

VIOXX DOCKETED CLAIMANT CORRESPONDENCE

12/2/09

Row	Date of Order	Date of Letter	Primary Injury	Summary of Letter	Actions Taken
1.	7/24/09	6/26/09	IS	Represented Claimant submitted a medical statement from doctor in response to the Claims Administrator Notice of Ineligibility.	BrownGreer issued a Gate Committee Notice of Eligibility and paid the IS Interim Payment to Primary Counsel on 10/1/09.
2.	10/13/09	8/24/09	IS	Represented Claimant submitted a Hardship Request.	The Parties granted the Hardship Request. BrownGreer paid the IS Interim Payment to Primary Counsel on 10/1/09.
3.	7/24/09	7/14/09	MI	Pro Se Claimant, formerly represented, failed the Injury Gate and received a Gate Committee Notice of Ineligibility. Primary Counsel submitted a Future Evidence Stipulation on 7/20/09 and filed a motion to withdraw on 7/31/09. The Court granted the motion to withdraw on 10/14/09. Claimant believed the Ineligible determination was discriminatory and unethical. Claimant asked the Court to assign her case to the Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel to reach a mutually beneficial settlement.	Claimant was represented when correspondence was filed with the Court. The Future Evidence Stipulation was timely submitted. Merck has accepted the Claimant's Future Evidence Stipulation.
4.	7/24/09	7/1/09	MI	Represented Claimant requested an update on the status of the claim.	BrownGreer Communications Lead forwarded this correspondence to Primary Counsel. Primary Counsel was to respond to the Claimant. BrownGreer paid MI Final Payment to Primary Counsel on 10/8/09.
5.	10/13/09	7/20/09	MI	Represented Claimant submitted complaint about 32% Attorney's Fees deduction.	BrownGreer Communications Lead forwarded this correspondence to Primary Counsel. Primary Counsel was to respond to the Claimant.
6.	11/16/09	11/2/09	MI	Represented Claimant's letter stated that Claimant has tried contacting Primary Counsel but Primary Counsel will not return his phone calls. The letter referenced two fee agreements. Claimant requested that Primary Counsel release remaining	BrownGreer Communications Lead forwarded this correspondence to Primary Counsel. Primary Counsel was to respond to the Claimant. BrownGreer sent a letter to Claimant acknowledging receipt of letter and referred the Claimant to Primary Counsel.
7.	7/27/09	6/4/09	MI	Represented Claimant's letter stated that he suffered kidney failure as a result of Vioxx use. Claimant stated that Primary Counsel falsely listed Claimant as having two heart attacks. Claimant feels that Primary Counsel misrepresented the claim to BrownGreer and Gate Committee.	Claimant's letter was in response to the Special Master appeal denial. BrownGreer forwarded the letter to Primary Counsel. Prior to this letter, Primary Counsel appealed the claim to the Special Master and that appeal was denied. BrownGreer informed Primary Counsel that the Special Master's decision cannot be appealed.

