29

)2:12:41

)2:12:55

)2:12:58

)2:13:04 7

)2:13:09 8

)2:13:13 9

)2:13:14 10

)2:13:19 11

02:13:34 12

)2:13:39 13

)2:13:46 14

)2:13:51 15

02:14:03 16

02:14:11 17

)2:14:19 18

02:14:22 19

)2:14:27 20

)2:14:34 21

02:14:41 22

02:14:46 23

02:14:53 24

)2:14:58 25

)2:12:34 2

12:12:50 4

Committee. From the Defendant Steering Committee, I would expect that the parties would be represented who are involved in litigation on that committee, and that would be the committee that will deal with the nitty-gritty of the case; that is to say, to work on the material.

So in that I'll look to the defendants to get together and make recommendations to me, and if the recommendations are made and everybody agrees to it, I will adopt those recommendations and I will put that in an order.

With the Plaintiff Steering Committee, there are different issues involved. The Defendant Steering Committee, these individuals are generally retained by their respective parties and they are compensated by their respective parties and do the work and represent their respective interests.

The plaintiffs committee is a little bit more involved, and I say this at the outset that so that at least you'll know my thinking. One problem that I share with you from the Plaintiff Steering Committees, that I haven't necessarily had, but I know my colleagues throughout the country who do MDL work have had, and it's something that you need to know about because it's creating a potential problem. People apply to be on the Plaintiff Steering Committee, they submit the applications, and the court then appoints a committee, looks at the applications and selects people who add their interest and experience and, frankly, the resources to carry out the job.

)2:15:03

)2:15:14

)2:15:25

)2:15:06 2

)2:15:18 4

)2:15:32 6

)2:15:37 7

)2:15:40 8

)2:15:52 9

)2:15:52 10

)2:15:54 11

02:16:00 12

02:16:07 13

02:16:15 14

02:16:20 15

02:16:26 16

02:16:31 17

)2:16:37 18

02:16:45 19

02:16:47 20

)2:16:54 21

)2:16:57 22

02:17:03 23

02:17:11 24

)2:17:15 25

3

Now I know about no one here that does this, but I am told once that committee is organized, occasionally individuals who have been appointed because of their experience hire other people to do their work and they go about getting on another committee so that they can deal with that particular case, too.

And it's a difficult situation, I will be appointing a plaintiffs committee but I am going to appoint the plaintiffs committee for a term. There will be no term limits, but I am going to appoint a Plaintiff Steering Committee for one year. At the end of that year, I urge the people who are on the committee to reapply. And when you reapply, let me know on your application what you've done, how many hours you've logged, how much expense you've incurred, and that will help me in making the decision whether or not to reappoint you for another year. And hopefully we'll move the case in an effective and efficient manner.

Also, in a case like this, there are many, many issues that need to be addressed. The Plaintiff Steering Committee is not going to be able to address them all, and I am going to look to them for recommendation for other committees and insist on the fact that anyone who is interested in working from the plaintiffs' standpoint be eligible and that they pick an area in which they wish to work whether or not they're on a committee. That doesn't mean they won't even become chair of that committee.

Anybody who is interested in working, I urge you to join a committee and work and you can become chair or be chair of that

3

)2:17:19

)2:17:28

)2:17:24 2

)2:17:34 4

)2:17:41 5

)2:17:46 6

)2:17:52 7

)2:18:01 8

02:18:08 9

)2:18:15 10

)2:18:23 11

02:18:26 12

)2:18:32 13

02:18:35 14

)2:18:44 15

02:18:50 16

02:18:56 17

02:19:00 18

02:19:08 19

02:19:12 20

)2:19:22 21

02:19:23 22

02:19:24 23

02:19:30 24

)2:19:34 25

committee, whether or not you're on the Plaintiffs Steering

Committee; and your work and effort will be recognized in common

benefit and your expenses, approved expenses, (I've appointed a CPA

to work on this matter) will be dealt with and he'll talk with us

later about that.

Another thing that I tried to do in the first order was to deal with filing. In a case such as this, I try to give you some suggested method of filing, headings. If you look at my Pre-Trial Order No. 1, we talk about the filing of the case and how you file it and matters of that sort, it's paragraph nine.

Also I remind you that we have electronic filing here, so after the first pleading, all pleadings need to be filed electronically.

I believe in meetings. I am going to have open meetings in court at least once a month. Those of you who may find it difficult to come, I'll post a telephone number and we have facilities for you to call in. I am not going to be able to invite you to speak because it's not unusual for me to have 100 people on the phone in these meetings and I can't deal with that if everybody speaks. But you can monitor, if you can't make the meeting for some reason or because of expense you would rather not come, then I understand and you can tune in.

All of the meetings will be transcribed. The transcript will be posted on the web site. Everything will be posted on the web site. All of the agenda items, all of my orders, all of my

opinions, and I will endeavor to post those.

1

3

4

)2:19:39

)2:19:50

)2:19:55

)2:20:00

02:20:04 6

02:20:11 7

)2:20:14 8

)2:20:19 9

)2:20:27 10

02:20:34 11

02:20:41 12

02:20:45 13

02:20:51 14

)2:20:54 15

02:20:58 16

02:21:02 17

02:21:04 18

02:21:10 19

02:21:16 20

)2:21:21 21

02:21:26 22

02:21:34 23

02:21:39 24

)2:21:42 25

02:19:44 2

I do try to do my discovery, so if you have any issues of discovery, I'll be dealing with them. Whenever possible I do it without paper, so if you have an issue and you wish to present it to the court, you can do so either with a letter and I'll invite the response; or if not, we'll do it orally. But in any event, I'll get you on the phone with a court reporter, I'll hear your argument, and I'll make the decision immediately so we can move on.

In this particular matter I will in addition to the meetings of open court, this type meeting at which I will hear reports and make some decisions on where we go for the next meeting, what we do for the next meeting, I'll be meeting with the committees, liaison counsel, and whoever else I need to meet with in-between that period of time. We will have more meetings initially for the next month or so than probably after that, but every month I will have an open meeting so that we can look at the case again.

As I see this case, at the present time, I've only had it for a couple of weeks, but at the present time I see that it's probably going to be necessary to group cases. But if we look at it from the standpoint of the universe, the groupings, two groupings come to mind: One is property damage claims only; and, two, personal injury claims, either only or with property damage.

The personal injury claims are probably going to take a little longer to resolve because there may be diagnosis problems,

3

)2:21:48

)2:22:01

)2:21:55 2

12:22:08 4

)2:22:11 5

)2:22:17 6

02:22:24 7

)2:22:32 8

02:22:36 9

)2:22:41 10

02:22:48 11

02:22:51 12

)2:22:58 13

02:23:04 14

02:23:08 15

02:23:12 16

)2:23:16 17

)2:23:26 18

02:23:31 19

02:23:36 20

)2:23:43 21

02:23:51 22

)2:23:55 23

02:24:04 24

)2:24:10 25

issues, there are Daubert issues, causation issues, preexisting issues, expert issues, and those matters may take a little longer to get to. I hope to begin trying those within a year, but I think we can do better than that with the property damage.

The property damage cases, it seems to me, ought to be able to be dealt with on a faster track. I think that for those cases we ought to be able to at least begin trials within six months, and that's what I'm going to be looking to you to do.

I'll talk a little bit more later about my thinking on conducting bellwether cases and how we go about selecting cases and beginning the trials.

In a matter of this sort, at present I have two liaison counsel that will have the responsibility presently of serving everything. I am only going to serve two people, they have to serve the hundreds or thousands of people who are involved in this case. Now that presents a problem, obviously logistically, so we need to know your names and your addresses and some basic information, and I've prepared a form for you, and we'll have it available, it gives your name and your address and how you can be served. And I'll have them up here and I would hope that you would fill it out and leave it with us before you leave, and that will ensure that you get proper service.

