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WEDNESDAY, JULY 18, 2018
M O R N I N G S E S S I O N (COURT CALLED TO ORDER)

THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.
THE COURT: Be seated, please. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

VOICES: Good morning, Your Honor. THE COURT: Call the case, please. THE DEPUTY CLERK: MDL 2047, In re:

Chinese-Manufactured Drywall Products Liability Litigation. THE COURT: Liaison counsel, make your appearance for the record, please.

MR. DYSART: Good morning, Judge Fallon. Danny Dysart on behalf of the Knauf defendants.

MR. ROSENBERG: Good morning, Judge Fallon.
Harry Rosenberg, as liaison counsel for Taishan, CNBM and BNBM. MR. HERMAN: May it please the Court. Good morning, Judge Fallon. Russ Herman for plaintiffs.

THE COURT: We're here today on our monthly status conference. I've had an opportunity to meet with lead and liaison counsel a moment ago and go over the material with them.

There has been some change in the effect of the motions of Taishan to dismiss. Those motions will be converted into a rule to show cause why the cases should not be dismissed, and that will be set for August the 14 th of 2018.

I call to the attention of the parties that this is a serious situation. If they cannot prove certain things or cannot fill out the forms that have been given to them, the Court will be dismissing the cases with prejudice. These forms are important in the litigation.

We don't do interrogatories in this type of litigation. Instead, the parties have what we call fact sheets. Each side provides the other with fact sheets. Those fact sheets need to be filled out because it determines the course of the litigation. I take them seriously.

So I'll give you an opportunity to fill them out. I'll listen to any excuses that you have and make some decision on it. But if they are not forthcoming, the Court will be dismissing the cases with prejudice.

Okay. Anything on the Pretrial Orders?
MR. HERMAN: Nothing, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Omnibus Class Action Complaints?
MR. HERMAN: Your Honor, given the Court's schedule, I'm going to move through this.

I also state for the record, for those listening in, that everything is listed on the status report. This is
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Status Report Number 101. I'll give both the page and the section of the status report.

Your Honor has already addressed Page 2, Section IV, the Motion to Dismiss relating to profile forms.

The Louisiana Trial Plan issue is now assigned for argument.

On August 15th, we have a Florida status conference scheduled, Your Honor. There was one status conference in Florida with Judge Cook, and the PSC attended.

The Allen fairness hearing scheduled after the status conference, I believe Mr. Breit is in the judge's court, and Richard Serpe will be presenting on behalf of plaintiffs. That's at Page 11, Section V.

There is the Bennett case at Page 12, Section VI, from Knauf's office. I don't know if Mr. Doyle is appearing in person or if he is by phone.

Page 13, Section VIII, the Parker matter has now been scheduled with a briefing schedule. If I didn't state, it's at Page 13, Section VIII.

Those are the matters, Your Honor. I don't see any other particular matters, unless liaison counsel or other counsel for the various what I'll call Taishan defendants or Kerry Miller's office has something to address.

THE COURT: Anything from the --
MR. DYSART: Yes, Your Honor. Again, Danny Dysart on
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behalf of Knauf defendants.
On Page 15, we had a motion that was reset today on our motion to extinguish certain Option 2 claims. I just want to provide the Court with a status on the record for that.

With respect to those, two of those claims, the Maria Salinas and Graham \& Russel, both will be extinguished without opposition.

As to the remaining claims, all of them will either switch to Option 3 or complete their Option 2 remediations.

From my understanding in talking with plaintiffs' counsel, it's expected they will complete those by August 1 or shortly thereafter, so we would like to reset those for a status update at the next status conference.

There are three claims that are potential issues that we're kind of stuck in holding with. One is the Randolph Vincent claim. Mr. Vincent was originally an Option 2 claimant that did not submit the milestones; instead, just remediated the property and closed up his walls.

It's my understanding from speaking with plaintiffs' counsel that he's seeking an environmental certificate; but, as the Court is well aware, once the walls are closed up, it's difficult for an inspector to go in and confirm all Chinese drywall and dust has been removed.

So, I've spoken with Ms. Emma Schwab about this
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issue. She'll discuss with her client some potential solutions, but I'm not sure what else we can do for Mr. Vincent at this point.

The other two are represented by Mike Ryan, who I'm not sure if he's on the phone. Those are Glickman and Rodriguez. It's my understanding that those two claims have contractor issues, and that, basically, the Milestone 4 paperwork can't be submitted because of issues between the contractor and the homeowner.

