

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:	
2	For Knauf Entities:	Kaye Scholer
3		BY: GREGORY J. WALLANCE, ESQ. 425 Park Avenue
4		New York, New York 10022-3598
5		
6	For Taishan Gypsum	Hogan Lovells, LLP
7 8	and Taishan Plasterboard:	BY: FRANK T. SPANO, ESQ. 875 Third Avenue New York, New York 10022
9		
10		
11	For the Insurers:	Barrasso, Usdin, Kupperman, Freeman & Sarver
12		BY: JUDY BARRASSO, ESQ. 909 Poydras Street
13		24th Floor New Orleans, Louisiana 70112
14		
15		
16		
17	For the Homebuilders:	Greenberg Traurig PA BY: HILARIE_BASS, ESQ.
18		1221 Brickell Avenue Miami, Florida 33131
19 20		
20	For Ushbio.	Magan LLD
21 22	For Hobbie:	Mason LLP BY: GARY E. MASON, ESQ.
22		1625 Massachusetts Avenue, NW Suite 605 Washington, D.C. 20036
23		washington, D.C. 20050
25		

2

		3
1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:	
2 3 4	For State/Federal Committee:	Barrios, Kingsdorf & Casteix, LLP BY: DAWN M. BARRIOS, ESQ. 701 Poydras Street Suite 3650 New Orleans, Louisiana 70139
5		
6	Also Present:	Daniel E. Becnel, ESQ.
7 8 9 10	Official Court Reporter:	Jodi Simcox, RMR, FCRR 500 Poydras Street Room B-406 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 (504) 589-7780
11		
12 13		
14	Proceedings recorded by mech	anical stenography, transcript
15	produced by computer.	
16		
17 10		
18 19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

4 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (June 14, 2011) 3 THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise. 4 **THE COURT:** Be seated, please. Good morning, ladies 5 and gentlemen. Let's call the case, please. 6 **THE DEPUTY CLERK:** MDL-2047, In re: Chinese Drywall. 7 **THE COURT:** Counsel, make their appearance for the 8 record. 9 MR. HERMAN: Yes, Your Honor. 10 **MR. MILLER:** Good morning, Your Honor. Kerry Miller 11 on behalf of the Defense Steering Committee. 12 MR. HERMAN: May it please the Court, good morning, Judge Fallon. Russ Herman on behalf of plaintiffs. 13 14 **THE COURT:** We're here today for our monthly status 15 conference. I have a full courtroom and several hundred people on the phone, so please use the microphone when you speak. 16 17 I met with lead and liaison counsel a moment ago and got the proposed agenda a couple of days ago, added to it 18 19 and discussed this meeting with those individuals. I'll take it in the order that's given to me, except let's start with the 20 21 Banner agreement, please. 22 **MR. HERMAN:** May it please the Court, Russ Herman. 23 With regard to the proposed Banner resolution, 24 which Your Honor, as I understand it, will set for a 25 preliminary hearing, I'm going to turn it over to lead counsel,

1 Arnold Levin, to discuss the settlement. And before I do, I 2 want to congratulate all of the various attorneys that participated for the plaintiffs, Arnold Levin and Ervin 3 4 Gonzalez and Leonard Davis and Fred Longer have been at this 5 long and hard. All of the defense counsel involved have been 6 7 very professional, negotiations were spirited, and particularly Jane Byrne for Chartis. We've had 43 drafts of an agreement 8 9 over a four- or five-week period and Jane has worked through 10 the night and weekends. 11 This is the third resolution under Your Honor's 12 superintendence in Chinese Drywall. We have three other 13 potential resolutions that we're working on simultaneously. We 14 want to thank the Court for its patience and for Your Honor's 15 willingness to entertain us on weekends. 16 And I would be remiss if we didn't also thank 17 your law clerk Lexy Butler who worked weekends and has been 18 very helpful. With that, I'll turn it over to Arnold. 19 **THE COURT:** All right. So everybody knows, a 20 settlement will be announced today to the press with regard to 21 Banner. A settlement has been reached with Banner. As I've 22 mentioned several times, this case is a little different in 23 that we don't have just one defendant, or two defendants, or 24 three defendants, whatever it is; in this case, there are about 25 a thousand defendants. So it's very difficult to get everybody

