09:01:42 1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA ***********************************		
3	IN RE: CHINESE-MANUFACTURED Docket No.	09-MD-2047	
4	DRYWALL PRODUCTS LIABILITY New Orleans	Louisiana arch 26, 2019	
5	<u>-</u> ,	11CH 20, 2019	
6	5 *********************	*****************	
7			
8	HEARD BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELDON E. FALLON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
9			
10	APPEARANCES:		
11		I, ESQ.	
12	820 O'Keefe Aven New Orleans, LA		
13	3		
14	FOR THE KNAUF DEFENDANTS: BAKER DONELSON BY: DANIEL J. D	YSART, ESO.	
15	201 St. Charles	Ave., Suite 3600	
16			
17	FOR THE TAISHAN DEFENDANTS: PHELPS DUNBAR BY: HARRY ROSEN	IBERG, ESQ.	
18	365 Canal St., S New Orleans, LA		
19			
20	_	et, Room HB-406	
21	New Orleans, Lou (504) 589-7776	usiana 70130	
22	2		
23	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer.		
24			
25			

1 PROCEEDINGS (TUESDAY, MARCH 26, 2019) 2 3 (STATUS CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS) 4 (OPEN COURT.) 5 09:01:43 THE COURT: Be seated. Call the case. 6 THE DEPUTY CLERK: MDL 2047, in re: Chinese Drywall 09:01:45 7 Manufactured Products Liability litigation. 09:01:49 8 09:01:50 9 THE COURT: Liaison counsel make their appearance for the 09:01:52 10 record, please. 09:01:54 11 MR. ROSENBURG: Good morning, Judge Fallon. Harry 09:01:57 12 Rosenberg, liaison counsel for Taishan, BNBM and CNBM. 09:02:04 13 MR. SANDERS: Good morning, Judge. Danny Dysart on 09:02:07 14 behalf of the Knauf defendants. 09:02:10 15 MR. HERMAN: May it please the court, good morning, Judge 09:02:13 16 Fallon. Russ Herman for the PLC and Arnold Levin. 09:02:21 17 THE COURT: Okay. 09:02:22 18 MR. HERMAN: If I might, just as a courtesy matter. 09:02:28 19 have three partners from Arnold's firm here, we have Parker from 09:02:35 20 the Barrios firm, and the Irpino firm, we have guests from the Colson firm of Miami, Patrick, who will be speaking later, we have 09:02:41 21 Jerry Meunier, we have Skip Lambert, and we have three partners 09:02:49 22 09:02:56 23 from our firm. 09:02:57 24 THE COURT: Okay. Welcome everybody. Okay. I met a moment ago with lead and liaison counsel to discuss the proposed 09:03:03 25

agenda. We'll take them in the order presented.

09:03:06 1

2

.3

5

6

09:03:09

09:03:20

09:03:32

09:03:40

09:03:49

09:03:54

09:03:56 8

09:03:59 9

09:04:00 10

09:04:03 11

09:04:07 12

09:04:11 13

09:04:14 14

09:04:18 15

09:04:21 16

09:04:25 17

09:04:31 18

09:04:34 19

09:04:37 20

09:04:39 21

09:04:43 22

09:04:49 23

09:04:54 24

09:05:01 25

MR. HERMAN: Yes, your Honor. Item IV, which can be found at page 5, relates to the ongoing issue to be resolved as between the Taishan trial plan for Louisiana and the Louisiana trial plan, and I am going to call on Sandy Duggan of Arnold's firm -- and Christy, are you going to respond? Trial plan.

MS. EIKHOFF: We're not arguing that today.

THE COURT: No, just informational purposes.

MS. DUGGAN: Just in terms of the briefing, your Honor. The plaintiffs have submitted their plan for resolution of the Louisiana Amorin cases. And under the court order, the defendants were going to respond to that on April 5th. We've extended it to April 12th, and the plaintiffs will reply within a week. That is set for hearing at the next status conference on April 23rd.

Now, when we did file our trial plan, we also filed a motion to extend the remediation damages formula that your Honor entered on April 21, 2017, to former owners, because that order only pertained to current owners. The defendants are going to respond to that motion as well, and we would like them both heard on April 23rd if possible.

THE COURT: For those in the audience and on the phone, it's time to move these cases into the trial mode, and so I've sent several thousand back to Florida and about the same amount to Virginia to be tried; and now it's time to try them in New Orleans, Louisiana cases, so we're in the process of teeing those Louisiana

cases up, and hopefully we can get to the trials as quickly as possible. Okay.

09:05:05

09:05:09

09:05:13

09:05:17

09:05:25

09:05:37

09:05:48

09:05:55 8

09:06:02 9

09:06:09 10

09:06:11 11

09:06:15 12

09:06:17 13

09:06:22 14

09:06:25 15

09:06:29 16

09:06:33 17

09:06:38 18

09:06:43 19

09:06:47 20

09:06:50 21

09:06:54 22

09:06:57 23

09:07:01 24

09:07:05 25

5

6

7

MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, at this juncture I want to indicate again that all of the Court's pretrial orders are on www.laed.uscourts.gov that has a link directly to "Drywall MDL."

