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THE COURT: Be seated, please. Good afternoon, ladies
and gentlemen.
Call the case.
CASE MANAGER: MDL 2047, In Re: Chinese manufactured
Drywall Products Liability Litigation.
MR. LEVIN: For the plaintiffs, Arnold Levin for Russ
Herman and the PSC.
And I might add that we may send some of our plaintiffs counsel over to Kerry Miller. He looks very lonely. It's the first time I've ever seen his table with only one defense counsel.

MR. MILLER: I think because the PSC has scared them all off.

Kerry Miller on behalf of the defense steering committee and Knauf.

THE COURT: We have a number of people on the phone so

Anything on pretrial orders?
I met with the lead liaison counsel a moment ago
to go over the proposed agenda with them.
Anything on pretrial orders?
MR. LEVIN: No, sir.
THE COURT: State court trial settings?

MR. LEVIN: Ms. Barrios is not here, but we don't have anything.

THE COURT: Anything on class action complaints?
MR. LEVIN: The last class action complaint that was filed is Omni 19. And that's again S-A-S-C, SASC, a Chinese company. The complaint has landed in China. It's been translated. It's been received by the proper entity in China. And we've been advised by our service processor that, from the time it lands until the time it may get into the hands of that particular defendant, takes six months. So we have filed an extension for service this week. And there's not much we can do about China, as we know in this courtroom.

THE COURT: All right.
Any motion for the.
Litigation and expense fund? I know you all have been meeting.

MR. LEVIN: No, sir. The fee committee has been meeting. We've conducted all interviews over the common fee benefit applicants and we are now getting to the next stage of the fee allocation. We will submit an order to Your Honor to bring us along as to the next date and time. I know that's under consideration. But at this point that will not interfere with anything that the fee committee has to do. We can move on.

THE COURT: Any report for remediation programs? $\quad$ 09:03AM MR. MILLER: Your Honor, Kerry Miller again.

The remediation program continues to go well, Your
Honor. We're now into the new claim settlement, the settlement that we reached last August. And Moss is looking to wrap those homes up in the next three to six months.

THE COURT: Good.
Anything on the INEX, Banner, Knauf, Global
settlements?
MR. LEVIN: Nothing in particular, but we'll hear a lot about that in the BrownGreer report.

THE COURT: Why don't we go to that at this time. Let me hear the BrownGreer report.

MR. WOODY: Good morning, Your Honor. My name is Jake Woody, I'm from BrownGreer, here to give the Court's monthly status report.

Start, as always, with the total number of claims. We have received 22,422 claims. We've completed review of 19,114 of those. The only claim type that we haven't completed a review of to-date is miscellaneous claims, and we're working through those. But we have completed review of all the other claim types.

By far, our largest claim is the Global, Banner 09:05AM INEX repair and relocation claim. Of those, 10,236 are eligible. 1,454 are denied. And only one as of today is incomplete. Because we're so far along with that claim type, we've been able to work with the parties to issue claims
administrator procedure 2014-9 which authorizes us to begin disbursements for these claims.

This claim is made up of three different settlement funds. The Global fund, which is made up of contributions of over 700 entities. The Banner fund and the INEX fund.

The Global fund is divided into three separate pools: The builder pool, the supplier pool and the installer pool.

All of these settlement funds are subject to a separate pro rata calculation for us to determine how much a claimant is eligible to receive.

We make the pro rata calculation by dividing the total amount available for each fund by the total eligible square footage submitted against that fund.

For the Banner fund, that means that the per square foot amount or the amount that we'll pay -- we'll multiply the square footage of this amount to determine the compensation amount. For Banner, that amount is \$2.97. For INEX, it's $\$ .36$. For the Global builder, it's $\$ 2.03$. Global supplier is $\$ 3.36$. The Global installer is $\$ .95$.

These amounts of these clauses are in the cap. The way we did this is fairly simple math. We issued the cap on September 11th, last Thursday. And, since that time, we've issued just over $\$ 6.5$ million worth of checks for those
compensating 1,077 claims. The average per claim payment is $\$ 6,041.56$.

We'll continue to issue checks for as long as necessary to pay everybody who needs to be paid. We're working very quickly and I think we'll continue to see money go out of the door very quickly for these claims.

As you can imagine, we are receiving some fairly frequently asked questions. I wanted to just go over some of the basics of it real quickly.

People are asking where we'll send checks. We'll 09:07AM send checks to attorneys for represented claimants. The check 09:07AM will be made out to the claimant only and it's up to the attorney to get that check to their client. Pro se complaints will receive checks directly.

We are, because of the structure of the settlement, required in some cases to issue separate checks for one claim. We, for example, if someone's eligible for compensation from the Banner fund and the Global fund, we have to issue two checks because there are two accounts. So, in some cases, people may receive two checks, they'll be for different amounts, and they're both equally valid.

These payments that we're issuing now are only for Global, Banner, INEX claims. They don't cover other loss claims. If you're eligible for another loss payment, you'll receive separate payment by a separate check at a later date.