But in the long-term, I have encouraged liaison to think about an outside provider to supply the necessary service, and I've invited LexisNexis to come and to make their presentation. Liaison

3

)2:24:18

)2:24:29

)2:24:25 2

12:24:33 4

)2:24:39 5

)2:24:42 6

)2:24:47 7

)2:24:50 8

)2:24:54 9

)2:24:58 10

02:25:02 11

02:25:04 12

02:25:07 13

)2:25:12 14

)2:25:16 15

)2:25:17 16

)2:25:19 17

)2:25:23 18

02:25:30 19

02:25:36 20

)2:25:45 21

)2:25:48 22

)2:25:57 23

02:26:04 24

)2:26:12 25

counsel for both sides have met with them, and I'll ask liaison counsel at this time to give me some read as to what decisions, if any, have been made. Kerry, do you want to start?

MR. MILLER: Sure, your Honor. We had a meeting of the defense counsel who are here at my office prior to this hearing, and in connection with that meeting we invited the LexisNexis representatives to come over and they gave their presentation.

Based upon what I observed in the meeting and the feedback I got from the other defense counsel, I think the defendants -- and when I say that, I have siting with me the members of the Defense Steering Committee who have submitted an unopposed application to be appointed -- and the feedback I got from that group primarily is that the defense will be fine with using LexisNexis and their file and serve product to go ahead and serve and receive pleadings in the case.

THE COURT: How about the plaintiffs?

MR. HERMAN: May it please the court, yesterday we met with Defense Liaison Counsel, I want to give a particular thanks to the clerk's office, to Lorreta Whyte and Gene Smith who were present and offered invaluable advices. We met with the representatives of LexisNexis, we negotiated fees that are very reasonable, and we have an agreement as of today with liaison counsel and his group to have LexisNexis act as service.

I do need to make one explanation based on your Honor's direction and experience in MDL 1657. When lawyers file, they have

2

3

4

5

)2:26:20

)2:26:25

)2:26:31

12:26:36

)2:26:40

)2:26:42 6

)2:26:46 7

)2:26:49 8

)2:26:51 9

)2:26:59 10

)2:27:02 11

02:27:08 12

)2:27:12 13

02:27:20 14

)2:27:24 15

02:27:30 16

02:27:36 17

)2:27:46 18

02:27:56 19

02:28:01 20

02:28:03 21

02:28:07 22

)2:28:12 23

02:28:18 24

)2:28:22 25

to use the electronic system with the clerk but they're also going to have to get a specific number and register with LexisNexis. You can't file an official pleading with LexisNexis, it has to be filed with the clerk's office. But you also are going to need to register with LexisNexis.

And we believe that this system will work very well, your Honor. It's also cost-effective.

THE COURT: All right. When something is filed with the court, the clerk's office will notify the liaison counsel, but the liaison counsel are the only ones that will be served by the clerk's office. LexisNexis, when they get the pleading, they will hit the button and everybody will get it, whoever is registered will get something from them so they can keep up to it that way.

I mentioned putting the property damage cases on the fast track. Part of that is going to require cooperation from both sides with regard to compiling a plaintiff fact sheet, defendant fact sheets, immediate inspection protocol, and developing a protocol for preserving any evidence that has been gathered, and also we have to deal with those instances that require immediate remediation.

So I am going to look to the parties to work that out.

I've instructed them to begin, liaison counsel to begin drawing together their fact sheets. I would hope to have those finished by tomorrow and inspection protocol by the next three or four days.

Let me hear from the parties on that.

)2:28:24

)2:28:33 2

)2:28:37 3

12:28:40 4

)2:28:43 5

)2:28:47 6

)2:28:50 7

)2:28:52 8

)2:28:56 9

02:29:04 10

02:29:04 11

02:29:09 12

02:29:11 13

02:29:15 14

)2:29:19 15

02:29:22 16

02:29:25 17

)2:29:26 18

02:29:30 19

02:29:34 20

)2:29:37 21

02:29:42 22

02:29:44 23

02:29:48 24

02:29:52 25

MR. MILLER: Your Honor, we appreciate your comments about trying to get this litigation organized and we think it's a good outline of a plan to bring some order to what is obviously going be to a very large and complex matter.

The defendants have been working on the plaintiff fact sheet, your Honor, and we're hopeful to be able to get something to the plaintiffs and to the court in the next couple of days on that. We have a draft and where we're at right now, we worked on it a little bit this morning, the defendants in this case, there are essentially four different layers of defendant: Manufacturer, supplier, building contractor and installer. While no installers are yet in the case, we think they may be.

But at any rate, the other three layers are working on the sections on the plaintiff fact sheet. We think the sheet can be two pages or less, your Honor, be really summary and get down to the business of trying to organize and categorize what we're dealing with.

By the same token we will work with the court and with liaison counsel and Plaintiff Steering Committee, when that is confected, on the creation or submission of joint inspection protocols identifying potential inspectors so that we can get that process underway soon, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. With regard to the fact sheets, I reinforce this that this is not in lieu of discovery and it's not going to cut you off of discovery, it's not going to nail you down

3

5

)2:29:56

)2:30:07

)2:30:14

)2:30:18

02:30:23 7

)2:30:26 8

02:30:32 9

02:30:36 10

02:30:38 11

02:30:42 12

02:30:45 13

02:30:49 14

)2:30:54 15

02:30:54 16

)2:30:58 17

)2:31:05 18

02:31:10 19

02:31:15 20

)2:31:21 21

02:31:26 22

02:31:30 23

02:31:35 24

)2:31:36 25

02:30:01 2

)2:30:11 4

into a particular fact pattern or anything of that sort. The fact sheets, the purpose of the fact sheets, we need to know things that are very basic so that inspections can begin.

I really want to get the inspections conducted. I would hope to get the inspections started and completed within the month. We ought to be able to do that. Now that's going to require teams of inspectors, you're not going to have one inspector going around the country working 24 hours a day inspecting property. But you should be able to get teams of inspectors and be able to accomplish this.

I think it's important, first of all, from the standpoint of I think from the defendant's standpoint, they ought to know whether or not it's their drywall or whose drywall it is or what the problem is. From the plaintiffs' standpoint they need to know also.

So we need to be able to do the inspections quickly and find out how much potentially problematic drywall there is in the house or in the building and who owned the drywall, if it is so marked. That's a key part of it. Some of the other aspects of the air monitoring and things of that sort, it's a little nuance but that may have to go along with it but it may have to follow, maybe it's the next day or following day or whatever it is, it's not going to stop that moving but we have to do some identification and inspections immediately.

MR. MILLER: Yes, your Honor. On that note, I guess the

3

4

5

)2:31:39

)2:31:47

12:31:48

)2:31:50

)2:31:54

02:31:59 7

)2:32:02 8

02:32:06 9

)2:32:07 10

02:32:09 11

02:32:12 12

02:32:16 13

)2:32:25 14

02:32:32 15

02:32:39 16

02:32:47 17

)2:32:53 18

02:33:01 19

02:33:06 20

)2:33:11 21

02:33:16 22

02:33:20 23

02:33:24 24

02:33:30 25

)2:31:47 2

Defense Steering Committee is a little bit at an advantage in that we have a committee ready, willing and able to get to work, we are going to mobilize and do that.

Later on in the agenda I am going to have one of the committee members from Florida speak about a particular Florida law, 5:58 is the statute number, that relates to inspections under Florida law, and we are going to see if we can try and integrate those concepts along with the inspections we are going to be doing in this MDL proceeding.

THE COURT: Let me hear from the plaintiff on those issues.