VIOXX DOCKETED CLAIMANT CORRESPONDENCE

12/2/09

Row	Date of Order	Date of Letter	Primary Injury	Summary of Letter	Actions Taken
8.	7/27/09	6/11/09	MI	Attorney submitted a letter in response to a subpoena for records related to a Pro Se Claimant's case. The letter explained that they do not have records for the Claimant or the Representative Claimant.	Claimant did not submit Enrollment documents. Claimant submitted a Pro Se Registration Affidavit. An Enrollment Package was mailed to Claimant on 1/17/08 and again on 9/5/08. Another letter was sent to Claimant on 10/17/08, reminding him of the final Enrollment deadline. BrownGreer spoke with the Representative Claimant in May of 2009 and explained why the claim was closed. BrownGreer issued a final Closed - Never Enrolled letter on 8/6/09.
9.	8/20/09	8/4/09	SCD/MI	Represented Claimant submitted complaint about 32% Attorney's Fees deduction.	BrownGreer Communications Lead forwarded this correspondence to Primary Counsel. Primary Counsel was to respond to the Claimant. BrownGreer paid MI Final Payment to Primary Counsel on 10/8/09.
10.	8/31/09	8/28/09	MI	Represented Claimant wrote to the Court to object to Primary Counsel withdrawing as counsel. Primary Counsel filed a motion to withdraw as counsel on 7/29/09. The motion was denied on 9/2/09.	Primary Counsel filed a Future Evidence Stipulation that was accepted by Merck. BrownGreer Communications Lead spoke to Claimant on 8/28/09 and explained that the claim is now closed with BrownGreer.
11.	9/2/09	8/25/09	N/A	The letter addressed a 9/17/09 Show Cause hearing. The individual did not want the case to be dismissed and requested that the court appoint an attorney.	This individual is not a Registered/Enrolled Claimant with the Vioxx Settlement Program. He was identified on Merck's motion to dismiss for failure to comply with PTO 31 (Registration Requirements). The order entered on 9/25/09 deferred the motion for 60 days as to this individual. This letter was addressed to Merck's counsel. This correspondence deals with litigation so BrownGreer did not respond.
12.	10/13/09	8/7/09	IS	Pro Se Claimant submitted letter and records in response to the Notice of Ineligibility.	BrownGreer issued a Gate Committee Notice of Eligibility on 8/14/09 and paid the MI Final Payment to Claimant on 10/8/09.
13.	8/27/09	8/26/09	IS	Pro Se Claimant submitted letter in response to Notice of Points Award, questioning the Overall Duration period.	BrownGreer processed Claimant's correspondence as an Appeal to the Points Award. BrownGreer issued a Post-Appeal Notice of Points Award and Claimant accepted it. BrownGreer paid the MI Final Payment to Claimant on 10/8/09.

VIOXX DOCKETED CLAIMANT CORRESPONDENCE

12/2/09

Row	Date of Order	Date of Letter	Primary Injury	Summary of Letter	Actions Taken
14.	10/13/09	8/12/09	IS	Pro Se Claimant's letter had been previously entered on the Court's docket. See MDL Docket document number 25388-2. Claimant was formerly represented by Primary Counsel and was represented when this correspondence was submitted to the Court. The correspondence was in response to the Gate Committee Notice of Ineligibility. The Claimant felt that Primary Counsel did not properly handle the claim. The Claimant wanted to appeal the Gate Committee's decision but Primary Counsel insisted that they submit a Future Evidence Stipulation. The Claimant stated that she has called Primary Counsel but they will not return her phone calls and that Primary Counsel is ignoring her request to appeal. The Claimant asked the Court to ensure that the attorneys notify their clients in a timely manner and that they properly advise them as to the different options.	The complaint was directed to the Claimant's former attorney. The Claimant was converted to Pro Se on 8/19/09 after Primary Counsel notified BrownGreer that they no longer represent the Claimant. BrownGreer issued a Post-Appeal Notice of Points Award that the Claimant accepted. BrownGreer paid the MI Final Payment to the Claimant on 10/8/09. Additionally, pursuant to an Order entered on 10/26/09, Claimant's request/motion has been denied.
15.	10/13/09	9/6/09	IS	Represented Claimant submitted letter with questions relating to expenses/deductions from settlement check.	BrownGreer Communications Lead forwarded this correspondence to Primary Counsel. Primary Counsel was to respond to the Claimant. BrownGreer paid the IS Fixed Payment to Primary Counsel on 8/25/09.
16.	10/13/09	8/16/09	MI	Represented Claimant submitted complaint about 32% Attorney's Fees deduction.	BrownGreer Communications Lead forwarded this correspondence to Primary Counsel. Primary Counsel was to respond to the Claimant. BrownGreer paid MI Final Payment to Primary Counsel on 10/8/09.
17.	10/13/09	8/11/09	MI	Represented Claimant submitted a doctor's note and records to the Court in response to Special Master' Notice of Ineligibility.	BrownGreer Communications Lead spoke to the Claimant numerous times regarding the claim. Claimant hired counsel for a malpractice case against Primary Counsel. On 10/7/09, BrownGreer Communications Lead informed the Claimant that BrownGreer could not get involved in this situation.
18.	10/13/09	7/17/09	SCD/MI	Pro Se Claimant submitted letter in response to the Gate Committee Notice of Ineligibility.	BrownGreer issued a Gate Committee Notice of Eligibility on 7/31/09 and paid the MI Final Payment to the Claimant on 10/8/09.
19.	10/13/09	9/4/09	MI	Pro Se Claimant's letter was regarding obtaining additional records from a specific doctor and attached records that were previously submitted to BrownGreer.	Claimant's Future Evidence Stipulation was timely submitted. Merck has accepted the Claimant's Future Evidence Stipulation.