If you haven't received payment yet, it's very important that you check to make sure that you've submitted to 09:08AM us a W-9 form and a verification of claims form. Those are 09:08AM required by CAP-9. Both are available on our Chinese Drywall 09:08AM portal under the Paper Form section. I've also included the 09:09AM direct link in the PowerPoint that I will post later today or 09:09Am tomorrow for people who need to download those forms and submit those. Once we have those, we will be able to make payments.

Finally, if you need more information about any of this, the best place, the best source is the CAP itself, which posted that on our portal under the Claims Administrator procedure tab, and the direct link is in this PowerPoint as well.

THE COURT: Before you leave that, I think it would be helpful as we talk to give a breakdown to claims so that they understand it.

MR. WOODY: We'll post a breakdown for each payment on our portal for a particular claim. That will show exactly how we calculated the amount on the check, the square footage we attorneys whether the check has been negotiated by the claimant.

We'll also post our usual reports in Excel spreadsheet form for attorneys and the firms to have their

```
entire inventory in one place. It will give information about
```

-- just the same information about the checks and the numbers and the amounts and things like that.

THE COURT: Maybe liaison committee can work with you on some form letter that the lawyers can use to give to their clients with their check.

MR. WOODY: We'll work with them to put something that makes sense together.

THE COURT: All right.
MR. WOODY: I will talk just briefly about the other loss complains.

As I mentioned, we have completed review of those. In some cases, for bodily injury claims and pre-remediation alternative living claims, which we've abbreviated as PRALE here, we've long completed those reviews and those two claims types are quite far along. The best measure of how far along is the number of incomplete claims. You can see, for PRALE, we have eight. For bodily injury, we have 50. And those 50 will expire very shortly, and we should have no incomplete claims by the next status conference for both claim types.

Lost rent is not quite as far along. We have 276 incompletes. Again, those, a significant amount of those should expire before the next conference.

The foreclosure and short-sale, which we just completed review of between now and the last conference, has 593 incompletes. Again, those will continue to expire.

The number of open complaints is important because we have a set amount of money to compensate all of the Other Loss Claims. We need to have a good idea of the universe of eligible claims before we can begin to calculate how much those are worth and make payments. But I don't think that's too far off. We don't need to have zero incompletes for all to have a good idea of what's going on. I think, as the foreclosure and 09:11AM the lost rents continue to decrease in terms of open claims, we'll be able to work with the parties to figure out how much and when and how to pay these people.

I'll just touch briefly on the number of notices we've issued. We've issued 24,664 notices of all types to various claimants. In large part, the notification process is communication from us about their claims.

Finally, Your Honor, I'll just touch briefly on our contact information, especially if people have questions about payment and checks. The best way to get ahold of us is by email at cdwquestions@BrownGreer.com. If you need to call us, our toll-free number is 866-866-1729. If you have any questions about payment or claims, those two methods are the best way to contact us.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. It's good to see that 09:12Am people are now receiving funds.

MR. WOODY: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Anything on shared costs?

MR. LEVIN: No, sir.
THE COURT: How about the Taishan defendants?

MR. LEVIN: At this point, we just received yesterday
responses to our interrogatories. We're reviewing them. We're going to have a meet-and-confer shortly. And a privilege log is
being created. And I'm sure at some point in time in the near future you'll have the entire package to make decisions on, sir.

THE COURT: Okay.
Anything on Venture Supply?
MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, just, before you have leave that section, the deposition notices that were issued to T. Rowe Price, JP Morgan, Morgan Stanley and Alibaba are still on the radar screen. They're set in October. And we're continuing to have meet-and-confers.

THE COURT: Okay.
Anything on Venture?
MR. LEVIN: No, sir.
THE COURT: Anything on profile forms?

MR. LEVIN: No, sir.

THE COURT: We've got a couple of motions.
Before we get to that, anything on pro se, Bob?
MR. JOHNSTON: Your Honor, I've provided to the Court my 31st curator status report. Let me make it very brief.

There has been a real level of activity pertaining 09:14AM to what I term late Knauf claims, people who did not know they 09:14AM had Knauf drywall in their property until recently, most all of them in mid-to-late 2014.

As the Court had informally indicated, I've had 09:14AM some discussions with Kerry Miller, who is here. And I think 0 0:14AM the only thing I'd like to say is that I hope to have more to 09:14AM report, or we do, at the next status conference.

THE COURT: Yeah. I hope we can work on that. We've got a good feel for the people now. We've got 20 some on, as I remember. And maybe we can do something with that.

I think, realistically, we have to recognize that, 09:14AM in this type of a case, it's going to be hard -- it's a difficult one because $I$ can visualize some situations where people had no problems with drywall, no reason to believe they had any issues; and then, all of the sudden, they're getting ready to sell their house, they get it inspected, somebody says -- the inspector says that you've got Chinese Drywall. It's the first time that they knew or could have known or should have known. So you've got some issues at least whether or not prescription is applicable to those individuals and we've got to figure out a way.