MR. HERMAN: Judge Fallon, we've had two meetings with Defense Liaison Counsel on inspection protocols and fact sheets. What we expect from plaintiff liaison's point of vantage is to provide a defense fact sheet, an agreed plaintiff fact sheet, a joint inspection protocol, a group of experts acceptable to plaintiffs to make inspections along with their resumes, and if necessary, very early Frye or Daubert hearings so that we will have not only inspectors from the plaintiffs' side but we will know that whatever these inspectors are able to determine will be satisfactory for trial testimony and deposition testimony.

Being an attorney who is often in error but never in doubt, I would expect to have these things accomplished within two weeks. And at the time a Plaintiff Steering Committee is chosen by your Honor, hopefully we will have done our work well enough, we

3

)2:33:34

)2:33:45

12:33:49

)2:33:54

)2:34:01 6

02:34:09 7

)2:34:11 8

)2:34:18 9

)2:34:27 10

)2:34:34 11

02:34:40 12

)2:34:48 13

)2:34:51 14

)2:34:55 15

02:35:01 16

02:35:09 17

)2:35:13 18

02:35:20 19

)2:35:21 20

)2:35:28 21

02:35:34 22

12:35:39 23

02:35:41 24

)2:35:49 25

)2:33:39 2

will have gotten enough input from plaintiff firms that all of those issues can be ratified by your Plaintiff Steering Committee and we're prepared to move on.

We understand from plaintiff Florida counsel the problems associated with 5:58. There are some peculiar laws that apply in each state. We reserve that to provide your Honor with discrete issues at some future time.

In sum, your Honor, we expect to have all of the matters that you directed resolved in a short period of time. I would expect, your Honor, that the inspections, today is July 9, I would expect that by August 30 we will be well on our way with substantial inspections. It is my hope that the plaintiff attorneys, firms that have communicated with me that they have already undergone inspections. We will submit their properties, and particularly those where there are hardship issues, to be put on a line for immediate inspection. Those with property damage only and which constitute a hardship.

THE COURT: Okay. Just a couple of comments on that.

First, with regard to the experts, you need to let me know both sides whether or not you wish me to do a 706 experts meeting, I can adopt yours and make them the court's or I can appoint my own. I would rather not appoint my own, but that's a potential that at least you have to think about.

With regard to the state courts -- anybody that has that will they please turn it off. Thank you.

)2:35:53

)2:36:01

)2:36:06

)2:36:13

)2:36:15 6

02:36:21 7

)2:36:25 8

02:36:33 9

)2:36:37 10

02:36:46 11

02:36:53 12

02:37:03 13

)2:37:13 14

)2:37:18 15

02:37:21 16

)2:37:28 17

)2:37:36 18

02:37:42 19

02:37:47 20

)2:37:54 21

02:38:01 22

02:38:07 23

02:38:11 24

02:38:23 25

)2:35:56 2

3

4

5

I've been in touch with the state courts, I am going to try the best I can to coordinate with the state litigation. I understand that Florida is a Frye jurisdiction. When we begin our Daubert hearings, I hope to have the state judges here with me who can participate, we'll do the Daubert and Frye together. We will do those proceedings hopefully at one time and anybody, any judge who has a different law will ask the questions that is appropriate to their particular law and then issue whatever they need to issue from their vantage point. But hopefully we can do those qualifications, basic qualifications, basic experts at one time rather than just doing longer more than once.

I have already forwarded my orders to state judges so that they can at least see what we've done, and I've offered whatever modest amount that we can give to them, I've offered to do so.

In cases of this sort, many cases need to be filed and occasionally there is a logistic problem in filing cases. You may think it more advantageous -- and I am not suggesting you do or you don't -- but it's possible that you may feel that cases are similar and they should be joined. If you do, proceed that way. Please give us an alphabetical list of cases so that we can find them and they will be docketed in a joint fashion. And I'll treat them later if that becomes problematic, I'll deal with it.

The one issue, on No. 6 now, one issue is preservation of evidence, spoliation issues, and we talked a little bit about

2

3

4

5

)2:38:27

)2:38:34

)2:38:42

12:38:54

)2:39:01

)2:39:09

)2:39:16 7

)2:39:22 8

)2:39:27 9

)2:39:34 10

02:39:38 11

02:39:46 12

02:39:51 13

02:39:58 14

02:40:04 15

02:40:09 16

02:40:18 17

)2:40:22 18

02:40:28 19

02:40:34 20

)2:40:42 21

02:40:49 22

02:40:56 23

02:40:59 24

)2:41:07 25

remediation. With regard to some of the property issues, it's early to put this in the mix, but when we're dealing with property damage claims, it may be faster to flip it and deal with damage rather than liability and let the jury determine damages and then deal with liability later. I don't know whether it is or it isn't, but at least that's a potential in the mix. I don't see any problem with that, but that's something at least to think about.

The preservation of evidence issues, that's something that you need to be conscience of. I would like to make sure that if the evidence is collected it is available both to state and federal courts, so I will also invite the judges to have some input on the evidence and where it is stored and how it is kept so that any chain will be satisfied from their standpoint.

No. 7 is direct filling in the MDL. For those of you who have done MDL work, you know the method of filling in an MDL is to file in your state federal court. The MDL Panel says that they think there will be about 17 states involved in this process, maybe more, but at least. And oftentimes the cases are filed in federal court in that particular state and then it is forwarded to or directed by the MDL to this court. It takes about two months to do that, occasionally longer if there is a problem, and that can hold you up if you don't watch that aspect of the case.

What I have done in the past is to permit filing directly into the MDL. That shortens the process, you get here immediately and you can get on the train or get on the wagon or whatever it is

immediately.

)2:41:12 1

)2:41:16 2

)2:41:20 3

)2:41:27 4

)2:41:29 5

)2:41:36 6

02:41:44 7

)2:41:48 8

)2:41:54 9

)2:41:59 10

02:42:02 11

02:42:07 12

02:42:14 13

02:42:20 14

)2:42:32 15

02:42:32 16

)2:42:32 17

)2:42:37 18

02:42:42 19

)2:42:47 20

)2:42:54 21

02:42:59 22

02:43:03 23

02:43:07 24

)2:43:11 25

It can only be done, however, with some cooperation between the parties. The defendants in essence have to waive venue because I don't have venue, I have jurisdiction but I don't have venue in a case that happened in Arizona or in Texas or in Florida, but I do have jurisdiction and I will get it eventually. But the way it's done in the past is for defendants to waive venue but maintain all of their other defenses. And the fact that it's filed here if it's from Florida and I try it here, I would be trying under Florida law or Mississippi law or Texas law or something of that sort. But it would give me -- it can be filed directly.

I can't do that by decree, it needs to be done by some stipulation of the parties. It's been done in the past by me, as well as I think some other folks, and it works. But that's the way it has to be done.

Adding additional parties is something that the parties wanted to take up. Anything from liaison on that?

MR. MILLER: Again, just briefly, your Honor, on the issue of adding additional parties. A couple of considerations:

No. 1, I understand from plaintiff liaison counsel and some other plaintiff lawyers that there may be an attempt to add homeowner insurers and insurers of various defendants to the case. We are going to make sure that the fact sheets have lines for that information because the idea would be to go ahead and add them sooner rather than later. If they're subject to a separate track

3

)2:43:14

)2:43:20

)2:43:18 2

)2:43:24 4

)2:43:27 5

)2:43:30 6

)2:43:35 7

)2:43:39 8

)2:43:45 9

)2:43:48 10

)2:43:53 11

02:43:57 12

02:44:03 13

02:44:05 14

02:44:08 15

02:44:12 16

02:44:16 17

)2:44:21 18

02:44:24 19

02:44:31 20

)2:44:37 21

02:44:46 22

)2:44:52 23

)2:44:59 24

)2:45:07 25

then so be it, we can deal with it later down the road, but we think they should be add sooner rather than later.

