UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

IN RE: CHINESE-MANUFACTURED DRYWALL PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2047 VS. Section "L"

New Orleans, Louisiana

May 17, 2019

TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE
HEARD BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELDON E. FALLON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

## APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFFS' LIAISON COUNSEL:

RUSS M. HERMAN LEONARD DAVIS HERMAN HERMAN KATZ 820 O'KEEFE AVENUE NEW ORLEANS, LA 70113

SANDRA L. DUGGAN LEVIN, FISHBEIN, SEDRAN & BERMAN 510 WALNUT STREET, SUITE 500 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106

FOR THE TAISHAN GYPSUM CO., LTD, AND TTP CO., LTD.:

CHRISTINA H. EIKHOFF BERNARD TAYLOR, SR. ALSTON & BYRD ONE ATLANTIC CENTER 1201 WEST PEACHTREE STREET ATLANTA, GA 30309

APPEARANCES CONTINUED

## FOR THE KNAUF LIAISON COUNSEL:

KERRY J. MILLER
DANIEL S. DYSART
FISHMAN HAYGOOD, LLP
201 ST. CHARLES AVENUE
SUITE 3600
NEW ORLEANS, LA 70170

FOR THE TAISHAN, BNMB ENTITIES AND CNMB ENTITIES LIAISON COUNSEL:

HARRY ROSENBERG
PHELPS DUNBAR
365 CANAL STREET
SUITE 2000

NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130

Official Court Reporter: Nichelle N. Drake, RPR, CRR

500 Poydras Street, B-275

New Orleans, Louisiana 70130

(504) 589-7775

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced via computer.

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (Call to order of the court.) 09:01:18AM THE DEPUTY CLERK: MDL No. 2047, In Re: 3 09:01:18AM Chinese-Manufactured Drywall Products Liability Litigation. 09:01:22AM 4 Liaison Counsel, make your appearance for THE COURT: 09:01:24AM 5 the Court first. 09:01:26AM MR. HERMAN: May it please the Court, good morning, 09:01:29AM 7 09:01:32AM 8 Judge Fallon. I'm Russ Herman. I'm here on behalf of the 9 PSC. 09:01:39AM MR. ROSENBERG: Good morning, Judge Fallon. Harry 10 09:01:41AM Rosenberg, liaison counsel for Taishan, BNMB and CNMB, Your 11 09:01:45AM Honor. 12 09:01:45AM THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 09:01:45AM 13 MR. MILLER: Judge, Kerry Miller, liaison counsel for 09:01:50AM 14 09:01:51AM 15 Knauf. 16 THE COURT: We're here today for our monthly status 09:01:53AM conference and also any motions. I met with the parties a 17 09:01:55AM moment ago to discuss the agenda. We'll take it in the order 18 09:01:59AM 19 presented. 09:02:03AM MR. HERMAN: If, Your Honor, please, this is Joint 20 09:02:09AM Report of Status Conference 111. It is 38 pages long. About 21 09:02:12AM 09:02:24AM 22 70 percent or 80 percent of it is history of what's happened 23 in the preceding 110 Status Report beginning generally in 09:02:30AM 24 2018 and 2019. 09:02:39AM As I made my way through it, I noted the May orders 09:02:44AM **25** 

1 09:02:52AM 2 09:02:59AM 3 09:03:04AM 4 09:03:11AM 5 09:03:11AM 6 09:03:17AM 09:03:20AM 7 09:03:21AM 8 9 09:03:26AM 10 09:03:29AM 11 09:03:35AM 12 09:03:39AM 13 09:03:41AM 09:03:42AM 14 09:03:46AM 15 16 09:03:51AM 17 09:03:55AM 18 09:03:58AM 19 09:04:01AM 09:04:01AM 20 09:04:05AM 21 09:04:08AM 22 23 09:04:14AM 24 09:04:17AM 25 09:04:21AM

issues, et cetera. I'd like to call on Sandy Duggan who will report to the Court on the various activities which are occurring and have occurred in Florida. And Bernard or Christy may -- may want to amplify.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. DUGGAN: Good morning, Your Honor, Sandra Duggan for the plaintiffs.