MR. JOHNSTON: What you just described is a common

> are any developments.
story that I get almost on a daily basis.
So we'll just keep informing the Court if there 09:15AM

THE COURT: Thanks very much.
MR. BECNEL: Same problem. We get two or three a week.
I guess, because we did ads with Sean Payton and all, they contact us. And we're trying to figure out what to do with them.

THE COURT: I don't know what you do with them. Hopefully --

MR. BECNEL: But we don't want to tell them -- to blow them off. But I think the Court needs to give us some guidance on how we're going to deal with it.

MR. DAVIS: My suggestion would be, as we've been doing in the PSC, Danny, if I'll get us a list.

THE COURT: Let's assemble a list, that's helpful.
MR. BECNEL: Here's five.
MR. DAVIS: If you would get us an master list, that 09:16AM would be helpful to identify the owner and the address.

MR. BECNEL: As you know, we had one last week, which under the house. They fixed the bathtub and the lady got in it and fell -- they forgot to put the stuff on the pier of the house and she fell to the floor, to the ground.

But, Judge, I have a letter with some of them for
you.
THE COURT: Okay, good. Give us that.
MR. BECNEL: But they're all getting their houses inspected to sell them, and that's what's causing the problem.

THE COURT: Thanks for bringing that to my attention. The motions, we had two motions set. One motion was to reconsider the Stigma claims. That's been moved to the next status conference.

So the only motion we have today is this motion
for class certification.
MR. DAVIS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Let me hear from the parties on that.
MR. LEVIN: With regard to the class certification motion, Your Honor, we obviously have no opposition, formal opposition, because the attorneys for the defendant have not been authorized to speak on behalf of the Taishan defendants. And, the other defendants, the BMA and the CMA were defaulted and never had counsel in this court.

The Omni 19 with regard to SASC is not ripe for class certification because it's still in the process of service.

We are, in connection with the motion itself, seeking a class only of active litigants. Therefore, notice would be made on an individual basis through the active litigants. All of them are in the series of Ameren complaints,
they've intervened in those complaints. So we have a good list of them.

Obviously, liability has been -- there's been a 09:18AM default, so we have liability. And we view the class certification proceeding only as an assessment of damage proceeding and obviously the five years' work in this courtroom have given us the yardstick by which to measure the damages for the Taishan properties.

So we would seek certification of the class. We've provided the Court with proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, which we would ask to be made part of the record.

Also, an index of the exhibits, which are the
certification proceeding only as an assessment of damage
proceeding and obviously the five years' work in this courtroom
have given us the yardstick by which to measure the damages for

THE COURT: And, the disc, I know we've got two. We're 09:19AM going to have some exhibits that are under seal and some exhibits that are not under seal. So hopefully we'll have two discs in that regard. We've revised the order to make it current.

And may I hand it to your deputy clerk?

And this proposed class does not seek to certify personal injury on the medical monitoring claims. The certification is only for property damage claims.

MR. LEVIN: I will also hand up the index of the exhibits and, separately, the exhibits that are under seal. And I've been told the jump drives. But Lenny can tell you what that is, sir, because that's beyond my pay grade.

We've also -- we will give you a conformed notice, Your Honor, because that will then mesh with the order that we've given you. The proposed notice is within the papers, the class papers.

We've also given you a trial plan because it's our understanding that the Fifth Circuit requires that; although, I haven't seen it any other circuit, but we've cited the case.

We'd ask that all of this be part of the record and be part of the class proceeding record for Your Honor's review.

Upon certification, if Your Honor deems certification appropriate, we believe that we can notice the class in 40 days. And that, we don't believe that we have to wait 60 days for opt-outs, we can do it in 30 days because the bulk of the class are represented by counsel and they've been in litigation. There are no absent class members, sir.

Does Your Honor have any questions? THE COURT: No, I don't.

Any opposition to this?
Nothing has been filed. But, as you all know, the 09:22AM Fifth Circuit is very difficult if not impossible to get a class 09:22AM certified in personal-injury-primarily cases. It's very fact-specific. There's not any commonality and there's no predominance, it doesn't work for class actions. But, in my experience, the property damage is another matter. Many of you all, Skip, Danny and Kerry, know from the Mobile Oil case, that you have been able to certify that because it was a yardstick approach and it can be done. So this looks like this fits right into that category, but $I$ will be looking at it.

MR. LEVIN: Mr. Longer has reminded me to break down the 40 day period for the notice. So 10 days to get the notice out and 30 days to respond.