in the room and talk with them about an ultimate resolution of 1 2 the case. 3 So what I have been trying to do is to carve out 4 areas and then focus the individuals on those particular areas. 5 And this is the third area and, hopefully, there will be 6 several more in the near future. But I think that that's the 7 way that this matter has to go about being resolved. We've got 8 to get it each step of the way. As I said before, the way you 9 eat an elephant is one bite at a time, and I think that's what 10 has to be done in this case, and so far we've gotten three 11 bites. 12 Let me hear from the plaintiffs committee on the 13 Banner settlement. 14 MR. LEVIN: Your Honor, this is the second bite of 15 the elephant, and the elephant's rather large. So it's a step in the right direction. 16 17 INEX has -- we have a settlement with INEX. 18 Preliminary approval has been granted. There will be a 19 fairness hearing in October. 20 **THE COURT:** We've also had a pilot program with 21 Knauf --22 MR. LEVIN: Yes, that's right. 23 THE COURT: -- which was critical in this thing. 24 MR. LEVIN: Which we don't want to overlook, and I 25 have, and I apologize for that.

> JODI SIMCOX, RMR, FCRR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

This is the second step of a supplier -- a major supplier, Banner. Their supply was geographically in the Florida area. They had Knauf and Taishan product. The settlement wraps its hands around the existing insurance that we believe exists after credits have been given for some prior settlements that had been consummated. We recognize that. It's in the amount of \$55 million.

It envisions -- well, first of all, our goal was 8 9 to get the insurance money, not to distribute the insurance 10 It calls for an allocation proceeding whereby there monev. 11 will be an allocation between the various class members, which 12 also includes builders and the likes, as well as homeowners, 13 and also includes Taishan product, as well as Knauf product. 14 And Taishan is a horse of another color, and that's down the 15 road a bit. We're just getting started with them, but there will have to be money segregated for Taishan out of the Banner 16 17 settlement.

18 We recommend to the Court, and should the Court grant preliminary approval, to appoint a special master to 19 20 assist us and to assist the Court in the allocation process. 21 If Your Honor grants preliminary approval, probably the 22 fairness hearing would be in December given that you have that 23 type of lag time and buying the various ads in papers and 24 magazines. Individual notice can go out rather shortly. 25 It's a lot of paper to say that much. It's a

> JODI SIMCOX, RMR, FCRR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

lot of work to get there, but there's a lot of complex issues
 because of the multiple parties and the releases. And now the
 entire area of counsel representing various entities and
 parties to this litigation can look at it. Your Honor has
 scheduled, I believe, a hearing for preliminary approval at the
 next status conference on July 14th.

7 **THE COURT:** Yes. I'm going to set a hearing so that 8 we can take a look at it. But as I understand it, it's of all 9 the insurance proceeds that Banner has.

10 MR. LEVIN: Yes, net of what they spent. And no 11 fresh money from Banner. Banner is struggling. And perhaps 12 one of the benefits of this is that they can get along with 13 their corporate business and continue to do what they do, and 14 not necessarily sell bad drywall.

15 THE COURT: That's the area that everybody has to be 16 focused on. Banner, they would be teetering on bankruptcy or 17 be put into involuntary bankruptcy if suits were pursued 18 against them. So rather than that, they're tendering and all 19 of their insurance money is coming in and putting it in the pot 20 for distribution.

MR. LEVIN: Your Honor, it is a (b)(3) settlement, not a (b)(1) settlement. It's not a limited fund that's -it's in no way violative of our case.

THE COURT: All right. I'll notify everybody that
this preliminary approval will be set for discussion and for

1 any objection at the next meeting.

MS. BASS: Your Honor, Hilarie Bass on behalf of the
Homebuilders Steering Committee.

I feel compelled to put on the record that despite the admonishment of this Court to include the homebuilders in the negotiation process, and despite the fact that this Court granted intervention to some of the builders so they could participate in the settlement of this class, the homebuilders have been totally excluded from this process.

10 The Homebuilders Steering Committee was given a 11 term sheet ten days ago with significant material terms not 12 included. We were assured that we would be included in the 13 settlement discussions before anything went final. We actually 14 had offered to go to New York to do that, we offered to come in 15 yesterday to do that. We negotiated for a week over the time to get together to have the discussion, and we're shocked to 16 17 find out when we arrived in court today that the deal had 18 already been cut.