VIOXX DOCKETED CLAIMANT CORRESPONDENCE

12/2/09

Row	Date of Order	Date of Letter	Primary Injury	Summary of Letter	Actions Taken
20.	10/13/09	8/18/09	MI	Pro Se Claimant's letter was regarding the Future Evidence Stipulation submission. Claimant was trying to obtain an expert report to comply with PTO 43 but cannot locate the doctor. Claimant wanted to remain in the Settlement Program and be compensated for her injuries.	Claimant's Future Evidence Stipulation was timely submitted. Merck has accepted the Claimant's Future Evidence Stipulation.
21.	10/13/09	9/11/09	SCD/MI	Represented Claimant submitted complaint about Attorney's Fees and overall handling of claim by Primary Counsel.	BrownGreer Communications Lead forwarded this correspondence to Primary Counsel. Primary Counsel was to respond to the Claimant. BrownGreer paid MI Final Payment to Primary Counsel on 10/8/09.
22.	11/5/09	10/22/09	MI	Primary Counsel submitted letter addressed to the Represented Claimant in response to a letter filed with the Court on 9/11/09. Primary Counsel stated that they kept the Represented Claimant abreast of new information and changes with Vioxx claim. Primary Counsel felt that they did everything they could to prosecute the Vioxx claim as quickly and properly as possible.	No response was necessary because the letter is directed at the Claimant from Primary Counsel.
23.	10/13/09	8/24/09	N/A	Individual's request in letter was unclear. It referenced FRAP 25, 43, 44, 45 and 47.	Individual is not a Registered/Enrolled Claimant with the Vioxx Settlement Program. BrownGreer Communications Lead spoke to individual on 10/19/09 and informed individual that the claim was not registered/enrolled in the Settlement and the deadline has passed to do so. The Order entered on 9/25/09 (MDL Document Number 24267) dismissed the claim without prejudice for failure to comply with PTO 31. BrownGreer sent letter on 11/6/09 explaining that all deadlines to Register/Enroll in Settlement Program had passed and that the case was dismissed for failure to comply with PTO 31.
24.	7/24/09	6/8/09	IS	Represented Claimant submitted complaint about 32% Attorney's Fees deduction.	Claimant's identity was unknown so no response was required.
25.	10/21/09	8/10/09	MI	Represented Claimant's wife requested an update on the claim.	BrownGreer could not contact Primary Counsel. BrownGreer Communications Lead contacted Merck's counsel and determined that the Claimant was not eligible to participate in the Settlement Program because there was no lawsuit or tolling agreement filed. BrownGreer Communications Lead notified the Claimant, who then hired new counsel. The new Primary Counsel was also informed that the claim is Ineligible.