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, just for a housekeeping issue, just so it's clear, on the index of exhibits, what we did in that index was identified various documents by exhibits that were previously used and by rec doc numbers. And what we're asking is that, rather than clutter up the record here with paper and things like that, that we be able to reference those and that those actual documents be part of this record.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. DAVIS: And they're identified in the exhibit, the index of exhibits. And the documents are attached on the jump drive.

| Thank you. | 09:23AM |
| :---: | :---: |
| THE COURT: Okay. I have this before me and I'll be | 09:23AM |
| dealing with it immediately, because we've got to move on this. | 09:23AM |
| Taishan, I haven't heard anything in response to | 09:23AM |
| my injunction. I notice that several senators have been | 09:23AM |
| speaking on it in the states involved, the Florida senator and | 09:24AM |
| Louisiana senators, Virginia and a couple of others. State | 09:24AM |
| department is interested. I had heard that the ambassador to | 09:24AM |
| China has been advised and there's some discussions going on at | 09:24AM |
| that level. So we'll see what happens. | 09:24AM |
| MR. LEVIN: And, Your Honor, our silence on these | 09:24AM |
| issues doesn't mean that we haven't been doing things. We'd | 09:24AM |
| just rather not discuss them at this point in time. | 09:24AM |
| Your Honor, the last thing is the November | 09:24AM |
| hearing, sir. | 09:24AM |
| THE COURT: The November hearing is November 25 th. | 09:24AM |
| Is that it? Anything from anybody? | 09:24AM |
| Danny, you have something? | 09:24AM |
| MR. BECNEL: I want to approach the bench just with | 09:24AM |
| Arnold, if you don't mind. | 09:24AM |
| THE COURT REPORTER: Judge Fallon, off the record? | 09:25AM |
| MR. BECNEL: Yeah. | 09:25AM |
| (Discussion held off the record.) | 09:25AM |
| THE COURT: Okay, folks, thanks very much. I do hope | 09:27AM |
| all of you on our team keep well and it's one of those issues | 09:27AM |

that we all have to be aware of.
Is there any other issues we haven't talked about? 09:27AM
Bill of costs?

MR. LEVIN: I believe that's going to be handled by the
clerk's office.
CASE MANAGER: It's going to be handled up here, and 09:27AM the clerk's here.

THE COURT: The bill of costs, we've got the people coming in, and that will deal with it here.