THE COURT: Those are motions that are supposed to be heard at 9:30. Is that it, Dean?

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: So those individuals are not on the phone; but, at 9:30, we'll have to try to get them on the phone.

The Option 1 -- the case was settled. We're talking about the Knauf aspect of the case. As you know, it boiled down to two areas of manufacturers. One is a German manufacturer, wholly-owned subsidiary of a Chinese company. Knauf and its wholly-owned subsidiary is one aspect.

The other is Taishan and what has been referred to as the alphabet, the Chinese individual corporate entities.

The Knauf case has been resolved. The settlement really is a bit complex, but basically there are three options.

One option a person can take is remediation. If they select the Option 1, they get their home remediated, they
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get an inspection done during the course of the remediation, and they have their attorney's fees and costs paid. So they get a hundred percent remediation costs, and they get all of their attorney's fees paid and the costs.

If they select Option 2, these are individuals who have already remediated their home. Those individuals in Option 2 are able to be reimbursed for their remediation, the cost. There are certain areas that the decisions made are the remediation costs.

The third option is for those individuals who are not sure they want to remediate, they are not sure whether they are going to do it themselves, or they are not sure exactly what they are going to do.

They are able to get an Option 3, which is essentially money, at some discount, and they can either decide to remediate or not remediate, whatever they want, but they get to keep the money.

There are certain requirements and certain procedures to go through; but, basically, that's what we're dealing with.

There are some people that don't know whether they want Option 1 or Option 2. Those individuals have to make that decision. It's time for them to make the decision.

On Option 2 or Option 3, it's time for them to make the decision.
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So we've had some situations where there have been delays; maybe good reason, but there have been delays. So that's the motions that the Court has set for 9:30 that we're trying to deal with at this point.

MR. DYSART: The only other matter, Your Honor, as referenced by Mr. Herman, was the Bennett status conference. Mr. Doyle is here. If the Court wants to handle it now or after, we can do that.

THE COURT: What's your recommendation? We do it in the conference room, here, or what?

MR. DYSART: I'm fine with doing it in the conference room, Judge. I think it will be pretty brief.

At the last status conference, we were asked to follow up on some plaintiff issues that I think have mostly been addressed. So we can address those in conference, and see if there's anything else we can do.

THE COURT: We've got some time, though.
Let's see. Mr. Doyle, do you want to come in? What's the report?

These are individual cases that have opted out of the settlement. They are not interested in settling. So we're at this point getting ready to try the cases.

The parties have gotten together. We discussed some trial plans for doing that. I asked them to come today to give me some updates.
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MR. DYSART: As you recall, Your Honor, at the last status, there were certain claimants from the Fifth Amended Complaint that needed to be removed, and certain would be added.

I've got the list from Mr. Doyle. We believe we have a full list now, except for one claimant, which is still unsure if he's going to add them to the Sixth Amended Complaint.

At this point, once the Sixth Amended Complaint is filed, the served defendants could then file -- we also discussed certain motions with respect to parent companies. Once the Sixth Amendment is filed, we would request 21 days from that point to file those motions and otherwise to answer for the served defendants. Then, from there, we would probably come back to the Court in terms of scheduling future discovery and trial deadlines.

THE COURT: What I would like you all to do is to kind of get together and maybe propose to me a scheduling that makes sense for these particular cases. You know them better than I. See if you can get me a discovery schedule that makes sense for you all and makes sense for the cases, and give it to me.

If you all agree, fine; if not, then I'll make my own, but I'd prefer to get some recommendations from you all. MR. DOYLE: Sure.

THE COURT: Jimmy, do you have anything? What about
that case that he talked about?
MR. DOYLE: Jimmy Doyle, on behalf of the Bennett plaintiffs.

What was your question, Your Honor?
MR. DYSART: The one case.
THE COURT: Yes, the one case.
MR. DOYLE: We're waiting on paperwork. Just as we discussed at the last status conference, telephonic status conference, I have a couple that was waiting on paperwork, waiting on confirmatory inspections. One of them got ruled out. The other one, I'm simply waiting on the inspection report.

THE COURT: When can you get that to me?
MR. DOYLE: I've asked them to get it to me this week, so we can get this cleaned up.

THE COURT: All right. Well, let's get that, and then get together on it.

MR. LEVIN: Your Honor, we've spoken to Mr. Doyle about this. We're not exactly wallflowers here.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. LEVIN: So we've designated Lenny Davis to be privy and to be at the various conferences.

Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Jimmy, you're going to have all of the material available to you that has been developed by the PSC,
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MR. DOYLE: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: -- know what's there and access it. That will be very helpful to you, hopefully.

MR. DOYLE: Yes, Your Honor. I already do have the trial package, but I'm not sure that it's comprehensive. If there is anything else I need, we've already discussed, I'll get in touch with Mr. Davis.

THE COURT: Good. Okay. That's fine. Thanks.
MR. DOYLE: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Anything else?
Harry, do you have anything?
MR. ROSENBERG: Your Honor, I was just going to add that, on behalf of the four separate independent entities, Taishan, CNBM, BNBM, PLC, and BNBM Group, we have nothing to add to the Court's agenda for this morning.

There are other matters for next month,
Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right.
MR. HERMAN: May it please the Court. I just want to indicate we did provide, some weeks ago, as learned counsel said, the Knauf trial package. We are able, willing to provide anything referenced in the trial package and any supplement.

THE COURT: All right. The next conference is on
August 14th, 2018, and the following one is September 13, 2018,
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at 8:30 for the liaison lead counsel, and 9:00 for the formal hearing.

We'll take a break at this time. At 9:30, we have the motions.

If we have any motions, they really don't involve Taishan in any way; but, you certainly can stay, if you would like.

Court will stand in recess until 9:30. (WHEREUPON, at 9:16 a.m., a recess was taken.) * * *
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| Dean [1] - 9:11 <br> decide [1] - 10:15 <br> decision [4]-6:16, 10:23, 10:25 <br> decisions [1] - 10:8 <br> defendants [5] - 5:17, | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{EAGAN}_{[2]}-3: 3,3: 4 \\ & \text { EAST }_{[1]}-2: 9 \\ & \text { Eastern }[1]-15: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FOR }[8]-1: 17,2: 7, \\ & 2: 12,2: 16,2: 21,4: 5, \\ & 4: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 1,13: 4,13: 18 \\ & 13: 23,14: 2,14: 5 \\ & 14: 10,14: 13,14: 18 \end{aligned}$ <br> HONORABLE ${ }_{[1]}$ - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Knauf }[6]-5: 17,8: 1, \\ & 9: 16,9: 19,9: 22, \\ & \text { 14:22 } \\ & \text { Knauf's }[1]-7: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Eastern [1] - 15:16 <br> EASTERN ${ }_{[1]}-1: 1$ | foregoing ${ }_{[1]}-15: 17$ <br> FORMAL [1] - 4:23 | hopefull | L |
| delays [2] - 11:2 <br> DEPUTY [3] - 5:7, <br> 5:12, 9:12 <br> designated $[1]$ - 13:21 <br> determines [1] - 6:13 | $\operatorname{ELDON}_{[1]}-1: 12$ <br> Emma [1] - 8:25 <br> EMMA [1] $-3: 8$ <br> ENERGY ${ }_{[1]}-2: 4$ <br> entities [2]-9:21, | 7:4 <br> forthcoming $[1]-6: 17$ <br> four ${ }_{[1]}$ - 14:14 <br> full $[1]$ - 12:6 <br> future [1]-12:15 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LA }[6]-1: 19,2: 5, \\ & 2: 14,2: 19,3: 6,3: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { important }[1]-6: 9 \\ & \mathbf{N N}_{[1]}-1: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { last }[3]-11: 13,12: 1, \\ & 13: 8 \\ & \text { LAW }[1]-2: 8 \end{aligned}$ |