Secondly, your Honor, during the product identification process, at least for the property claims, we know from the review of the cases that have been filed -- and I think we've looked at about 270 separate cases, that were either supplied by the defendants or the plaintiffs that have been filed -- we know that there are some defendants missing that will likely be implicated either during the fact sheet process when plaintiffs look at their records and figure out who built their homes or who supplied their drywall or what label or tag is on the Sheetrock in their house, certainly during the inspection process we anticipate additional manufacturers, suppliers and builders will come to the floor.

What we need to be careful about is that what we do between now and the time that these parties are added to the litigation, we don't have to redo. So I think we all need to be mindful of being efficient and streamlined and smart on those issues.

MR. HERMAN: May it please the court, to date, as far as I am aware, there are only four manufacturers that have yet been identified. Recently the Los Angeles Times indicated that there are numerous manufacturers of "Chinese drywall". A number of inspections have indicated that there is only the appellation made in China rather than a direct reference to a manufacturer, particular manufacturer.

)2:45:11 1

)2:45:15 2

3

4

)2:45:20

12:45:27

)2:45:32 5

)2:45:40 6

)2:45:43 7

)2:45:48 8

)2:45:50 9

)2:45:56 10

02:46:07 11

02:46:12 12

)2:46:14 13

02:46:20 14

)2:46:24 15

02:46:29 16

)2:46:37 17

)2:46:43 18

02:46:45 19

02:46:49 20

02:46:54 21

)2:46:57 22

02:47:00 23

02:47:04 24

)2:47:10 25

We have requested from U.S. Customs and from some journalists who are investigating the matter, the names of the other manufacturers such as they know and how they have learned this information. We would hope to share that with all attorneys who register in the MDL or with LexisNexis so that they will have an opportunity to take a look at inspections they've already made and will be making in order to make sure all of the parties are joined.

We also at a luncheon today learned that there are four major suppliers that were not well-known or not known, and we're going to be making efforts to have them properly joined in the federal pleadings.

where we have 100 or so people in the audience there may well be someone who is associated with or represents someone who has not been named and wishes to be anonymous, and I understand that. But you need to be aware of the fact that the train is leaving the station, and if you want to participate in the discovery, you better get on it because you're liable to live with the discovery and find that you're stuck with it.

I am going to do my very best to give everybody an opportunity, I told you about the web site, you will have an opportunity to know what's going on. If you take advantage of that opportunity, you're welcome to. If you don't, you shouldn't be able to say we didn't know what was going on. So you may be

waiving some rights.

1

3

4

)2:47:13

)2:47:21

12:47:28

)2:47:33

)2:47:37 6

)2:47:43 7

)2:47:50 8

)2:47:52 9

)2:47:57 10

02:48:01 11

02:48:07 12

)2:48:11 13

)2:48:15 14

)2:48:18 15

)2:48:23 16

)2:48:26 17

)2:48:37 18

02:48:40 19

)2:48:43 20

)2:48:56 21

)2:48:56 22

02:49:00 23

02:49:08 24

)2:49:11 25

)2:47:15 2

Also, if the cases are tried in New Orleans and under Louisiana law, we will be asking the jury to make decisions on responsibility and liability. Whether or not you're in the case your name may be presented to the jury and the jury may find that you're responsible. It may not mean anything other than for your good will and future sales possibilities, or for that matter it may mean something.

And so the fact that you're in doesn't mean that you're liable, the fact that you're in doesn't mean that you'll stay in until the end if you have adequate defenses, if it's not your product, if you had nothing to do with it, then you ought to get in and out as quickly as you can, a revolving door may work for you. But I suspect that it's not going to be helpful to you to try to ignore the litigation. We are not going to wait for you and you need to know that if you are here.

Master complaint, I think it's efficient in MDLs to try to pull together as quickly as possible a master complaint. I don't think it's able to done this week or next week because we need more information, you need more claims, theories of liability, defenses, and things of that sort so that you don't need to constantly amend that master complaint. But I think it's helpful to have and I expect that one will be forth coming as quickly as is reasonable.

Anything other than that from liaison counsel?

MR. HERMAN: No, your Honor.

)2:49:14

)2:49:19 2

)2:49:23 3

)2:49:31 5

)2:49:37 6

)2:49:45 7

)2:49:49 8

)2:49:55 9

)2:50:03 10

02:50:11 11

02:50:15 12

)2:50:19 13

02:50:31 14

02:50:36 15

02:50:41 16

)2:50:45 17

)2:50:51 18

02:50:58 19

02:51:02 20

)2:51:10 21

02:51:16 22

)2:51:21 23

02:51:21 24

)2:51:28 25

12:49:26

THE COURT: Service on foreign defendants, anything from plaintiff or defendants on that?

MR. HERMAN: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. The issues in service in cases involving a foreign defendant presents some challenges occasionally because the service oftentimes, as we know, in maritime matters is done by the Haig Convention. Unfortunately, costs have increased in that aspect of the case and it's rather hefty now. It wasn't that hefty in the "old days," but it is now. I recognize that.

But also that presents a problem, not only from the standpoint of plaintiffs, but it can also present a problem from the standpoint of defendants. If it's too expensive to have a plaintiff serve a defendant, the plaintiff may simply sue someone else and have that someone else sue and serve the defendant.

The difficulty with that is that if it's a third-party intervention or third-party complaint or something of that sort, that conceivably can be delayed until after a judgment. So that can present problems from the standpoint of the defendant putting off their issues for years and keeping it unresolved.

So the point that I make is that it may advantage us for both sides to get over this service hurdle, if it is indeed a hurdle.

I talked about the bellwether trials. What my thinking is from the standpoint of bellwether trials, and this is just early

2

3

5

)2:51:33

)2:51:39

)2:51:46

)2:52:00

)2:51:51 4

)2:52:05 6

02:52:09 7

)2:52:14 8

02:52:19 9

)2:52:23 10

02:52:26 11

02:52:36 12

02:52:39 13

)2:52:44 14

)2:52:51 15

02:53:00 16

02:53:08 17

)2:53:15 18

02:53:23 19

02:53:26 20

)2:53:33 21

02:53:37 22

02:53:43 23

02:53:49 24

)2:53:51 25

on, and I want to be able to simply write this in pencil because as times change it may have to be erased and refashioned. But my thought at this time is that I would like to give each side an opportunity to pick ten cases and look at the cases closely and then come up with five for each side.

And I say pick ten cases because you need to do some initial discovery on those cases to see whether or not what you thought they were they really are. And oftentimes when you pick a case for bellwether and you get into it, you say, my God, I shouldn't have picked that case, I should have picked another case. And that's just the way of the world. So I suggest that each side pick ten, then come down to five. I'll give each side two strikes, so we'll come up with six. We'll try five cases, one will be a swing in the event one of the five fall by the way side. I would hope we would be able to move those, as I say, rather quickly.

The federal/state coordination I've talked about. One issue that I do want to cover at this time is the plaintiff time billing guidelines. I've appointed Phil Garrett to be the CPA expert in this particular case. I am serious about saying that anybody who wishes to work from the plaintiff's standpoint, they have an opportunity to do so but you need to be conscious of what you need to do to log your time or your billing or your costs, which may entitle you to some common benefit work.

Phil, why don't you discuss that briefly with us.