MR. LEVIN: That's fine.
THE COURT: All right, folks, I'll see you next status
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| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 0}_{[2]}-16: 25,17: 18 \\ & \mathbf{3 1 s t}_{[1]}-12: 6 \\ & \mathbf{3 7 0 0}_{[1]}-2: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { administrator }[1]-6: 5 \\ & \text { Administrator }[1]- \\ & \text { 8:15 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { basis }[2]-13: 5,15: 3 \\ & \text { bathtub }[1]-14: 1 \\ & \text { BECNEL }_{[8]}-2: 6, \\ & 13: 9,13: 15,13: 21, \end{aligned}$ | ```certification [10] - 14:14, 14:17, 14:24, 15:9, 15:13, 16:1, 16:7, 16:22, 16:23``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { committee }[4]-3: 21, \\ & 4: 21,5: 2,9: 7 \\ & \text { common }[2]-4: 22, \\ & 13: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | advised [2] - 4:12, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13:24, 14:7, 18:23, } \\ & \text { 19:1 } \end{aligned}$ | certified [1] - 17:8 <br> certify [3] - 16:5, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { commonality [1] - } \\ & 17: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{4 0}[2]-16: 24,17: 17 \\ & \mathbf{4 0 0}{ }_{[1]}-2: 15 \\ & \mathbf{4 2 5}{ }_{[1]}-2: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { afternoon }[1]-3: 7 \\ & \text { agenda }[1]-4: 1 \\ & \text { ago }[1]-3: 25 \end{aligned}$ | BEFORE ${ }_{[1]}-1: 13$ <br> begin [2] - 6:5, 10:8 <br> behalf $[2]-3: 20$, 14:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 13,19: 22 \\ & \text { check }[9]-7: 15,7: 17, \\ & 8: 4,8: 6,8: 23,8: 24 \\ & 8: 25,9: 1,9: 9 \end{aligned}$ | communication [1] - 10:22 <br> company [1] - 4:10 <br> compensate [1] - 10:6 |
| 5 | AIDED [1] - 1:24 | bench [1]-18:23 <br> benefit [1]-4:23 | $\begin{gathered} \text { checks }[10]-7: 4,7: 7 \\ 7: 14,7: 15,7: 18 \end{gathered}$ | compensating $[1]$ - 7:5 |
| $50[2]-9: 21$ |  | BERMAN ${ }_{[1]}-2: 4$ <br> best [5]-8:14, 9:19, | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 20,7: 23,7: 24,9: 5 \text {, } \\ & 10: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { compensation }[2] \text { - } \\ & 6: 23,7: 22 \end{aligned}$ |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { increase }[1]-10: 20 \\ & \text { index }[6]-15: 17, \\ & 15: 20,16: 8,17: 20, \\ & 17: 21,18: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 13:1 | Louisiana [1] - 18:11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { motions }[3]-12: 3^{\text {14:10 }} \\ & \text { move }[2]-5: 2,18: 7 \\ & \text { moved }[1]-14: 11 \end{aligned}$ | OF ${ }_{[3]}-1: 2,1: 12,2: 14$ <br> office [1]-19:9 <br> OFFICES ${ }_{[1]}-2: 14$ <br> official $[1]-1: 18$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | L | M |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| indicated $\left.{ }^{1}\right]$ - 12:11 | LA [3] - 2:8, 2:12, 2:16 | MANAGER [2]-3:10, | MR [39] - 3:12, 3:18, | Official [1] - 19:21 |
| individual [1] - 15:3 | ladies [1] - 3:7 | 19:10 | 4:3, 4:5, 4:8, 4:21, | Oil [1] - 17:12 |
| individuals [1]-13:2 | lady [1] - 14:1 | MANUFACTURED ${ }_{[1]}$ | 5:4, 5:12, 5:16, 8:21, | Omni [2]-4:9, 14:23 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { INEX }[5]-5: 10,6: 1, \\ 6: 10,6: 24,8: 2 \end{gathered}$ | laedcourtreporter@ gmail.com [1] - 1:21 | $\begin{aligned} & -1: 4 \\ & \text { manufactured }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 10,9: 13,11: 7, \\ & \text { 11:9, 11:11, 11:18, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { once }[1]-8: 12 \\ & \text { one }[8]-3: 16,6: 2 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ |
| informally [1] - 12:11 | landed [1] - 4:10 | 3:10 | 11:25, 12:2, 12:5, | 7:21, 9:4, 12:20, |
| information [4]-8:13, | lands [1] - 4:13 | master [1] - 13:22 | 13:4, 13:9, 13:15, | 13:24, 14:10, 19:4 |
| 9:4, 9:5, 10:24 | LAPLACE ${ }_{[1]}$ - $2: 8$ | math [1]-7:2 | 13:18, 13:21, 13:22, | open [2] - 10:5, 10:12 |
| informing [1] - 13:6 | large [1] - 10:17 | matter [1]-17:11 | 13:24, 14:7, 14:15, | opposition [3] - 14:18, |
| injunction [1] - 18:9 | largest [1] - 5:25 | MDL [1] - 3:10 | 14:17, 15:25, 16:8, | 14:19, 17:5 |
| injury [4]-9:16, 9:21, | last [6] - 4:8, 5:7, 7:3, | mean [1]-18:16 | 17:16, 17:19, 18:2, | opt [1] - 16:25 |
| 16:6, 17:8 | 10:3, 13:24, 18:18 | means [1]-6:20 | 18:15, 18:23, 19:1, | opt-outs [1] - 16:25 |
| inspected [2]-12:23, | late [2]-12:8, 12:10 | measure [3]-9:19, | 19:8, 19:14 | ORDER [1] - 3:3 |
| 14:8 | Law [1] - 15:15 | 10:20, 15:11 | multiplier [1] - 8:24 | order [4]-4:24, 15:25, |