Your Honor remanded 175 Amorin cases to the Eastern District of Virginia before Judge Mark Davis on April 30th of this year. The parties appeared before Judge Davis to engage in oral argument on competing plans for resolution of those cases. That motion — those motions are now pending before the Court.

Your Honor also remanded approximately 30 actions in Brooke to the Eastern District of Virginia. Those have gone to Judge Smith. The plaintiffs yesterday filed a notice of related action before Judge Davis with the intention that the 30 cases get transferred to Judge Davis, but we don't have any word from the Court on that.

Approximately 1,700 cases were remanded to Florida,
Southern District of Florida, before Judge Cooke. Those
cases have been proceeding on an aggressive schedule. We
right now have appeared before the Special Master on
remediation damages. There have been two hearings before the
Special Master; one was on product ID and one was on various

1 09:04:26AM 2 09:04:27AM 3 09:04:31AM 4 09:04:35AM 5 09:04:37AM 09:04:42AM 09:04:44AM 7 09:04:47AM 8 9 09:04:51AM 10 09:04:54AM 11 09:04:56AM 12 09:04:59AM 13 09:05:04AM 14 09:05:07AM 09:05:07AM 15 16 09:05:10AM 17 09:05:16AM 18 09:05:20AM 19 09:05:24AM 20 09:05:29AM 21 09:05:31AM 09:05:35AM 22 23 09:05:38AM 24 09:05:38AM 25 09:05:41AM

contests and requests for setoffs by the defendants.

The Special Master has issued a Report and Recommendation on product ID. The plaintiffs have filed objections to that report, and they are pending right now before Judge Cooke. The Special Master issued a two-part Report and Recommendation on the contests, the first report and the second report. The second report was filed on May 10th, and the parties have until May 31st to file objections to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation on the various contests.

THE COURT: What's the special master's name there?

MS. DUGGAN: Tiffani Lee. She's with Holland &

Knight and she was appointed by the Court.

And a separate track from the remediation damages resolution, we have 20 priority plaintiffs in Florida that have been deposed. Experts were named by both sides and expert discovery has closed. And the parties are currently negotiating a joint pretrial stipulation that is due on May 31st. The parties have to provide short paragraphs, mutual paragraphs, on each plaintiff to be read at *voir dire*. The parties can exchange motions *in limine*, and there's various other requirements. And it has to be a joint submission. And we're in the process right now of negotiating that, and that will get filed at the end of this month.

1 09:05:41AM 2 09:05:45AM 3 09:05:49AM 09:05:51AM 4 09:05:53AM 5 09:05:55AM 6 09:05:58AM 7 09:06:04AM 8 9 09:06:06AM 10 09:06:08AM 11 09:06:12AM 12 09:06:16AM 13 09:06:21AM 09:06:24AM 14 09:06:27AM 15 16 09:06:30AM 17 09:06:34AM 18 09:06:37AM 19 09:06:40AM 09:06:42AM 20 21 09:06:45AM 09:06:49AM 22 23 09:06:54AM 24 09:06:57AM

25

09:07:02AM

On the Judge's calendar, set for July 22nd, is a two-week period for the trials of the 20 priority plaintiffs non-remediation damages.

THE COURT: Do you know whether they're going to go at one time or --

MS. DUGGAN: No filings have been made yet. The plaintiffs are contemplating various groupings, and we just have not reached the stage yet where we submit anything either to the defendants or to the Court, but we -- that is our intention to provide some sort of groupings of the plaintiffs based on similarity of their cases.