MR. GARRETT: Thank you, your Honor. What we've done is

3

)2:53:54

)2:54:03

)2:53:57 2

)2:54:07 4

)2:54:11 5

)2:54:15 6

02:54:18 7

)2:54:20 8

)2:54:23 9

)2:54:26 10

)2:54:29 11

02:54:33 12

02:54:36 13

)2:54:39 14

)2:54:42 15

02:54:47 16

)2:54:51 17

)2:54:55 18

02:54:57 19

02:55:02 20

)2:55:05 21

02:55:10 22

)2:55:14 23

02:55:22 24

)2:55:23 25

we've prepared some slides, your Honor, just to show the mechanics of what goes on. You will be issuing the actual rules of how of what time and what expenses can be submitted. But what we're going to do is actually put some guidelines up today because we want everybody to be aware that it is a big job to report the time and expenses and a lot of people are used to only reporting the time and expenses toward the end of the case, and we will talk about that.

First thing we have to do is gather the time and cost information. One of the things your Honor would like to have done is to have all of the time and costs submitted on a monthly basis rather than accumulating for six months or a year or longer, we want to have this done so we can submit it on a monthly basis. If the people who are doing common benefit time and common benefit costs would have somebody in their office start organizing this stuff on a monthly basis, after two or three months, a system will be there, they can submit it on a monthly basis, it will be electronic and it will flow very smoothly.

When we organize the time, you know, you're going to have your own time system that's going to accumulate all of the hours that were for common benefit on this particular MDL, but that time has to be by quarter hours, it has to conform with the pretrial orders -- which means it has to have a summary if you're dealing with a particular timekeeper. We only want to see that timekeeper's totals, but we want to have behind that all of the

backup that shows it was kept contemporaneously.

1

3

4

5

)2:55:24

)2:55:30

)2:55:33

)2:55:37

)2:55:41 6

02:55:47 7

)2:55:51 8

)2:55:55 9

)2:55:57 10

02:56:00 11

02:56:05 12

02:56:10 13

02:56:14 14

)2:56:17 15

02:56:21 16

02:56:23 17

)2:56:25 18

02:56:28 19

)2:56:32 20

02:56:34 21

02:56:38 22

02:56:42 23

02:56:45 24

)2:56:50 25

)2:55:27 2

If we're organizing expenses, we need the list of expenses; but more than that, we also need the receipts for the expenses. If you're flying first class from here to Houston and the pretrial order says that you can only be allowed coach airfare, we need a document that shows us what is the coach airfare. So the pretrial order guidelines are going to be issued or have been issued, and they must be adhered to and then you must take your information and then fit it into those.

Once you've gotten your information together, we have a web site now that you will be able to dial into and post into that the case cost management. You will be able to upload -- hopefully you will be filing this stuff electronically so it will be Adobe files, you'll be uploading with their indexes. And then the web site will allow you to go back in and see if any of those costs have been rejected or what submissions you all have actually submitted in the past.

So the first thing you really have to do is get somebody in your office who is going to gather the cost and time information. The requirement is going to be 15 days after the end of the month; and after six months, if you have not filed the stuff, you're going to be barred from filing. So if we're dealing with the month of September, you can file September hopefully by October 15th. Then November, then December, then January, then February, but after March on April 1st, you will not be allowed to

file for that September 2009 time any longer.

1

5

)2:56:54

)2:56:58 2

)2:57:01 3

)2:57:05 4

)2:57:13 6

02:57:18 7

)2:57:22 8

)2:57:27 9

)2:57:32 10

)2:57:42 11

02:57:42 12

02:57:43 13

)2:57:45 14

)2:57:48 15

)2:57:52 16

02:57:58 17

02:58:02 18

02:58:06 19

02:58:07 20

)2:58:11 21

02:58:16 22

02:58:18 23

02:58:21 24

)2:58:27 25

)2:57:09

So we're asking everybody please get these guidelines to your people, let them start submitting it on an electronic basis so they can get used it and it will work well. The whole thing is you need to start in-house getting this information together.

The index becomes very important. I am a CPA so by nature I grew up doing tax returns from Schwegmann bags. I don't want your Schwegmann bags on litigation. If you just pile up all of the information and send it to us in a big PDF file, it's going to take hours and hours for us to reconcile it; and liaison counsel is probably going to tell me to send it back to you. So please have somebody make up an index, have them do the numbers so each page refers to a particular number.

You can see on this sample index I've done here, No. 7 is a private jet for \$11,000; No. 8 is first class ticket for \$3,600. And it may be important for you to put those numbers into your documentation, but what I really need is the comparable coach airfare, which is 1,500, and you see that column is the column that I will add down.

So it's a very simplistic index, but I think it's a real key to saving us a lot of time and to save you all from having a lot of rejections.

Once you've organized the stuff and you got the indexes done, you can dial into the Garrett code there, ccms.com, and this will be in the pretrial orders, that will give you a submit expense

report. From there, it's very simple, you just take your index, put in the dollar amounts there. When you hit submit, it will come up with a signature page where you will have a pin number to either sign electronically or you can print it down and attach it to the documentation.

And then you will submit your expenses and it will ask you to upload your file documentation, so all of that will come to us electronically. You can see you will be able to select the case, if it's a particular trial or one of the bellwether trials or just the MDL in general, you will be able to pick the month and so forth.

We think having forms filed electronically we won't be dealing with anymore lost in the mail issues or we didn't get it or whatever, it will all be captured in one web site.

The same thing with the time, once you have the information gathered, you can see here we have two attorneys that we are going to ask their hours and we're going to ask for it by certain tabs, case assessment, pretrial pleadings, discovery and so forth. Along with that you will have to put the documentation that shows the records were kept contemporaneously.

By doing this we have everybody, we will comparing apples to apples with everybody in the firm and your Honor can do it. And so when you submit the time, you will also be uploading your documentation to back that up, too.

The good news is, one of the things that will happen in

12:59:04 13
12:59:08 14
12:59:12 15
12:59:14 16
12:59:18 17
12:59:21 18
12:59:25 19
12:59:30 20
12:59:33 21
12:59:35 22
12:59:35 23

02:59:42 24

02:59:44 25

1

3

)2:58:31

)2:58:35

)2:58:39

)2:58:44

)2:58:42 4

)2:58:45 6

)2:58:47 7

)2:58:51 8

)2:58:54 9

)2:58:58 10

02:59:01 11

02:59:02 12

the report, once you've issued your submissions, you will be able to tell what's going on. This report will be available for each individual firm to see where theirs stands. You can see on the first one, the first two are MDL generals and we have "to be reviewed" is the status in the far right column. So we haven't posted those.

The one we did post had \$5,500 was submitted, \$5,500 was

)3:00:12 8

03:00:16 9

03:00:23 10

03:00:26 11

03:00:29 12

03:00:32 13

03:00:35 14

03:00:38 15

03:00:39 16

03:00:42 17

03:00:45 18

03:00:48 19

03:00:52 20

03:00:54 21

03:00:56 22

03:01:01 23

03:01:06 24

03:01:12 25

The one we did post had \$5,500 was submitted, \$5,500 was approved. There was one for \$9,902 in the fourth column that was submitted that we only approved \$8,250 of and \$1,652 rejected.

The web site will let you go view the summary and go view the documentation so you will be able to see our notes as to what happened. If you have a problem with what's happened, you're more than welcome to contact us and we can go over it with you. If there's more information to be submitted, you can submit an additional submission.

So you will be able to tell and each one of those columns is sortable by you. So if you want to know just show me the rejected you can do it. If you're out of town, you can do this from anywhere that you can get to a web site, 24/7, so there is no reason everybody shouldn't know exactly where they stand.

And then you will have the month. So you can see here they filed time for two months but they filed expenses for four months, so they have some catch up to do get their work up-to-date.