| inspector [1]-12:24 | LAW [1] - 2:14 | MECHANICAL [1] - | multiply ${ }^{[1]}$ - 6:22 | 16:2, 16:13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { installer }[2]-6: 12, \\ & 6: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lawyers [2] - 9:8, } \\ & 13: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1:24 } \\ & \text { medical }[1]-16: 6 \end{aligned}$ | MURRAY [1] - 2:13 | orders [2]-3:24, 4:2 ORLEANS $[5]-1: 6$, |
| interested [1]-18:12 | lead [1] - 3:25 | meet [2] - 11:13, 11:22 | N | $2: 12,2: 16,3: 1,3: 5$ |
| interfere [1] - 5:1 | least ${ }^{1]}$ - 13:1 | meet-and-confer [1] $11: 13$ |  | Orleans [1] - 1:20 |
| interrogatories [1] - | leave [2]-8:18, 11:18 | 11:13 |  | treach [1] - 10:21 |
| 11:12 | Lenny [1]-16:10 | meet-and-confers [1] | necessary ${ }^{[1]}$ - 7 :8 | outs [1] - 16:25 |
| intervened [1] - 15:5 | letter [2]-9:8, 14:4 | - 11:22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { necessary }[1]-7: 8 \\ & \text { need }[5]-8: 11,8: 13, \end{aligned}$ | owner [1]-13:23 |
| interviews [1] - 4:22 inventory [1] - 9:4 | level $[2]-12: 7,18: 14$ LEVIN $[19]-2: 3,2: 3$, | $4: 22$ | 10:7, 10:10, 11:1 <br> needs [2] - 7:8, 13:16 | P |
| involved [1] - 18:10 | $3: 12,4: 3,4: 5,4: 8,$ | members [1] - 17:2 <br> mentioned [1] - 9:15 |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { issue }[5]-6: 4,7: 7, \\ 7: 20,7: 23,17: 19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 21,5: 12,11: 9 \\ & \text { 11:11, 11:25, 12:2, } \end{aligned}$ | mentioned [1] - 9:15 <br> mesh [1]-16:13 | $\text { never }[1]-14: 22$ | PA [1] - 2:5 <br> package ${ }_{[1]}$ - 11:15 |
| issued [6] - 7:2, 7:4, | :17, 15:25, 16:8, | met [1] - $3: 25$ | NEW [5]-1:6, 2:12, | paid [1] - 7:8 |
| 10:16, 10:19, 11:19 | 17:16, 18:15, 19:8, | methods [1] - 11: | 2:16, 3:1, 3 | Paper [1] - 8:9 |
| issues [5] - 12:22, | 19:14 | microphones [1] | new [2] - 1:20, 5:6 | paper [1] - 17:24 |
| 13:1, 18:16, 19:4, | Levin [1] - 3:1 | 3:23 | next $[8]-4: 23,4: 25$ | papers [2]-16:14, |
| 19:6 | LIABILITY $_{\text {[1] }}$ - 1:5 | mid [1] - 12:10 | 5:8, 9:23, 10:1, | 16:15 |
| issuing [1] - 8:1 | Liability [1]-3:11 | mid-to-late [1] - 12:10 | 12:14, 14:12, 19:15 | part [6]-10:17, 15:15, |
| itself [3]-8:14, 8:25, | liability [2]-15:7, 15:8 | might [1] - 3:14 | NO [1] - 1:5 | 15:20, 16:19, 16:20, |
| 15:1 | liaison [2]-3:25, 9:7 | MILLER [3] - 2:10 | nothing [2] - 5:12, 17:6 | 17:25 |
| J | $\begin{aligned} & \text { list }[4]-13: 19,13: 20, \\ & 13: 22,15: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Miller }[4]-3: 15,3: 20, \\ & 5: 4,12: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { notice }[7]-15: 2, \\ & 16: 12,16: 14,16: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $5: 12,8: 22$ |
| Jake [1] - 5:16 | litigants [2] - 15:2 | million [1] | 17:17, 18:9 | 10:13, 14:16 |
| JOHNSTON [4]-2:13, | 15 | mind ${ }_{[1]}$ - 18:24 | notices [4]-10:15, | pay [4]-6:21, 7:8, |
| 2:14, 12:5, 13:4 | litigation [2] - 4:19 | miscellaneous | 10:16, 10:19, 11:19 | 10:14, 16:11 |
| JP [1] - 11:20 | 17 | 5:22 | notification [1] - 10:17 | payment $[7]-7: 5,8: 3$, |
| judge [1] - 18:25 | LITIGATION ${ }_{[1]}-1: 5$ | Mobile [1] - 17:12 | November [3]-18:18, | 8:4, 8:5, 8:21, 10:25, |
| JUDGE [1] - 1:14 | Litigation [1] - 3:11 | moment [1]-3:25 | 18:20 | 11:3 |
| Judge [1] - 14:4 | living ${ }_{[1]}-9: 17$ | money [2] - 7:9, 10:6 | number [8]-3:22 | payments [3]-8:1, |
| jump [2] - 16:10, 18:3 | LLC [2] - 2:10, 2:14 | monitoring [1] - 16:6 | 5:19, 8:25, 9:20, | 8:12, 10:9 |
| K | Io | months [2]-4:14, 5:8 | 11:2 | PDF [1]-8:2 |
| keep [2] - 13:6, 19:4 | looking [2] - 5:7 17:15 | Morgan [2] - 11:20 <br> morning [1]-5:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { numbers [2] - 9:5, } \\ & \text { 17:22 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { people [12] - 3:22, } \\ 7: 14,7: 24,8: 11, \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Kerry }[5]-3: 15,3: 20, \\ 5: 4,12: 12,17: 12 \end{gathered}$ | looks [2] - 3:15, 17:14 | Moss [1]-5:7 | 0 | 10:14, 10:21, 10:24, |
| KERRY [1] - 2:10 |  |  |  | $12: 21,19: 12$ |
| Knauf [4]-3:21, 5:10, | lost [2] - 9:24, 10:12 | motion [7]-4:18, | obviously [3] - 14:18, 15:7, 15:10 | per [2] - 6:20, 7:5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:8, 12:9 } \\ & \text { known }[2]-12: 25, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOUISIANA [4] - 1:2, } \\ & 1: 6,3: 1,3: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14:10, 14:13, 14:18, } \\ & 15: 1,16: 1 \end{aligned}$ | October [1] - 11:21 | period ${ }_{[1]}$ - 17:17 <br> personal [2]-16:6, |