| LEAD ${ }_{[1]}$ - 4:22 | milestones [1]-8:18 | ON [1] - 4:20 | cent ${ }_{[1]}$ - 10:3 | ady [1] - 11:22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lead [2]-5:23, 15:1 | Miller's [1] - 7:23 | once [3]-8:22, 12:9, | person [2] - 7:16, 9:24 | really [2]-9:23, 15:5 |
| learned [1]-14:21 | moment [1]-5:24 | 2:12 | PHELPS [1]-2:17 | Realtime [2]-15:13, |
| Lenny [1]-13:21 | money [2]-10:15, | one [11]-7:8, $8: 16$, | PHILADELPHIA ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 15:2 |
| LEONARD ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1:18 | 10:17 | 9:17, 9:19, 9:24, | 1:2 | REALTIME [1] - 3:10 |
| $\operatorname{LEVIN}_{[4]}-1: 21,1: 22$, | month [1] - 14:17 <br> monthly $[1]-5: 22$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:6, } 13: 5,13: 6, \\ & 13: 10,13: 11,14 \end{aligned}$ | phone [4]-7:16, 9:5, | reason [1] - 11:2 |
| Liability ${ }_{[1]}-5: 13$ | morning [6] - 5:9 | ONE ${ }_{[1]}-2: 23$ | plaintiff [1] - 11:14 | commendation [1] - |
| LIABILITY $_{[1]}-1: 5$ | 5:10, 5:16, 5:18 | opportunity ${ }_{[2]}-5: 23$, | plaintiffs [3]-5:21, | 11 |
| Liaison [1] - 5:14 | 5:20, 14:16 | 6:15 | 7:12, 13:3 | recommendations [1] |
| LIAISON[4]-1:17, | mostly [1] - 11:14 | opposition [1] - 8:7 | PLAINTIFFS' ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1:17 | -12:23 |
| liaison [4]-5:19, 5:24, | motion [2] - 8:2, 8:3 | $\text { OPTION }_{[1]}-4: 14$ | 8:21 | $8: 4,15: 18$ |
| 7:21, 15:1 | MOTION ${ }_{[1]}$ - 4:14 | option [2]-9:24, | Plan [1]-7:5 | RECORDED [1] - 3:14 |
| list [2] - 12:5, 12:6 | motions [8]-6:2, | 10:10 | PLAN.. | referenced [2]-11:6, |
| listed [1]-6:25 | 9:10, 11:3, 12:11, | Option [13]-8:3, 8:9, | ...... [1]-4: | 14:23 |
| listen [1] - 6:16 | 12:13, 15:4, 15:5 | 8:17, 9:15, 9:25, | plans [1]-11:24 | referred [1] - 9:20 |
| listening [1] - 6:24 | MOTIONS [1] - 4:3 | 0:5, 10:7, 10:14 | PLASTERBOARD ${ }_{[1]}$ | Registered [1] - 15:13 |
| LITIGATION ${ }_{[1]}-1: 5$ | move [1]-6:23 | 10:22, 10:24 | 2:22 | registered [1] - 15:23 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { litigation }[3]-6: 9 \text {, } \\ & 6: 11,6: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MR }[21]-5: 16,5: 18, \\ 5: 20,6: 20,6: 22, \end{gathered}$ | options [1] - 9:23 <br> ORDER ${ }_{[1]}-5: 4$ | PLC ${ }_{[1]}$ - 14:15 <br> point [5] - 9:3, 11:4, | reimbursed [1] - 10:7 <br> RELATES ${ }_{[1]}-1: 9$ |
| Litigation ${ }_{[1]}-5: 13$ | 7:25, 11:5, 11:11, | Orders [1]-6:19 | 11:22, 12:9, 12:13 | relating ${ }_{[1]}-7: 4$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOUISIANA }[3]-1: 1 \text {, } \\ & 1: 7,4: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:1, 12:24, 13:2, } \\ & \text { 13:5, 13:7, 13:14, } \end{aligned}$ | ORDERS. $\qquad$ [1] $-4: 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { potential }{ }_{[2]}-8: 15, \\ & \text { 9:1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { remaining }{ }_{[1]}-8: 8 \\ & \text { remediate }[3]-10: 11, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Louisiana [3] - 7:5, } \\ & \text { 15:15, 15:16 } \\ & \text { LTD }_{[2]}-2: 21,2: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13: 18,13: 21,14: 2 \\ & 14: 5,14: 10,14: 13 \\ & 14: 20 \end{aligned}$ | originally $[1]-8: 17$ ORLEANS $[7]-1: 7$, 1:19, 2:5, 2:14, 2:19, | POYDRAS [2]-2:5, 3:11 prefer ${ }_{[1]}$ - 12:23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:16 } \\ & \text { remediated }[3]-8: 18, \\ & 9: 25,10: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| M | N | otherwise [1] - 12:13 | 6:18 | 10:1, 10:3, 10:7, |
| $\operatorname{MAIN}_{[1]}$ - 2:9 Manufactured [1] 5:13 |  | owned [2] - 9:18, 9:19 | PRESENT ${ }_{[1]}$ - 3:7 presenting ${ }_{[1]}$ - 7:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:9 } \\ & \text { remediations [1] - } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { needed }[1]-12: 3 \\ & \text { NEW }_{[7]}-1: 7,1: 19 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | P | Pretrial [1]-6:19 pretty [1]-11:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { removed [2] - 8:24, } \\ & \text { 12:3 } \end{aligned}$ |