So get started, contact the information, I need the contact information sent from the Plaintiff Steering Committee or

3

03:01:14

)3:01:22

)3:01:29

03:01:18 2

)3:01:25 4

)3:01:32 6

03:01:36 7

)3:01:37 8

03:01:40 9

03:01:44 10

03:01:48 11

03:01:52 12

03:02:05 13

03:02:06 14

03:02:10 15

03:02:13 16

03:02:15 17

03:02:28 18

03:02:28 19

03:02:28 20

03:02:30 21

03:02:32 22

03:02:36 23

03:02:39 24

03:02:42 25

plaintiff liaison counsel so I will know who to be able to send user names and passwords to and put the group together.

Gather the information, organize the time with index, organize the costs with an index, and make sure it complies with the pretrial order. If it doesn't comply, don't make me reject it, go ahead and just adjust the numbers yourself, send it to us and we will be able to deal with it.

Once we get your contact information, we will be sending you a user ID and a password and then you can start entering your information. You don't have to wait for a particular time. Once a month is over and you've had time and costs involved, you can go ahead and enter that information then.

Thank you, Mr. Herman. One of the points he was making is that once you have your password and your user name, nobody else will be able to see your information. So it's not where we're going to have one firm looking at another firm and comparing what they're doing to somebody else. It will be a totally secure web site, you will have your password. And if Firm A dials in, they can only look at their stuff and only get reports on their stuff; if Firm B dials in, they can only look at whatever their information is.

Only one that can circumvent that would be your Honor and if he wants, he can go look at anybody's stuff. But other than that, every firm will be totally independent.

MR. HERMAN: And the defense counsel can't see it either,

can they?

1

3

4

5

03:02:45

)3:02:48

13:02:50

)3:02:54

)3:02:57

03:03:01 7

)3:03:05 8

03:03:09 9

03:03:15 10

03:03:20 11

03:03:23 12

03:03:27 13

03:03:31 14

03:03:35 15

03:03:39 16

03:03:42 17

03:03:44 18

03:03:48 19

03:03:52 20

03:03:54 21

03:03:58 22

03:04:01 23

03:04:01 24

03:04:04 25

03:02:45 2

MR. GARRETT: Not unless they convince Judge Fallon.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. And I am going to try to work with the states on this so it will be adopted throughout the country with the states who are handling this also because oftentimes the work is being done in the states and I don't know about it and all of a sudden at the end there's some disagreement as to what was common benefit and what was not common benefit. So hopefully this will alleviate some of those problems.

Other matters, Kerry, you wanted to have other people address the court?

MR. MILLER: May it please the court, your Honor, this is a big case with a lot of issues, there is no doubt about that, I only know some of the issues. I think it would be a benefit of the court and for the other side, the plaintiffs to hear from a couple of the members of the Defense Steering Committee. They will provide a little bit more detail on some of the points that we touched upon that I think will be helpful for the court and the plaintiffs to be able to understand it, because it will be part, I think, of the next several steps in the litigation, preservation of evidence, joint inspections, and things that are very important for us to get this thing moving forward and in the right collection direction.

So I am going to let them introduce themselves. They're in the unopposed motion for appointment to the Defense Steering

Committee. They will identify who they represent and discuss some issues. What we talked about is it will be a five minute presentation by a builder rep and a five minute representation by a supply rep.

THE COURT: Okay.

1

3

4

03:04:08

)3:04:14

)3:04:17

)3:04:18

)3:04:19 6

03:04:26 7

)3:04:30 8

03:04:32 9

03:04:36 10

03:04:39 11

03:04:43 12

03:04:46 13

03:04:50 14

03:04:54 15

03:05:00 16

03:05:01 17

03:05:05 18

03:05:07 19

03:05:11 20

03:05:14 21

03:05:19 22

03:05:24 23

03:05:27 24

03:05:32 25

03:04:10 2

MS. BASS: Thank you. May it please the court, my name is Hilarie Bass from Greenberg Traurig in Miami, Florida, and I represent Lennar and U.S. Homes in this case.

I appreciate the court giving us just a few moments this afternoon to describe some of the unique things about the home builder position in this case, because in many ways the home builders are actually more aligned with the plaintiffs group than with many of the manufacturer defendants certainly in the defense group. And the reason why that is is because the home builders perceived they are also victims was of this defective product.

Most of the home builders simply hired installers who came in and put up drywall which was unidentified to them and which, of course, they were unaware of any defect.

But most importantly, many of the home builders involved in this case are currently spending millions of dollars out of pocket to go in and repair their defective homes, basically taking the drywall down, taking the homeowners out, paying to put them some place else, putting their furniture in storage, taking the home down to the studs, replacing with clean, fresh appropriately non-defective drywall and then putting the homeowner in. And

that's something that we have, for the most part, been doing voluntarily in an effort to stand behind our warranty and to protect our brand.

1

03:05:35

03:05:40 2

03:05:43 3

13:05:44 4

)3:05:47 5

)3:05:51 6

)3:05:54 7

)3:05:55 8

03:05:58 9

03:06:01 10

03:06:04 11

03:06:08 12

03:06:09 13

03:06:12 14

03:06:15 15

03:06:19 16

03:06:23 17

03:06:26 18

03:06:30 19

03:06:34 20

03:06:34 21

03:06:37 22

03:06:40 23

03:06:43 24

03:06:48 25

What that also reflects, however, is that there are significant differences in the positions we are likely to take in this litigation with that many of the defendants and certainly the manufacturers.

Your Honor noted previously that the home builders had filed a secondary request for additional liaison counsel, just to reflect the fact that there are basic fundamental differences between the position of the home builders in this case and the manufacturers.

Certainly as it comes to service, for example, we've already been told by the representative of the manufacturers that it is not their intention to accept service, so that means that each of the other defendants who are involved in cross-claims and of course the plaintiffs as well, are going to be forced to spend 15 to \$25,000 for each one of these cases to be served to the Haig. Hopefully your Honor's admonition this afternoon may have some impact on that.

But even beyond that, we're also aware they're going to be objecting to such things as whether or not this court has the ability to assert personal jurisdiction over them, whether or not there is a basis to bring in German parents of the manufacturers.

There are also significant differences regarding our

)3:06:51

03:06:54 2

)3:06:57 3

13:07:01 4

03:07:05 5

03:07:09 6

03:07:14 7

)3:07:22 8

03:07:22 9

03:07:25 10

03:07:27 11

03:07:28 12

03:07:33 13

03:07:39 14

03:07:41 15

03:07:44 16

03:07:47 17

03:07:49 18

03:07:53 19

03:07:56 20

03:08:01 21

03:08:02 22

03:08:07 23

03:08:10 24

03:08:13 25

repair protocol. We've already been told by the manufacturers that they object to the fact that the home builders are out there repairing these homes and that they would like us to try any one of a number of unproven and untested schemes, whether it's air filtration or sealants or various other methodologies, none of which have proved effective to us to protect our homeowners from the problems emanating from this drywall. So we have chosen to go in and repair, and the only way that we know how replacement of the drywall. But again, another fundamental difference in the positions that will be taken by the manufacturers and the home builders.

And of course lastly, we would hope that whatever resolution comes out of this MDL case, whether it's by your honor or by a jury, whatever defendants are in the room are going to stand behind any judgment tendered. Unfortunately, we do not have any level of confidence that the Chinese manufacturers are prepared to so that. And, in fact, we've been affirmatively informed that there has never been a U.S. judgment that has ever been paid by any Chinese manufacturer. Another reason why we are extremely concerned about the Chinese manufacturers in any way representing the rest of the defendant group.