| 17:8 <br> personal-injuryprimarily [1] - 17:8 <br> pertaining ${ }_{[1]}$ - 12:7 <br> PHILADELPHIA [1] - <br> 2:5 <br> phone [1]-3:22 <br> pier ${ }_{[1]}$ - 14:2 <br> place [2] - 8:14, 9:4 <br> PLAINTIFFS [1]-2:3 <br> plaintiffs [2]-3:12, <br> 3:15 <br> plan [1]-16:16 <br> point [4]-5:1, 11:11, <br> 11:14, 18:17 <br> pool [3] - 6:12, 6:13 <br> pools [1] - 6:12 <br> portal [3]-8:9, 8:15, 8:22 <br> post [3]-8:10, 8:21, 9:2 <br> posted [1]-8:15 <br> PowerPoint [2]-8:10, 8:16 <br> Poydras [1] - 1:19 <br> POYDRAS [2]-2:11, <br> 2:15 <br> PRALE [2]-9:17, 9:20 <br> pre [1]-9:16 <br> pre-remediation [1] 9:16 <br> predominance [1] - 17:10 <br> prescription [1] - 13:2 <br> pretrial ${ }_{[2]}-3: 24,4: 2$ <br> previously [1]-17:22 <br> Price ${ }_{[1]}$ - 11:20 <br> primarily ${ }_{[1]}$ - 17:8 <br> privilege [1] - 11:13 <br> PRO [1] - 2:13 <br> pro [4]-6:15, 6:17, <br> 7:17, 12:4 <br> problem [2]-13:9, 14:8 <br> problems [1]-12:21 <br> procedure [2]-6:5, <br> 8:16 <br> proceeding [3] - 15:9, $15: 10,16: 20$ <br> proceedings ${ }_{[1]}$ - 19:17 <br> PROCEEDINGS ${ }_{[2]}$ - 1:12, 1:24 <br> process [2]-10:17, 14:24 <br> processor [1] - 4:12 <br> PRODUCED [1]-1:24 <br> Products [1]-3:11 <br> PRODUCTS [1] - 1:4 | ```profile [1] - 12:1 program [1] - 5:5 programs [1] - 5:3 proper [1]-4:11 properties [1] - 15:12 property [3]-12:9, 16:7, 17:11 proposed [5] - 4:1, 15:14, 15:25, 16:5, 16:14 provide [1] - 15:18 provided [2]-12:5, 15:14 PSC \([3]-3: 13,3: 18\), 13:19 put \({ }_{[2]}-9: 10,14: 2\)``` ```questions [4] - 7:12, 10:24, 11:2, 17:3 quickly \([3]-7: 9,7: 10\), 7:13 quite [2] - 9:19, 9:24``` <br> R <br> radar $[1]$ - 11:21 <br> rata $[2]-6: 15,6: 17$ <br> rather [2]-17:23, <br> 18:17 <br> $\mathbf{R E}_{[1]}$ - 1:4 <br> $\operatorname{Re}{ }_{[1]}-3: 10$ <br> reached [1] - 5:7 <br> ready [1]-12:23 <br> real [2] - 7:13, 12:7 <br> realistically $[1]-12: 18$ <br> reason [1]-12:21 <br> rec [1]-17:22 <br> receive [4]-6:16, <br> 7:18, 7:24, 8:4 <br> received [5]-4:11, $5: 20,8: 5,10: 21$ <br> 11:11 <br> receiving $[2]-7: 11$, <br> 11:6 <br> recently [1] - 12:9 <br> recess [2]-19:16, <br> 19:17 <br> recognize ${ }_{[1]}-12: 18$ <br> reconsider [1] - 14:11 <br> record [8]-15:16, <br> 15:20, 16:19, 16:20, <br> 17:23, 17:25, 18:25, <br> 19:2 <br> reference [1] - 17:24 <br> regard [4]-14:17, <br> 14:23, 15:24, 15:25 <br> RELATES [1]-1:8 <br> relocation [1]-6:1 | ```remediation [3] - 5:3, 5:5, 9:16 remember [1] - 12:17 reminded \({ }_{[1]}\) - 17:16 rent [1] - 9:24 rents [1] - 10:12 repair [1]-6:1 report [6] - 5:3, 5:13, 5:15, 5:18, 12:6, 12:14``` <br> REPORTED ${ }_{[1] ~-~ 1: 24 ~}$ <br> REPORTER [1] - <br> 18:25 <br> Reporter [2]-1:18, 19:21 <br> reports [1]-9:2 <br> represented [2]-7:15, <br> 17:1 <br> required [2] - 7:20, 8:8 <br> requires [1] - 16:17 <br> respond [1]-17:18 <br> response [1] - 18:8 <br> responses [1] - 11:12 <br> review $[7]$ - 5:21, 5:22, <br> 5:23, 9:15, 10:3, <br> 10:18, 16:21 <br> reviewing [1]-11:12 <br> reviews [1] - 9:18 <br> revised [1]-16:2 <br> ripe [1]-14:23 <br> rmj@ahhelaw.com [1] -2:17 <br> RMR ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1:18 <br> ROBERT [2]-2:13, <br> 2:14 <br> Rowe [1]-11:19 <br> Russ [1]-3:12 |  | ```2:11, 2:15 \\ structure \([1]-7: 19\) \\ stuff [1]-14:2 \\ subject [1]-6:14 \\ submit [2] \(-4: 24,8: 11\) \\ submitted [2] - 