I understand it's a complicated deal. It may be the best deal possible. But I can assure you, it is much easier to cut a deal when you're excluding one of the most important classes or subclasses of relevant interested parties, and that's what I believe has been done.

24 We will meet with the PSC. We will go through 25 this settlement agreement on behalf of the Homebuilders

> JODI SIMCOX, RMR, FCRR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

1 Steering Committee. But I must put on the record how offended 2 we are with this process, that the insurance companies and 3 Banner and the PSC have sliced and diced a deal and allocated 4 risks and allocated the release provisions and the dollars without any input from the one set of parties at the table who 5 6 spent millions of dollars to repair these homes.

10

So we will go through this process, Your Honor, 8 but I have to express my sincere concern about the possibility 9 that all this work will be for naught. Because if all the 10 homebuilders object to the settlement, I suspect that neither 11 Banner nor the insurance companies will be prepared to go 12 forward.

13 THE COURT: Yes. I'm disappointed that this has 14 occurred because that wasn't my understanding. I understood 15 that everybody would be at the table this time and would participate in this agreement. And as I mentioned to counsel 16 17 in conference, I want them to meet following this matter and 18 see whether or not we're just dealing with a misunderstanding 19 as opposed to something more serious.

20 But I do agree that the significant people have 21 to be plugged in and participate in these matters in order for 22 them to be successful. So I don't want this to happen again.

23 Let's take the next item, State Court Trial 24 Settings. Anything on that?

I talked with Judge Farina, and I'll be talking

JODI SIMCOX, RMR, FCRR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

7

1 to Chief Judge Weinstein following the meeting to discuss any 2 other matters that we can jointly deal with involving Banner 3 particularly. 4 Anything on Insurance Issues? 5 **MR. HERMAN:** I recall seeing Ms. Barrasso earlier. 6 From the PSC's point of view, we've had continuous discussions 7 up until yesterday, I think we spent a couple of hours, but... **MS. BARRASSO:** Yes, Judge. The insurers are meeting 8 9 and being involved in some of the settlement discussions. 10 We've also met with the PSC several times to try to work out a 11 scheduling order on the pending motions. We hope to have that 12 back to you in the next few weeks. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 State/Federal Coordination. Anything on that, 15 Dawn? 16 MS. BARRIOS: Thank you, Your Honor. Dawn Barrios 17 for the state/federal committee. 18 Your Honor, last status conference I had brought 19 to the Court's attention a case that is set for trial in 20 January of 2012. It didn't make the joint report. I'd just 21 like to mention it again. It's Staggs versus Alvarez Homes. 22 It's in the 13th Circuit, Hillsborough County. Mediation is 23 scheduled for July 27th and trial scheduled for January 2012. 24 And I believe I had spoken with your law clerk 25 about the judge's contact information since the last status

1 conference.

16

THE COURT: Yes. You've given me all of those judges
and I've been dealing with them.

MS. BARRIOS: Your Honor, we have a new case that I
wanted to bring to your attention because it's a new judge and
it is in Galveston County, Texas, 222nd Judicial District
Court, Judge Susan Criss. It is a homeowner versus a
builder/seller and it involves Knauf. I'd like to give a copy
of that to your law clerk.

10 **THE COURT:** Is that the first one in Texas that has 11 been filed?

MS. BARRIOS: No, Your Honor, I don't believe it is. We had a lot of Texas people came in on the omni, but I'll do a double-check to see if this is the first Texas state court case.

THE COURT: State court, yes.

MS. BARRIOS: I'd also like to bring to the Court's attention, and I apologize if you already are aware of it, is the case Auto-Owners Insurance Company versus American Building Materials. It was a case out of the Middle District of Florida where the pollution exclusion was not accepted as an exclusion by the court.