VIOXX DOCKETED CLAIMANT CORRESPONDENCE

12/2/09

Row	Date of Order	Date of Letter	Primary Injury	Summary of Letter	Actions Taken
26.	10/23/09	10/16/09	Other	Pro Se Claimant letter explained problems as a result of Vioxx use.	BrownGreer sent the Claimant Enrollment materials on 1/9/08, but she never returned these materials or contacted BrownGreer further. The Claimant spoke with the Curator's office in February of 2009. The Claimant was formerly represented. Primary Counsel filed a motion to withdraw as counsel on 9/22/08. The order was entered on 12/19/08 and the Claimant was converted to Pro Se. Claim is Closed: Never Enrolled. The Claimant's case was also dismissed per 4/30/09 order for failure to comply with PTO 28. BrownGreer sent Claimant final Closed - Never Enrolled letter and a copy of PTO 28 on 11/2/09.
27.	10/23/09	10/20/09	N/A	Primary Counsel requested an opportunity to discuss challenges to the 32% fee cap and 8% Common Benefit Fee.	Neither Primary Counsel nor the attorney is listed in the Vioxx database. The file number listed on the correspondence is not a VCN found in our database, so it is unclear as to which Claimant this pertains.
28.	10/13/09	7/27/09	MI	Represented Claimant's letter stated that a February, 2002 Event was not claimed by Primary Counsel. The Claimant believed the claims were Ineligible because the February, 2002 Event was not claimed. Found Primary Counsel claimed two Events, 10/27/03 MI and 3/19/04 MI but not the February, 2002 event. Claimant stated that Primary Counsel will not accept or return Claimant's phone calls. Claimant was requesting an investigation into Primary Counsel's abandonment. The letter stated that Counsel still has his records. Claimant believed that counsel has withdrawn from his case. The Claimant attached Counsel's 7/9/09 letter explaining the Special Master's determination. Counsel's letter further explained that the Claimant's file is closed and advised Claimant to obtain another attorney immediately if he wants to pursue his case further.	Primary Counsel appealed the claim to the Special Master and it was denied. Additionally, pursuant to an Order entered on 10/26/09, Claimant's request/motion has been denied. BrownGreer sent Special Master denial to Primary Counsel. Primary Counsel has not filed a motion to withdraw as counsel.

VIOXX DOCKETED CLAIMANT CORRESPONDENCE

12/2/09

Row	Date of Order	Date of Letter	Primary Injury	Summary of Letter	Actions Taken
29.	10/13/09	7/21/09	MI	Represented Claimant stated that there was conflicting information on the Notice of Ineligibility he received. He stated that the top of the Notice of Ineligibility indicated that there is one passing injury, while the bottom of the Notice indicates that both injuries were Ineligible. Claimant's letter stated that a revised Notice of Ineligibility was issued six days later. Claimant was trying to obtain different Counsel and requested that we return his Enrollment documents without filing a Future Evidence Stipulation. The letter stated that he only has seven more days to file his Stipulation.	Claimant was referring to the Future Evidence Stipulation. Merck has accepted Claimant's Future Evidence Stipulation. Additionally, pursuant to an Order entered on 10/26/09, Claimant's request/motion has been denied.
30.	10/13/09	9/20/09	MI	Represented Claimant received a Notice of Points Award with a hypertension deduction. The Represented Claimant stated that Primary Counsel advised him not to appeal the hypertension deduction because the Notice of Points Award could decrease if other risk factors were found that were not previously identified. The Claimant felt that, based on a conversation with Primary Counsel, the Claims Administrator was discriminatory against 'new' appellants versus 'old'.	Points Award was accepted on 10/28/08, approximately one year before this letter was submitted. BrownGreer paid the MI Final Payment to Primary Counsel on 10/8/09. Additionally, pursuant to an Order entered on 10/26/09, Claimant's request/motion has been denied.
31.	10/13/09	8/19/09	MI	Represented Claimant requested the Court's assistance with obtaining Final Payment.	BrownGreer paid the MI Final Payment to Primary Counsel on 10/8/09. Additionally, pursuant to an Order entered on 10/26/09, Claimant's request/motion has been denied.
32.	10/13/09	10/2/09	IS	Represented Claimant believed the claim was improperly handled. The letter stated that the Claimant took Vioxx from December of 2003 until September of 2004, when it was pulled off the market. The letter also stated that the records from Baptist Memorial Hospital prove that the Claimant suffered a stroke.	BrownGreer issued a Gate Committee Notice of Ineligibility on 7/15/09. Primary Counsel failed to timely appeal to the Special Master or submit a Future Evidence Stipulation so the claim is closed. BrownGreer Communications Lead forwarded this correspondence to Primary Counsel. Primary Counsel was to respond to Claimant. Additionally, pursuant to an Order entered on 10/26/09, Claimant's request/motion has been denied.