6:19, \\ 8:6 \\ sudden [1] - 12:22 \\ suggestion [1]-13:18 \\ SUITE [4]-2:5, 2:7, \\ 2:12, 2:15 \\ supplier [2]-6:12, 6:25 \\ Supply [1]-11:17 \\ Susan [2]-19:21, \\ 19:25 \\ SUSAN [2] - 1:18, 19:24 \\ susan_zielie@laed. uscourts.gov [1] 1:20```T <br> tab $[1]-8: 16$ <br> table $[1]-3: 16$ <br> Taishan $[4]-11: 10$, <br> $14: 20,15: 12,18: 8$ <br> team $[1]-19: 4$ <br> term $[1]-12: 8$ <br> terms $[1]-10: 12$ <br> THE $[37]-1: 13,2: 10$, <br> $3: 7,3: 22,4: 4,4: 7$, <br> $4: 17,5: 3,5: 9,5: 14$, <br> $8: 18,9: 7,9: 12,11: 5$, <br> $11: 8,11: 10,11: 16$, <br> $11: 23,12: 1,12: 3$, <br> $12: 15,13: 8,13: 13$, <br> $13: 20,14: 6,14: 9$, <br> $14: 16,15: 21,16: 4$, <br> $17: 4,18: 1,18: 6$, <br> $18: 20,18: 25,19: 3$, <br> $19: 12,19: 15$ <br> themselves $[1]-15: 19$ <br> therefore $[1]-15: 2$ <br> they've $[2]-15: 5,17: 1$ <br> three $[4]-5: 8,6: 7$, <br> $6: 11,13: 9$ <br> Thursday $[1]-7: 3$ <br> THURSDAY $[3]-1: 7$, <br> $3: 1,3: 5$ <br> TO ${ }_{[2]}-1: 8,3: 3$ <br> to-date $[1]-5: 22$ <br> today $[3]-6: 2,8: 10$, <br> $14: 13$ <br> together ${ }_{[1]}-9: 11$ <br> toll $[1]-11: 2$ <br> toll-free $[1]-11: 2$ <br> tomorrow $[1]-8: 11$ <br> total $[3]-5: 19,6: 18$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { touch [2] - 10:15, } \\ & \quad 10: 23 \\ & \text { transcript }[1]-19: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 13 \\ & \text { years' }[1]-15: 10 \\ & \text { yesterday }[1]-11: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1:12, 1:24 | Z |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRANSCRIPTION }[1]- \\ & 1: 24 \\ & \text { translated }[1]-4: 11 \\ & \text { trial }[2]-4: 4,16: 16 \\ & \text { trying }[1]-13: 11 \\ & \text { two }[9]-7: 23,7: 24, \\ & 9: 18,11: 3,13: 9, \\ & 14: 10,15: 21,15: 23 \\ & \text { type }[3]-5: 21,6: 3, \\ & 12: 19 \\ & \text { types }[4]-5: 24,9: 19, \\ & 9: 23,10: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { zero }[1] \text { - 10:10 } \\ & \text { ZIELIE }_{[2]}-1: 18, \\ & 19: 24 \\ & \text { Zielie }_{[2]}-19: 21, \\ & \text { 19:25 } \end{aligned}$ |
| U |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { under }[7]-5: 1,8: 9, \\ & 8: 15,14: 1,15: 22, \\ & \text { 15:23, 16:9 } \\ & \text { UNITED }[2]-1: 1,1: 14 \\ & \text { universe }[1]-10: 7 \\ & \text { up }[7]-5: 8,6: 7,6: 8, \\ & 7: 16,16: 8,17: 23, \\ & 19: 10 \\ & \text { usual }[1]-9: 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| V |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { valid }_{[1]}-7: 25 \\ & \text { various }[2]-10: 17, \\ & \text { 17:21 } \\ & \text { Venture }_{[2]}-11: 17, \\ & \quad \text { 11:24 } \\ & \text { verification }[1]-8: 7 \\ & \text { view }[1]-15: 8 \\ & \text { vigorous }[1]-10: 21 \\ & \text { Virginia }[1]-18: 11 \\ & \text { visualize }[1]-12: 20 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| W |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { W-9 }{ }_{[1]}-8: 7 \\ & \text { wait }[1]-16: 25 \\ & \text { WALNUT }[1]-2: 4 \\ & \text { week }[3]-4: 15,13: 9 \text {, } \\ & \text { 13:24 } \\ & \text { WOODY }[5]-5: 16 \text {, } \\ & 8: 21,9: 10,9: 13 \text {, } \\ & 11: 7 \\ & \text { Woody }[1]-5: 17 \\ & \text { worth }[2]-7: 4,10: 9 \\ & \text { wrap }_{[1]}-5: 7 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Y |  |
| yardstick [2] - 15:11, |  |