Now, the Court also remanded 846 Brooke actions to the Southern District of Florida. And those went to Judge Williams. The plaintiffs filed a notice of related action thinking they would get transferred to Judge Cooke, but last week on Thursday, the parties appeared in Miami for a hearing before Judge Cooke -- excuse me, before Judge Williams. And it is not entirely clear that she will be transferring them to Judge Cooke.

She had an argument that lasted approximately
45 minutes, asked a lot of questions about why we're here and
how can we resolve these cases. She ordered the parties to
submit a joint pretrial scheduling order by June 7th, and
we're in the process of talking to the defense about that.

THE COURT: All right. Christy, anything?

1 09:07:06AM 2 09:07:11AM 3 09:07:14AM 09:07:17AM 4 5 09:07:21AM 09:07:25AM 09:07:30AM 7 09:07:32AM 8 9 09:07:37AM 10 09:07:41AM 11 09:07:44AM 12 09:07:46AM 13 09:07:47AM 14 09:07:48AM 09:07:51AM 15 16 09:07:59AM 17 09:08:04AM 18 09:08:12AM 19 09:08:16AM 20 09:08:22AM 21 09:08:25AM 09:08:35AM 22 23 09:08:43AM 24 09:08:54AM 25 09:09:05AM

MS. EIKHOFF: Your Honor, I appreciate Ms. Duggan's status report. The only thing I would add from the defendants' prospective is with respect to the order of the 20 priority plaintiff trials that has not been resolved. In fact, we haven't even really had any discussions with the plaintiffs. So today was the first we're hearing about them contemplating groupings. It has been our position that they should be taken in order one-by-one but -- in sequence so that it can be done efficiently. But we will continue to discuss that, and if the Court needs to weigh in on that, Judge Cooke will.

Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Anything else?

MR. HERMAN: May it please the Court, we're now dealing with other issues. I think that the status report makes clear that there's some tax issues that really only involve two parties who are third parties who have been working in this case on behalf of everyone, and I'm not going to cover those.

Under section 4, page 9 and 10, an extension was granted in discovery. And I'll keep my remarks to *Amorin* cases at this point. Further at page 25, the R.S. Means data has been updated; and under the same rule or same agenda item, the Florida cases at page 32 and progress of them has

1 09:09:09AM 2 09:09:17AM 3 09:09:23AM 09:09:32AM 4 5 09:09:39AM 09:09:45AM 09:09:49AM 7 09:09:51AM 8 9 09:09:53AM 10 09:09:56AM 11 09:10:05AM 12 09:10:09AM 13 09:10:16AM 09:10:25AM 14 09:10:31AM 15 16 09:10:39AM 17 09:10:47AM 18 09:10:56AM 19 09:10:57AM 09:11:07AM 20 09:11:15AM 21 09:11:30AM 22 09:11:48AM 23 24 09:11:56AM 09:12:10AM **25** 

been reported. And at page 37, there are references to the Virginia cases and where we are.

On the agenda at 8 are the attorney fee issues in Knauf settlement among lawyers claiming fees. They filed a number of motions. All have been ruled on and the motions have been dismissed.

THE COURT: Do you know whether there's been any appeals from those rulings yet?

MR. HERMAN: No, sir. I did check this morning and found no appeals yet. I might add as a matter of professional courtesy -- I do not see any of the lawyers that have filed appeals in the courtroom, but perhaps there are some on the phone.

There's a matter set for hearing at page 31, but to get through this docket and get through this proposed agenda, what I did is, I went through this 38-page Status Conference Report, Status Conference 111, to look at various issues in May.