Through CTO 21, we have put all remands on the disk. And I believe, Your Honor, that concludes the -- my report. Yes, Your Honor. I thought had I had an extra case,

1 but that's it. 2 Thank you, Judge. 3 THE COURT: Good. Thanks very much. This is a challenge in this particular case 4 5 because we have more state court involvement than is normal in 6 a case of this nature. Omnibus Class Action. Anything on that? 7 MR. LEVIN: Your Honor, we filed Omnibus 10 within 8 9 the last week, which is a Knauf complaint. It's over a 10 thousand plaintiffs, but only 300-something are new Knauf 11 properties. The others have joined the suit because they 12 brought in builders and suppliers, et cetera. 13 We also filed recently what I haven't 14 characterized as an omnibus complaint, but it is a class action 15 complaint against multiple Chinese corporations that are either above or below in the chain with the Taishan defendants, who 16 17 are the only ones that have entered their appearance in these 18 proceedings. 19 We've done considerable research to bring them into this case. We haven't had the benefit of Taishan's 20 21 counsel. With regard to the facts in those cases, we feel 22 pretty secure. There is an allegation they are not defendants; 23 but there is strong statements as to the involvement of 24 American corporations with regard to these Chinese 25 corporations, in particular JP Morgan and Morgan Stanley.

13

We have subpoenas out for records from those 1 2 entities who either made investments or assisted the Chinese 3 corporations in getting a foot into the United States. 4 Unfortunately, we have to file through the Hague and we have to 5 wait forever to get our service, but that's the cards that 6 we've been dealt by the Chinese. 7 THE COURT: All right. 8 Motion to Establish a Litigation Fund. Anything 9 on that? 10 MR. HERMAN: Please the Court, nothing new. 11 **THE COURT:** What about the mediation? 12 **MR. HERMAN:** There's one scheduled for June 24th, 13 Your Honor, with Prichard Housing Authority. 14 **THE COURT:** All right. And then the pilot program. Anything on that, Greg? 15 16 MR. HERMAN: Mr. Wallance is here. 17 **MR. WALLANCE:** Good morning, Your Honor. Gregory Wallance for the Knauf defendants. 18 19 We have 260 homes currently in the pipeline, and 20 by *pipeline* we mean the home is considered to be in the 21 pipeline if Moss has already started or is starting the process 22 of preparing the home for the remediation, which obviously 23 means the homeowner and the attorney very much want that to 24 happen. 25 There are currently 17 homes that have been

14

completed. Another 60 are under remediation. We expect -well, as Your Honor recalls, the program agreement, the
demonstration and remediation agreement targeted 300 homes. We
expect to pass the 300 number in the next two or three weeks,
and at this point we're just going to continue. And there's -no specific limit is set.
We hope to continue increasing, ramping up the

we nope to continue increasing, ramping up the
program, bringing at least 50 a month or more into the program.
And so far we're getting a good response from attorneys and
their homeowners.

THE COURT: Good. Thanks very much.

11

12 The last time we talked, there was some interest 13 in some homes that have already been fixed or rehabilitated and 14 there was an issue there. How are we dealing with that?

MR. WALLANCE: Well, I've spoken both with the PSC
and the individual attorneys for five or six homes that we'd
like to start with, including the Sean Payton home.

18 Two things: One is we're very much considering 19 this to be, if not part of the pilot program, an adjunct to the 20 pilot program, and we're going to proceed with the same kind of 21 good cooperation we've been getting from the PSC and individual 22 attorneys.

Second, unlike the pilot program, these homes
are generally large, expensive to rehabilitate. In some
instances -- well, in these instances, the homeowners have

JODI SIMCOX, RMR, FCRR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

1 self-remediated. They had the resources. And in one instance 2 a lot of additional upgrades were done. So they're going to 3 require a negotiation on a case-by-case basis. We hope to 4 commence those negotiations within the next several weeks, but 5 we only just recently got the data that we need to evaluate and 6 come to a position.

7 THE COURT: All right. Yes, that makes sense to me,
8 that I think it's going to have to be a case-by-case basis
9 because they have different issues than the others. I
10 understand that.

MR. HERMAN: Judge Fallon, since the last conference and the remediation issue -- self-remediation issue came up, the PSC has been collecting from all attorneys a request that they provide their plaintiff profile forms, whether the property was indicated in an omnibus complaint. And the other information, we should have a chart prepared by the end of the week. We've had so far responses from a dozen attorneys.

We're certain, given the numerous self-remediation that there will be outstanding self-remediations which have not been reported and may not be reported. But we intend to provide Knauf with a list of what we have as well as sufficient information for them to follow up.