The next issue, your Honor, is found at page 32, 33 relating to Virginia and Florida. And again, I am going to call on Sandy Duggan to introduce Pat Montoya, who will talk about the Florida matters, and Sandy will give a brief statement, or someone will.

MR. MONTOYA: Good morning, your Honor. Patrick Montoya on behalf of the Florida plaintiffs.

Just to give the Court an update on where we are. As you know, your Honor remanded the *Amorin* cases back to Florida under Judge Cooke. Judge Cooke has set an expedited trial setting for the priority claimants, there are 20 of them, out of the 1,700 that have gone back to Florida. We are engaged in extensive expert discovery at this point. There's a trial date of July 22nd.

Also, a key part of Judge Cooke's trial order is what I kind of refer to as a dual track: One is the priority claimants, which are non-remediation damages, and there's the remediation damages track. On the remediation damages track, Judge Cooke has appointed a Special Master who is overseeing the product ID attributions to Taishan. The general overall product ID attributions as to Taishan has been heard by the Special Master

og:07:09 1 already. Individual contests as to the 1,700 claimants set-offs,
og:07:14 2 product ID, etc., those are all set before the Special Master on
Og:07:18 3 April 10th, 11th and 12th.

By May 31st, according to Judge Cooke's order, the Special Master will issue her report and recommendations as to product identification for all 1,700 claimants, which will then set the stage for the July trials on the priority claimants.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thanks, Pat.

MS. DUGGAN: And one other thing that transpired in Florida, Judge Cooke did stay the non-Florida cases.

THE COURT: Yes. And also with Virginia, hopefully we'll do the same thing there. I've been in touch with Judge Cooke. She and I've worked closely together on these matters.

MS. DUGGAN: And then in Virginia, your Honor, the parties have fully briefed their plans, competing plans for resolution of 175 Virginia Amorin cases, and we are in the process, I understand that the court is scheduling hearings in that matter.

THE COURT: Great. Okay. Anything further, Russ, on anything? I know we have attorney's fee issue that's still --

MR. HERMAN: May it please the Court, those issues can be found at page 21 to page 27. None of those are set for hearing today. Some are in the process of briefing, and there are some appeals regarding fees in the Fifth Circuit.

THE COURT: Right. Okay. Anything else from anybody?

Harry, do you have anything?

09:07:14 2 09:07:18 09:07:22 09:07:25 5 09:07:28 6 7 09:07:32 09:07:37 8 09:07:39 9 09:07:41 10 09:07:45 11 09:07:50 12 09:07:54 13 09:07:57 14 09:07:58 15 09:08:02 16 09:08:04 17 09:08:08 18 09:08:13 19 09:08:20 20 09:08:25 21 09:08:33 22 09:08:43 23 09:08:47 24

09:08:52 25

```
MR. ROSENBERG: No, your Honor.
09:08:54
       1
09:08:55 2
                      THE COURT: We had a number of matters set for hearing,
          but those have been continued.
09:08:57 3
                     MR. ROSENBERG: Correct, Judge.
09:08:59
                      THE COURT: Okay. The next meeting is April 23rd and the
09:09:00
09:09:04 6
           following one is May 17th. Is that correct?
                     MR. ROSENBERG: That's correct, your Honor.
09:09:07 7
                     MR. HERMAN: That's correct, your Honor.
09:09:08
      8
09:09:09 9
                     MR. ROSENBURG: And, your Honor, the May 17th is, to
09:09:13 10
          clarify, is scheduled for the afternoon, as I appreciate -- I'm
09:09:16 11
          sorry, in the morning, it was -- I misspoke, I apologize.
09:09:20 12
                      THE COURT: That's fine. We had it scheduled originally
09:09:22 13
          for the 24th but we moved to the 17th.
09:09:25 14
                     MR. ROSENBERG: Now again for nine.
09:09:27 15
                      THE COURT: Right, right.
09:09:28 16
                     MR. ROSENBURG: Sorry about the confusion.
                      THE COURT: That's okay. Anything else? All right,
09:09:30 17
09:09:33 18
          folks. Thank you very much. The court will stand in recess.
09:09:36 19
                      THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.
09:09:37 20
                 (WHEREUPON, THE PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED.)
      21
      22
      23
      2.4
      25
```

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Karen A. Ibos, CCR, Official Court Reporter, United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript, to the best of my ability and understanding, from the record of the proceedings in the above-entitled and numbered matter. /s/ Karen A. Ibos Karen A. Ibos, CCR, RPR, CRR, RMR Official Court Reporter 2.4