At page 10, on May 15th, a motion was filed to extend in Amorin the trial plan matters, and that's Document No. 22243. The next thing that I noted was at page 16, appellants filed a brief on jurisdiction orders on May 8th. Of note, at page 25 are two issues, on May 3rd, Document No. 22237. Your Honor issued on May 3rd an order regarding former owners. That's at 22237. And, also, again, I make

1 09:12:20AM 2 09:12:26AM 3 09:12:27AM 09:12:36AM 4 09:12:43AM 5 09:12:45AM 09:12:48AM 7 09:12:53AM 8 9 09:12:58AM 10 09:13:01AM 11 09:13:06AM 12 09:13:09AM 13 09:13:13AM 09:13:15AM 14 09:13:20AM 15 16 09:13:21AM 17 09:13:23AM 18 09:13:26AM 19 09:13:29AM 20 09:13:38AM 21 09:13:38AM 09:13:39AM 22 23 09:13:44AM 24 09:13:47AM 25 09:13:51AM

reference to updating the R.S. Means report at 22235 document number.

Either Kerry Miller or Dan Dysart may want to talk about the depositions that are scheduled for -- for today.

MR. DYSART: Good morning, Judge Fallon. Danny Dysart on behalf of Knauf defendants.

On page 25 to 28, this is section 6, the Bennett action. Following the Court's last hearing on our motion to strike and the Court's order, we have gone ahead and started to set the Louisiana depositions and inspections for the Louisiana claimants. The depositions are going to commence today. Inspections are going to follow in the coming weeks through June. And after that, we will continue on through the Florida plaintiffs, Alabama, Mississippi, and I believe Texas.

It is possible that discovery issues will arise during that time in terms of document productions and things that we discussed during the hearing when we were here last. We will raise those with the Court as necessary after meet and conferring with opposing counsel.

One other matter is that as we work through these I believe we have a discovery cutoff in July. Depending on how fast we get through the depositions and inspections we may come back to Your Honor in terms of extending that deadline to get everything wrapped up.

09:13:51AM	1	THE COURT: All right. Confer with counsel. If you
09:13:52AM	2	need me, I can even set a periodic, you know, meeting or
09:13:57AM	3	something to deal with it if it becomes problematic. We'll
09:14:02AM	4	just do it every Thursday or second Thursday, something like
09:14:05AM	5	that.
09:14:06AM	6	MR. DYSART: Thank you, Your Honor.
09:14:19AM	7	THE COURT: Is that it, Russ?
09:14:19AM	8	MR. HERMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
09:14:20AM	9	THE COURT: Anything further other than the motion?
09:14:24AM	10	MR. HERMAN: Excuse me, Your Honor?
09:14:25AM	11	THE COURT: Anything further other than the motion?
09:14:28AM	12	MR. HERMAN: No, sir. I just note the May issues and
09:14:30AM	13	the rulings on the attorney's fees that Your Honor made in
09:14:30AM	14	the last day or so denying all of the motions and that
09:14:42AM	15	basically concludes the status report unless other
09:14:48AM	16	counsel have
09:14:48AM	17	THE COURT: Anything else, Harry?
09:14:50AM	18	MR. ROSENBERG: No, Your Honor, other than I know the
09:14:53AM	19	Court's going to acknowledge the date for the next status
09:14:56AM	20	conference.
09:14:56AM	21	THE COURT: Yeah. The next status conference is
09:14:59AM	22	June 19th. And it's agreeable by counsel that we not set the
09:15:03AM	23	one in July until the June 19th meeting, and then we will
09:15:07AM	24	pick another date in July if necessary.
09:15:09AM	25	MR. ROSENBERG: That's correct, Your Honor.

## Case 2:09-md-02047-EEF-JCW Document 22254 Filed 05/21/19 Page 11 of 12

09:15:10AM	1	THE COURT: Thank you very much. Anything further?
09:15:10AM	2	MR. ROSENBERG: No, Your Honor.
09:15:12AM	3	THE COURT: Let's take a break and then I'll come
09:15:14AM	4	back and we'll deal with the motion.
	5	* * *
	6	(WHEREUPON, the proceedings were adjourned.)
	7	* * *
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
		OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Nichelle N. Drake, RPR, CRR, Official Court Reporter, United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript, to the best of my ability and understanding, from the record of the proceedings in the above-entitled and numbered matter. /s/ Nichelle N. Drake Official Court Reporter