24 THE COURT: What about the class certification 25 hearings?

> JODI SIMCOX, RMR, FCRR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, there's nothing new as to 1 2 class certification hearings. The next issue --3 Depositions of Knauf. THE COURT: MR. HERMAN: Yes, Your Honor. At page 8 of the 4 5 status conference report 22, which will be posted on Your 6 Honor's Web site, 11 depositions have been completed and six 7 are scheduled in July and August, two in Germany, three in Hong 8 Kong, and one at a site yet to be determined. 9 The scheduling of these depositions with Knauf 10 has proceeded in an orderly fashion. We do not anticipate any 11 issue with the scheduling or taking of the Knauf depositions 12 that are remaining. THE COURT: All right. What about Taishan 13 14 defendants? 15 **MR. HERMAN:** Your Honor, Judge Fallon called a status conference call on June 9th. The parties are currently 16 17 reviewing Taishan production and will meet and confer 18 immediately following the status conference today. And then on June 23rd, we've scheduled a meeting and we hope to have 19 addressed the documents by then. 20 21 Page 9, the general discourse as regards Taishan 22 document production and ongoing discussions is outlined. Your 23 Honor has reserved the issue of sanctions. Your Honor has 24 indicated that in -- hopefully, in November or December of 25 2011, in accord with the Court's calendar, that the Court

17

1 intends to be present for Taishan depositions.

2

3

4

5

6

Thus far, Taishan has required that those depositions be in Hong Kong and we'll be proceeding with an attempt to resolve any issues regarding those depositions and the document production, which should be mostly complete before those depositions commence.

7 THE COURT: Yes. I met with the parties via
8 telephone conference and indicated that I felt that it was
9 necessary for this -- that the Court be present at the
10 depositions.

11 I read the transcript and I didn't feel that 12 they were very helpful to me in resolving the jurisdictional 13 issues. I learned a lot about interpreters. I learned a lot 14 about their problems with dialects and things of that sort, and 15 I thought the attorneys made interesting speeches during the 16 But the witnesses didn't supply any information depositions. 17 or didn't have the opportunity to supply information or 18 couldn't supply information that would assist me in the jurisdictional issues that I'm dealing with. 19

So it looks to me like we have to take the depositions again and this time the Court will be present during the depositions. I gave the dates of November and December that I can set aside at least a week to deal with these issues. But before we go over to wherever we're going, I want the parties to decide what documents they want, what

> JODI SIMCOX, RMR, FCRR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

documents the other side's willing to give, and then the Court will make the decision as to which documents are actually presented or given, and which witnesses will be taken, what areas the witnesses will be interrogated on, and we'll have all of these details worked out.

And I also focused the parties on interpreters. If they can't agree on an interpreter, then I'll ask the State Department to give us an interpreter.

9 I also discussed with them the place of the 10 depositions, if they need to be at the American Embassy in Hong 11 Kong or the American Consulate or some other mutually 12 acceptable place. But we've got to work out the details so 13 that when we go over it's a little more organized than it was 14 the last time.

MR. HERMAN: May it please the Court, Mr. Spano is
here, the attorney for Taishan. He may wish to address the
Court.

18 THE COURT: Mr. Spano was on the conference call and 19 participated in it and I heard his areas of concern, and I 20 agree with many of them and I've discussed it with the parties.

21 MR. SPANO: Thank you, Your Honor. Frank Spano,
22 Hogan Lovells for Taishan Gypsum.

I just want to point out briefly that we're communicating with our client regarding the proposed dates for further deposition in November and December, as well as the other issues we discussed on the June 9th status conference and
 will report back on those next week.

Also, prior to our call next week, we will be submitting to the Court and the PSC charts listing the substance of the deposition testimony on each of the previously designated topics which we believe will assist the Court and the parties in identifying the remaining topics that are necessary to be covered.

9 And finally, I just want to comment briefly on Mr. Levin's discussion of the efforts to obtain discovery from 10 11 American -- the investment banks. And for the record, I just 12 want to point out that Taishan Gypsum answered interrogatories 13 regarding that in substance stating under oath that Taishan 14 Gypsum did not have any interaction or relationships with 15 American banks. And our clients were asked no questions regarding those issues at their depositions. 16

17 THE COURT: All right. Frank, you need to know that 18 the Court is aware of the difficulty that exists in these types 19 of situations, and I appreciate your work on it. I know it's 20 very hard to deal with all of the issues that you particularly 21 have been dealing with. And you've been sticking with it and I 22 appreciate your efforts on this.