VIOXX DOCKETED CLAIMANT CORRESPONDENCE

12/2/09

Row	Date of Order	Date of Letter	Primary Injury	Summary of Letter	Actions Taken
33.	10/13/09	7/22/09	MI	Pro Se Claimant stated that she could not obtain an attorney and entered into the Program as a Pro Se Claimant. She contacted BrownGreer, the Curator's office, and members of the NPC and was told that that she was not eligible to participate in the Settlement Program because she did not have a lawsuit or tolling agreement. She felt that she has suffered as a result of her Vioxx use and deserves compensation. The letter insinuates that the results would have been different if she had an attorney. She was going to continue to look for an attorney to assist her.	BrownGreer has spoken with Claimant on numerous occasions to explain why she is not eligible to participate in the Settlement Program. BrownGreer sent final Closed - Ineligible letter on 8/6/09. Claimant is not eligible to participate in the Settlement Program because she did not have a lawsuit or tolling agreement. Additionally, pursuant to an Order entered on 10/26/09, Claimant's request/motion has been denied.
34.	10/13/09	8/11/09	MI	Pro Se Claimant stated that she failed to comply with deadlines because her husband was in the hospital and seeks additional time to resolve deficiencies and comply with rules/orders.	Claimant was formerly represented. Curator's office spoke with Claimant on 6/13/08. The Claimant stated that she did not want to participate in the Settlement Program, but rather, opt-out. The Curator's office spoke with Claimant again on 10/22/08, to remind Claimant of upcoming 10/30/08 Enrollment deadline. The Claimant also confirmed receipt of Enrollment materials. BrownGreer spoke with the Claimant on 10/30/08. The Claimant confirmed receipt of Enrollment materials but stated that she did not want to participate in the Settlement Program, but rather pursue her case through the courts. She described herself as an opt-out. The Claimant failed to return the required Enrollment materials and the claim was closed. Additionally, pursuant to an Order filed on 10/13/09 and entered on 10/26/09, Claimant's request/motion has been denied.
35.	10/13/09	10/1/09	MI	Pro Se Claimant's asked for assistance to determine if PTO 43 applied to her case. Claimant did not enroll in the Settlement Program but did have a pending lawsuit prior to 11/9/07. The letter referenced submitting an expert report. Claimant requested that the case be reinstated.	Claimant was formerly represented. Curator's office spoke with Claimant on 6/13/08. Claimant stated that she did not want to participate in the Settlement Program, but rather, opt-out. The Curator's office spoke with Claimant again on 10/22/08, to remind Claimant of upcoming 10/30/08 Enrollment deadline. Claimant also confirmed receipt of Enrollment materials. BrownGreer spoke with the Claimant on 10/30/08. Claimant confirmed receipt of Enrollment materials but stated that she did not want to participate in the Settlement Program, but rather pursue her case through the courts. She described herself as an opt-out. Claimant failed to return the required Enrollment materials and the claim was closed. Additionally, pursuant to an Order filed on 10/13/09 and entered on 10/26/09, Claimant's request/motion has been denied.