It may well be, your Honor, that it when it comes time for you to consider master complaints that it might be appropriate to have a separate master complaint on behalf of the home builders. In fact, Lennar was one of the first drywall complaints filed

3

4

5

6

03:08:16

03:08:24

03:08:28

)3:08:31

03:08:34

03:08:37 7

)3:08:40 8

03:08:44 9

03:08:46

03:08:50 11

03:08:52 12

03:08:57 13

03:09:00 14

03:09:03 15

03:09:05 16

03:09:09 17

03:09:13 18

03:09:17 19

03:09:21 20

03:09:24 21

03:09:28 22

03:09:30 23

03:09:35 24

03:09:44 25

03:08:21 2

anywhere in this country. We, as a plaintiffs, were the first to assert claims against the Chinese manufacturers and others in the supply chain to attempt to recover the damages that we've incurred by virtue of the millions of dollars we're spending, as we speak, to repair the defective homes.

There's also a significant issue I wanted to bring to your Honor's attention today about the preservation of evidence, that portion of your MDL order that covers that issue. And I've had very preliminary conversations with some of the plaintiff representatives in an effort to reach some agreement on that issue, and hopefully in the next days we can have those conversations. But for many of the home builders who have been actively repairing those homes, we, of course, have been maintaining samples of the defective drywall as part of what we would expect to be our burden to use to establish as evidence in any future trial.

The scope of your Honor's, the language in your paragraph would seem to suggest that we would need to be filling up warehouses with every piece of drywall, defective appliance, and the like, that are taken out of remediated homes. I would hope that the plaintiffs and the defense group will be able to reach some resolution in the next week about appropriate contours of what that order should look like going forward.

THE COURT: I do urge you to do that and give to me some suggestions within the week, and then we'll deal with it. I put that out initially, but sometimes it has to be tweaked because of

3

5

03:09:44

)3:09:50

)3:09:55

03:09:46 2

03:09:53 4

)3:09:57 6

03:09:59 7

)3:10:03 8

03:10:08 9

03:10:12 10

03:10:17 11

03:10:21 12

03:10:25 13

03:10:31 14

03:10:34 15

03:10:39 16

03:10:41 17

03:10:43 18

03:10:46 19

03:10:50 20

03:10:53 21

03:10:56 22

03:10:59 23

03:11:02 24

03:11:06 25

special circumstances. You know what they are and the plaintiffs know what they are. If you can agree on it, I'll deal with it in that way and that fashion.

MS. BASS: I would hope we could reach resolution since I assume the plaintiffs also recognize their burden to maintain this evidence to prove their portion of the case.

And lastly, I did just want to touch upon, as Mr. Miller reflected, chapter 5:58, which is relevant for the Florida cases. And what that statutory scheme provides is that any home builder is allowed an opportunity to inspect and repair any home which has the kind of inherent defect reflected by this drywall. And this is a very detailed statutory scheme that provides that a court shall abate any action which is filed by a homeowner where the prior 60 day notice to the home builder has not been previously given. Once that notice is given, the home builder does have an absolute right to go in and make the repairs.

Many of the plaintiffs in the pending cases have not filed that notice, it's an issue that's being resolved in the state courts currently with motions to abate saying the statutory scheme says you may not file until you give us this notice and to the extent you're currently giving us this notice, we now have an opportunity to go in, inspect and repair.

That's something that I would hope that we could incorporate into the inspection protocol to ensure that the home builders are given the appropriate statutory right to go in and

make any repairs and reflected by the inspection.

1

03:11:09

)3:11:12 2

)3:11:14 3

)3:11:18 4

)3:11:22 5

)3:11:25 6

03:11:26 7

)3:11:31 8

03:11:33 9

03:11:36 10

03:11:39 11

03:11:42 12

03:11:47 13

03:11:50 14

)3:11:52 15

03:11:55 16

03:11:58 17

03:12:06 18

03:12:13 19

03:12:23 20

03:12:28 21

03:12:33 22

03:12:35 23

03:12:36 24

03:12:39 25

THE COURT: Yes. That's again something that you ought to bring to my attention. If I can issue an order to deal with that, we ought to do it because I think it's to the advantage of the homeowners to get this done.

MS. BASS: And clearly, your Honor, once we brought that to their attention, we had many homeowners who previously filed lawsuits who have come to us and said we would much rather have our home repaired tomorrow and get the statutory protection as well with the release that goes along with it.

THE COURT: Bring that to my attention, and first meet with your counter parts and the plaintiffs or whoever else you need to deal with and bring it to my attention.

MS. BASS: Thank you, your Honor. Those are the three points that I wanted to bring to your attention this afternoon just to reflect the unique position of home builders in this case.

THE COURT: And before you leave, I can see some uniqueness, for lack of a better term, in your group. So you have to determine whether you're comfortable with being on the Defendant Steering Committee or whether you need another steering committee or whether you have a subgroup of that steering committee or something. Maybe it's too early but that's something that you need to focus on.

MS. BASS: I appreciate you giving us that opportunity, your Honor. We've had a lot of discussion among the home builder

group, and I would suspect that there would unanimity that at a minimum we would want to have a separate subgroup of any steering committee. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sure.

Anyone else?

1

3

)3:12:43

)3:12:48

)3:12:45 2

13:12:50 4

)3:12:52 5

03:13:01 6

03:13:04 7

)3:13:06 8

03:13:10 9

03:13:14 10

03:13:17 11

03:13:19 12

03:13:23 13

03:13:27 14

03:13:31 15

03:13:37 16

03:13:40 17

03:13:44 18

03:13:46 19

03:13:50 20

03:13:54 21

03:13:54 22

03:14:02 23

03:14:05 24

03:14:10 25

MR. ATLAS: Good afternoon, your Honor. My name is Jan Atlas, I am with Adorno & Yoss, and we represent Banner Supply, one of the principle suppliers. And we find ourselves, as your Honor is well aware, sort of caught in the middle between the homeowners and the builder/developers and the manufacturers.

Your Honor has already addressed many of the issues that we're concerned about and Ms. Bass has also addressed a couple of them. But most pertinently, for the supplier group that we're involved in, we have certain rights and defenses under Florida law, as your Honor understands, that in the process of dealing with the MDL proceeding we want to just ensure that we have an opportunity to address those issues, whether it be in response to the master complaint or discovery issues.

But I think many of the issues that we were concerned about, you've already encompassed in your agenda and your treatment.

THE COURT: Let me make it plain that in an MDL we're dealing with the laws of the states, there's not going to be a federal law. And so if the Florida cases are tried, I will be applying Florida law. In the Vioxx case, which I had something to

do with, we tried a number of those cases, and not one of them was tried under Louisiana law. And so juries understood the law. And I will be applying the substantive law of the state in either motions or in trials. So there's no question about that.

MR. ATLAS: And the only remaining point that I would like to bring to the court's attention, and your Honor has already addressed it with respect to your communications with the state court judges. As your Honor is probably aware, we are involved in many, many state court actions in Dade County, Miami-Dade County, Broward County, Palm Beach County, and we are in a position where we're going to be subjected to fighting the issue on many, many different fronts.

THE COURT: Right.

1

)3:14:15

)3:14:21 2

)3:14:29 3

03:14:33 4

)3:14:38 5

03:14:40 6

03:14:43 7

)3:14:46 8

03:14:50 9

)3:14:55 10

03:14:59 11

03:15:10 12

03:15:11 13

03:15:11 14

03:15:11 15

03:15:13 16

03:15:14 17

03:15:17 18

03:15:22 19

03:15:28 20

03:15:31 21

)3:15:35 22

03:15:40 23

03:15:46 24

)3:15:52 25

MR. ATLAS: To the extent that your Honor is able to involve the state court judges in South Florida, we would be most appreciative.

THE COURT: I think that just makes a lot more sense frankly. I think that litigation, you ought not to have to do the same thing 50 times, it really ought to be done in a consistent fashion.