| MANUFACTURED ${ }_{[1]}$ | 2:5, 2:14, 2:19, 3:6, |  | privy [1]-13:21 <br> procedures [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { report }[4]-6: 25,7: 2 \text {, } \\ & 11: 19,13: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| manufacturer [1] - | $\text { next }[3]-8: 14,14: 17 \text {, }$ | PA [1] - 1:23 <br> package [3] - 14:6, | $10: 19$ | Report ${ }_{[1]}$ - 7:1 |
| manufacturers ${ }_{[1]}$ -$9: 17$ | $\text { NEXT }_{[1]}-4: 20$ | page [2] - 7:1, 7:17 | proceedings [1] - 15:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { REPORTER [3] - 3:10, } \\ & 3: 10,3: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | NO [1] - 1:6 | PAGE [1] - 4:2 <br> Page [5] - $7: 3,7: 13$, | PROCEEDINGS [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reporter }[7]-15: 13, \\ & 15: 14,15: 15,15: 22, \\ & 15: 23,15: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9:17 <br> Maria [1] - 8:6 <br> MARIA ${ }_{[1]}$ - 4:15 <br> material [2]-5:24, 13:25 <br> matter [3] - 7:17, 11:5, | NOT [1] - 4:4 <br> nothing [2] - 6:20 |  | $1: 12,3: 14,5: 1$ <br> PRODUCED ${ }_{[1]}-3: 15$ |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Page }[5]-7: 3,7: 13, \\ 7: 14,7: 19,8: 2 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | nothing [2]-6:20, $14: 15$ | paid [2] - 10:2, 10:4 paperwork [3] - 9:8, | Products [1] - 5:13 PRODUCTS [1] - 1:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { REPORTER'S }{ }_{[1]} \text { - } \\ & \text { 15:11 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Number ${ }_{[1]}-7: 1$ <br> numbered [1]-15:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { paperwork [3] - 9:8, } \\ & \text { 13:7, 13:9 } \\ & \text { parent }[1]-12: 11 \\ & \text { Parker }[1]-7: 17 \\ & \text { PARKER.................... } \end{aligned}$ | PRODUCTS [1] - 1:5 <br> profile [1] - 7:4 | 15:11 represented [1] - 9:4 |
|  |  |  | property [1]-8:19 | request [1]-12:12 |
| $7: 21,14: 17$ | 0 |  | propose [1]-12:18 | requirements [1] 10:18 |
| MCCOLLAM [1] - 3:3 |  | $4: 13$ | provide [3]-8:4, | $\text { reset }[2]-8: 2,8: 13$ |
| MDL $[1]-5: 12$ MECHANICAL |  |  | 14:21, 14:22 | resolved [1] - 9:22 |
| MECHANICAL ${ }_{[1]}$ - $3: 14$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{OF}_{[4]}-1: 1,1: 12,2: 8, \\ & 4: 6 \end{aligned}$ <br> office [2] - 7:15, 7:23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { particular [2] - 7:21, } \\ & \text { 12:19 } \end{aligned}$parties [3] - 6:5, 6:11, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { provides }[1]-6: 12 \\ & \text { PSC }_{[2]}-7: 9,13: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { respect }[2]-8: 5 \text {, } \\ & 12: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| meet [1] - 5:23 |  |  |  | RICHARD ${ }_{[2]}-2: 8,2: 9$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Merit [2]-15:14, } \\ & \text { 15:23 } \end{aligned}$ | OFFICES [1]-2:8 <br> Official [2]-15:15, |  | R | Richard [1] - 7:12 |
|  |  | $2$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rise }[1]-5: 7 \\ & \operatorname{RMR}_{[2]}-3: 10,15: 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| MEUNIER [2]-2:3, | 15:23 <br> OFFICIAL[1] - 3:10 <br> OMNIBUS [1]-4:8 <br> Omnibus[1]-6:21 | people [1]-10:21 | Randolph ${ }_{[1]}$ - 8:16 | Rodriguez [1] - 9:6 |
|  |  | Pepper [3]-15:13, | RANDOLPH ${ }_{[1]}-4: 17$ | RODRIGUEZ............. |
| Mike [1] - 9:4 <br> Milestone [1] -9:7 |  | PEPPER ${ }_{[1]}$ - 3:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{R E}_{[1]}-1: 4 \\ & \text { re }[1]-5: 12 \end{aligned}$ |  |