VIOXX DOCKETED CLAIMANT CORRESPONDENCE

12/2/09

Row	Date of Order	Date of Letter	Primary Injury	Summary of Letter	Actions Taken
36.	11/20/09	11/17/09	MI	Pro Se Claimant requested that the case be re-instated. Claimant received a letter from Merck dated 4/3/09, stating that the case would be dismissed if she did not comply with PTO 28. Claimant believed the case was mistakenly dismissed.	Claimant's case was dismissed pursuant to an Order entered on 8/4/09 for failure to comply with PTO 28. Additionally, Claimant failed to return the required Enrollment materials and the claim was closed.
37.	N/A			Represented Claimant's daughter emailed the NPC for information on her mother's claim. The half-brother, who is the Personal Representative, has not updated her on the claim status.	BrownGreer emailed Claimant and stated BrownGreer forwarded her email to Primary Counsel handling her claim for a response.
38.	10/13/09	8/3/09	MI	Pro Se Claimant did not understand why she cannot participate in the Settlement Program. Claimant felt that she has met all requirements to participate in the Settlement Program and deserved to be compensated. She asked for the Court's assistance.	BrownGreer has spoken with this Claimant on numerous occasions to explain why she is not eligible to participate in the Settlement Program. BrownGreer sent final Closed - Ineligible letter on 8/6/09. The Claimant is not eligible to participate in the Settlement Program because she did not have a lawsuit or tolling agreement and the claim is closed. Additionally, pursuant to an Order entered on 10/26/09, Claimant's request/motion has been denied.
39.	10/13/09	8/5/09	MI	Pro Se Claimant stated that the claim was improperly handled and the entire Claims Package was not reviewed, as he had a qualifying injury .	Claimant failed the Injury Gate and the Special Master denied the appeal. The Special Master Notice of Ineligibility along with lengthy due process letter was sent to Claimant on 9/24/09, explaining all avenues had been exhausted. BrownGreer spoke with Claimant on 9/30/09 and addressed Claimant's questions and concerns related to the Special Master's Notice of Ineligibility. Additionally, pursuant to an Order entered on 10/26/09, Claimant's request/motion has been denied.

VIOXX DOCKETED CLAIMANT CORRESPONDENCE

12/2/09

Row	Date of Order	Date of Letter	Primary Injury	Summary of Letter	Actions Taken
40.	10/13/09	10/2/09	IS	Pro Se Claimant submitted a letter in response to the Notice of Points Award, disagreeing with the deductions for obesity, high cholesterol, hypertension, diabetes and smoking. Claimant also disagreed with the Overall Duration, Label Adjustment and Consistency Adjustment. Claimant asked the Court to review the records and make a decision.	Claimant submitted correspondence in response to the Notice of Point Award and BrownGreer processed correspondence as an appeal. BrownGreer issued a Post-Appeal Notice of Points Award. Claimant's 10/2/09 correspondence filed with the Court was also sent to BrownGreer. BrownGreer processed correspondence as an appeal of the Post-Appeal Notice of Points Award. Special Master reviewed the claim and BrownGreer issued a Special Master Notice of Points Award. The Special Master Notice of Points Award is non-appealable and was deemed accepted. BrownGreer spoke with the Claimant on 11/17/09 to explain the Special Master Notice of Points Award. Claimant is not Interim Payment Enrolled so he is only eligible for a Final Payment. Additionally, pursuant to an Order entered on 10/26/09, Claimant's request/motion has been denied.
41.	10/29/09	10/23/09	MI	Represented Claimant's letter stated that final payments were issued on 10/8/09. The Claimant stated that it will be the last of December before he receives payment and does not understand the delay.	BrownGreer Communications Lead forwarded this correspondence to Primary Counsel. Primary Counsel was to respond to the Claimant. BrownGreer paid MI Final Payment to Primary Counsel on 10/8/09.
42.	11/3/09	10/17/09	MI	Pro Se Claimant stated that he submitted an FES and that he was supposed to receive the 'release stipulation dismissal' form. The Claimant believed that he fits the criteria for compensation. The Claimant is incarcerated and believed that he was at a disadvantage because he did not have Counsel and requested that the Court appoint Counsel to assist him with the case.	The Court declined Claimant's request because this is a civil case. The Court forwarded the Claimant's correspondence to the Pro Se Curator and Liaison Counsel. Merck rejected the Claimant's Future Evidence Stipulation.
43.	11/5/09	10/22/09	MI	Represented Claimant's letter was addressed to the Lien Resolution Administrator regarding lien withholdings. The Claimant thinks lien withholdings could have been related to his son as opposed to him, as they share the same name.	Claimant had a 15% PLRP withholding. BrownGreer refunded a portion of that withholding to Primary Counsel on 11/25/09.
44.	11/5/09	10/26/09	MI	Represented Claimant's letter was addressed to the Lien Resolution Administrator regarding lien withholdings. Claimant disagreed with PLRP withholdings. Claimant stated that he will be contacting Primary Counsel regarding these withholdings.	Claimant had a 15% PLRP withholding. BrownGreer refunded entire withholding to Primary Counsel on 11/25/09.