And my input, at least the response that I had received from the state courts seem to be along those lines. And I will be looking to them for guidance. It's not, you know, a one-way street. I am going to be relying on them, as perhaps they might on me in some minor instances; but hopefully we will be able to work

together and work through this.

1

)3:15:56

)3:15:59 2

)3:16:01 3

13:16:02 4

)3:16:04 5

03:16:06 6

03:16:08 7

)3:16:10 8

)3:16:15 9

03:16:20 10

03:16:27 11

03:16:30 12

03:16:35 13

03:16:37 14

03:16:42 15

03:16:47 16

03:16:52 17

03:16:54 18

03:17:02 19

03:17:11 20

03:17:14 21

03:17:21 22

03:17:26 23

03:17:28 24

03:17:34 25

MR. ATLAS: For that we would be very appreciative. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: And I frankly think it's better for everybody, not only the defendants but the plaintiffs, too.

MR. ATLAS: Thank you.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, I think it would be inappropriate for me to reply on behalf of plaintiffs as to special issues at this point. I want to thank Ms. Bass who represents U.S. Homes and Lennar who had 12 issues organized under three points, we're aware of those issues, we make no response now; and Mr. Altas, who represents Banner Supply, who made three points and we're aware of those.

I will state that liaison counsel is available and has resources and has reached out to counsel in the various states to attempt to reach some preliminary agreements or stipulations on these issues.

I would like in response to your Honor's directive of rapid inspections and rapid trials suggest to the plaintiff counsel that we would hope to have on the plaintiff side inspections done by August 30th, case selection in September with discovery complete by October, pretrial orders as your Honor has directed in November, and trials in December and January.

I know it's ambitious, but I know that this is, the rapidity with which your Honor has considered this matter and on

)3:17:41 1

03:17:46 2

)3:17:55 4

)3:18:03 6

03:18:09 7

)3:18:15 8

03:18:22 9

03:18:24 10

03:18:28 11

03:18:31 12

03:18:34 13

03:18:39 14

03:18:43 15

03:18:47 16

03:18:52 17

03:18:58 18

03:19:02 19

03:19:06 20

03:19:10 21

03:19:13 22

03:19:18 23

03:19:28 24

03:19:34 25

3

5

)3:17:52

)3:17:59

behalf of plaintiffs assembled here, we appreciate the fast tracking. We know that it's an imposition on the clerk's office, we know it's an imposition on your staff, that you have other matters. And from the plaintiffs' point of vantage, we intend to do everything possible to accelerate these issues.

Lastly, your Honor, after your Honor concludes, I have the forms that defense and plaintiff liaison counsel need filled out, so if the attorneys would stay we will pass these outs.

Does your Honor have other business in this courtroom?

THE COURT: No, I don't, not today.

Let me just make a couple of comments and then I will hear from anyone else, any other additional issues.

This is a matter that I really look to the attorneys to stand tall on. Looking around the room I have every reason to believe and I certainly know you're capable of it and that you will do it. The people, whether you're on the plaintiffs or the defendants' side, you have to recognize that the individuals that you're dealing with in these particular cases, whether it's defendant or plaintiff, deserves some fast treatment in this case.

From the standpoint of the claimants, many of these individuals have lost everything with the storms, whether it's in Florida, Louisiana, or any other state. That's the reason they had the material. They need some closure in their lives. They get up from one storm and another one knocks them down. They get up from that and now they're afraid that they're knocked down again. All

of us recognize that.

1

2

3

4

)3:19:37

)3:19:38

)3:19:41

13:19:48

)3:19:52

)3:19:57

03:20:03 7

)3:20:09 8

03:20:13 9

03:20:22 10

03:20:23 11

03:20:27 12

03:20:37 13

03:20:41 14

03:20:44 15

03:20:49 16

03:20:54 17

03:21:01 18

03:21:05 19

03:21:06 20

)3:21:12 21

03:21:18 22

03:21:22 23

03:21:26 24

03:21:31 25

From the standpoint of the defendants, these folks have to get on with their business. And if they shouldn't be in the litigation because it's not their drywall in certain instances, they ought to know that and get out of that litigation and not have it hanging over their heads for years. It's not fair to them. So this is where lawyers come in the picture. And I really urge you to cooperate, to the extent you can cooperate, and let's get this case resolved as quickly as I know you're capable of and work as much as you can together on it.

Anything else that we haven't covered that anyone else in the room feels they ought to bring to my attention? Danny.

MR. BECNEL: May it please the court, on the inspections, a lot of the lawyers have been doing inspections for the last four or five, six months. And I am just wondering -- and many instances we're two and three experts at each inspections, toxicology and industrial hygiene and chemistry, et cetera.

Does the court want us to redo each one of the houses or $\ensuremath{\mathsf{--}}$

THE COURT: Well, whatever is appropriate for both sides. I mean, if you've done it and the other side is satisfied with it, then that may be one thing. If they're not satisfied with it, then they have an opportunity to look at it and deal with it. I don't know if you necessarily have to do your portion over again, but they have an opportunity to at least look at it.

)3:21:32

)3:21:36

)3:21:40

)3:21:45

)3:21:49

)3:21:51

03:21:55 7

)3:21:58 8

03:22:02 9

03:22:06 10

03:22:10 11

03:22:13 12

03:22:17 13

03:22:21 14

03:22:24 15

03:22:28 16

03:22:30 17

03:22:36 18

03:22:40 19

03:22:42 20

03:22:45 21

03:22:49 22

03:22:53 23

03:22:58 24

03:23:02 25

2

3

4

I would hope that the plaintiffs could get together and get the CVs and the reports from all of those experts and then swap them some way along the line, same way with the defendants, so that everybody is on the same page. I really again need you all to cooperate in this matter.

MR. BECNEL: Judge, one of the things that I think maybe the court might want to do in this, because there are hundreds and hundreds of people that call us up, and they say if I have to pay a big attorneys fee and I have to fix my house and it's going to cost me 100,000 to fix my house, I can't afford to have you represent me and they're just sitting there, they just don't know what to do and lawyers on the plaintiff side don't know what to say or how to try to advise them in that situation.

And I would just like to make the court aware of that.

And maybe what the court can do, especially when we're dealing with electrical problems and air conditioning problems that are already damaged but are still functioning but are going to fail, when you do the inspection, it might not fail at that time but you give it another two or three months and it will fail.

So I think maybe the court might ought to think about some experts it appoints to deal with electrical and air conditioning problems. Because if the electrical fails and we have a fire, then maybe some of us might feel partially responsible for not requiring the electrical to be repaired.

THE COURT: Well, that's why I said I think I need some

1 input from the lawyers who hopefully can talk about those things. 03:23:04 And if you need me to appoint 706 experts, I will do so.)3:23:09 2 The next meeting in this matter, open court meeting will)3:23:13 3 13:23:18 be August the 11th, August the 11th at nine o'clock in this 4 5 I will meet with liaison counsel and perhaps the)3:23:24)3:23:27 6 steering committees at 8:30 that morning in advance. 7 Well, thank you very much for coming, folks, and thank 03:23:34 you for your input, I appreciate it. The court will stand in)3:23:37 8 03:23:40 9 recess. 03:23:40 10 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Everyone rise. 03:23:42 11 (WHEREUPON, THE PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED.) 03:23:42 12 03:23:42 13 14 15 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 16 17 I, Karen A. Ibos, CCR, Official Court Reporter, United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, do hereby certify 18 that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript, to the best of my ability and understanding, from the record of the proceedings in 19 the above-entitled and numbered matter. 2.0 Kalen a 21 22 Karen A. Ibos, CCR, RPR, Official Court Reporter 2.3 24

25