And I think with your efforts and the efforts of
the other side, I think we'll get through this rather
complicated issue. But you need to know I appreciate your

JODI SIMCOX, RMR, FCRR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

1 work.

2 MR. SPANO: Thank you, Your Honor. 3 MR. HERMAN: May it please the Court, I'm certain 4 that Mr. Spano, a fine lawyer and officer of the court, 5 submitted an affidavit that he felt was accurate. We have 6 documents which indicate JP Morgan owns 22 to 26 percent of CNBM and BNBM which, in turn, owns 65 percent of Taishan. 7 So it may be that Taishan did not -- that their affidavit is 8 9 correct; but sometimes it's not what's in an affidavit or representation, it's what's not there. 10 11 And we fully understand that we have to go 12 through rather than around. And we look forward to JP Morgan 13 and Morgan Stanley at some point appearing before the Court. 14 **THE COURT:** But we're not there yet, and I don't know 15 whether we'll ever get there, but I'll listen to both of you all and give you all an opportunity to discuss that with me. 16 17 MR. HERMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Interior Exterior. Anything on that? 18 THE COURT: 19 **MR. HERMAN:** Yes, Your Honor. A notice will go out 20 this week re: Class settlement in *Parade Magazine*, newspapers 21 and USA Today. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 **MR. HERMAN:** We're advised, as we continuously are 24 advised, that *Parade Magazine* is the most well read print media 25 in the nation and has been for a substantial period of time.

So we expect notice to be more than sufficient in connection
 with that resolution. As regards notice, the PSC has
 undertaken to pay for notice.
 THE COURT: Okay. And we talked about the next item,
 the Banner matters.
 MR. HERMAN: Correct, Your Honor. And as regards 14,

Frequently Asked Questions, once there is a hearing on preliminary approval, depending upon whatever Your Honor's ruling is, we will submit additional Frequently Asked Questions and Answers.

11 THE COURT: Okay. And the next status conference 12 will be on July 14th. And I'm told that the August 25th date 13 is problematic with my calendar. I'm starting a trial that 14 day. The 24th would be better for me if that's possible.

Anything further? I've got a motion that I want to talk with you all about when I come back. It's the class action plaintiffs and Hobbie motion for entry of a scheduling order. I wondered, in view of the Banner settlement, whether that should be put off for a week or so to give counsel an opportunity -- I don't want to put it off longer than that, but I don't want anybody to be surprised with that.

What's your input on it?

23 MR. MASON: Your Honor, we're prepared to discuss24 that this morning.

25

22

THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. I just wanted to

give you that opportunity. All right. We'll end here then and
 I'll come back in five minutes.

MR. BECNEL: I wanted just for Ms. Hilarie to know that Mr. Wallance and I have talked about homebuilders participating in the Sean Payton negotiation. I don't know whether she wants to participate, but Crosby Homes, I've given him all of the documentation and the offers from them to include that. Mr. Parker has five high-end homes that have been set and packages to them.

10 THE COURT: Yes. Let's get in on this so that you 11 all recognize that these are all independent matters, and I 12 think have to be dealt with, because it's a different type of 13 home that we're dealing with. We're dealing with people who 14 have had the resources to repair their home and that tells us 15 something about the quality of the home and the nature of the 16 home.

And there's some issues that come up that one might not help you on the other one, so you need to focus on each individual home to get the best deal that you can get from this. All right.

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, if I might, just one other issue, Mr. Spano in his communications, in addition to Mr. Levin and my office, I'd appreciate it if he copied Mr. Seeger and Mr. Meunier, particularly regarding any depositions of Taishan in November or December.

> JODI SIMCOX, RMR, FCRR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

THE COURT: All right. We'll take a five-minute		
break at this time and I'll come back. Court will stand in		
recess.		
THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.		
(WHEREUPON, the proceedings were concluded.)		

<u>CERTIFICATE</u>		
I, Jodi Simcox, RMR, FCRR, Official Court Reporter		
for the United States District Court, Eastern District of		
Louisiana, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and		
correct transcript, to the best of my ability and		
understanding, from the record of the proceedings in the		
above-entitled and numbered matter.		
<u>S/ Jodi Simcox, RMR, FCRR</u> Jodi Simcox, RMR, FCRR		
Official Court Reporter		