VIOXX DOCKETED CLAIMANT CORRESPONDENCE

12/2/09

Row	Date of Order	Date of Letter	Primary Injury	Summary of Letter	Actions Taken
45.	8/17/09	8/17/09	MI	Pro Se Claimant did not agree with Ineligibility determination made by BrownGreer and the Gate Committee. Claimant asked the Court to intervene and requested a trial.	Claimant did not submit timely appeal to Special Master or submit a Future Evidence Stipulation and the claim is closed. Claimant indicated on the response to the Gate Committee Notice of Ineligibility that he intended to submit a Future Evidence Stipulation, but failed to do so. Additionally, pursuant to an Order entered on 10/26/09, the Claimant's request/motion has been denied.
46.	8/20/09	8/20/09	MI	Pro Se Claimant submitted letter in response to the letter BrownGreer sent Claimant on 8/6/09 stating that he was not Eligible for the Settlement Program because he did not have a pending lawsuit or tolling agreement prior to 11/9/07.	BrownGreer received the Claimant's untimely Registration Affidavit on 2/6/09. Claimant is not Eligible to participate in the Settlement Program because he did not have pending lawsuit or tolling agreement prior to 11/9/07. BrownGreer sent final Closed - Ineligible letter on 8/6/09. Additionally, pursuant to an Order entered on 10/26/09, Claimant's request/motion has been denied.
47.	11/16/09	11/13/09	N/A	Attorney claimed that he referred 723 potential Vioxx settlement program Claimants to another firm representing Claimants in the Vioxx Settlement Program. Letter stated that, to date, Counsel has updated him on the status of only 3 out of the 723 Claimants. Attorney feels he is entitled to referral fees.	Issue is between Primary Counsel regarding Vioxx Claimant referral fees. No action required.
48.	11/16/09	10/31/09	Other	Represented Claimant forwarded Primary Counsel's motion and proposed order to withdraw to BrownGreer on 10/31/09. Claimant seeks assistance with the claim.	BrownGreer Communications Lead forwarded this correspondence to Primary Counsel. BrownGreer sent the Claimant and Primary Counsel a letter on 11/16/09 explaining that this claim had been closed because BrownGreer never received a Claims Form, medical records or records demonstrating proof of Vioxx use by the Claims Package Submission Deadline of 11/30/08. Order entered on 12/1/09 granted Primary Counsel's Motion to Withdraw.
49.	10/28/09	10/26/09	N/A	Individual asked the Court to reinstate the case. She felt that she had suffered as a result of her Vioxx use and wanted to be compensated.	Case was dismissed on 9/25/09 for failure to comply with PTO 31. BrownGreer sent letter on 11/23/09 explaining that all deadlines to Register/Enroll in Settlement Program had passed and that the case was dismissed for failure to comply with PTO 31.

VIOXX DOCKETED CLAIMANT CORRESPONDENCE

12/2/09

Row	Date of Order	Date of Letter	Primary Injury	Summary of Letter	Actions Taken
50.	11/16/09	10/29/09	MI	Represented Claimant was upset with the outcome of Claimant's case and the overall Settlement Program.	BrownGreer sent Claimant and Primary Counsel a letter on 11/3/09 explaining that this claim had been closed because BrownGreer never received a Claims Form, medical records or records demonstrating proof of Vioxx use by the Claims Package Submission Deadline of 11/30/08.
51.	11/20/09	11/11/09	MI	Represented Claimant felt that the assessment of pre-existing conditions is discriminatory and accused the Claims Administrator of fraud.	BrownGreer Communications Lead forwarded this correspondence to Primary Counsel. Primary Counsel was to respond to the Claimant. BrownGreer sent a letter to Claimant acknowledging receipt of letter and referred the Claimant to Primary Counsel.
52.	N/A	11/25/09	MI	Represented Claimant submitted complaint about expenses charged by Primary Counsel.	BrownGreer Communications Lead forwarded this correspondence to Primary Counsel. Primary Counsel was to respond to the Claimant. BrownGreer sent a letter to Claimant acknowledging receipt of letter and referred the Claimant to Primary Counsel.