1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA **********************************		
2			
3			
4	Docket No. 05-CV-4206 v. New Orleans, Louisiana		
5	v. New Orleans, Louisiana Tuesday, January 31, 2006		
6	MURPHY OIL USA, INC.		
7			
8	TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS HEARD BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELDON E. FALLON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
9			
LO			
L1	APPEARANCES:		
L2	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: LAW OFFICES OF SIDNEY J. TORRES BY: SIDNEY J. TORRES, ESQ.		
L3	ROBERTA L. BURNS, ESQ. 1290 7TH Street		
L4	Slidell, LA 70458		
L5	LAMBERT & NELSON		
L6	BY: HUGH P. LAMBERT, ESQ. LINDA J. NELSON, ESQ.		
L7	701 Magazine Street New Orleans, LA 70130		
L8			
L9	BRUNO & BRUNO BY: JOSEPH M. BRUNO, ESQ.		
20	855 Baronne Street New Orleans, LA 70113		
21			
22	LAW OFFICES OF RONNIE G. PENTON BY: RONNIE G. PENTON, ESQ.		
23	209 Hoppen Place Bogalusa, LA 70427		
24			
25			

1	GAINSBURGH, BENJAMIN, DAVID, MEUNIER & WARSHAUER
2	BY: GERALD E. MEUNIER, ESQ.
3	1100 Poydras Street, Suite 2800 New Orleans, LA 70163-2800
4	
5	LAW OFFICES OF DANIEL E. BECNEL BY: DANIEL E. BECNEL, ESQ. 425 W. Airline Highway, Suite B
6	LaPlace, LA 70068
7	MARKET L. C. RICKEODR
8	MARTZELL & BICKFORD BY: SCOTT R. BICKFORD, ESQ. CHRISTOPHER H. SHERWOOD, ESQ
9	338 Lafayette Street New Orleans, LA 70130
10	
11	SMITH STAG, L.L.C. BY: MICHAEL G. STAG, ESQ.
12	KENNETH M. WAGUESPACK, JR., ESQ.
13	401 E. Cypress Street Lafayette, LA 70501
14	
15	AMATO & CREELY BY: N. MADRO GANDARIES, ESQ. 901 Derbigny Street
16	Gretna, LA 70054
17	TERM R M. MIRRY TR. EGG
18	JERALD N. ANDRY, JR., ESQ. 710 Carondelet Street, 1st Floor New Orleans, LA 70130
19	
20	GREGORY P. DiLEO, ESQ. 300 Lafayette Street
21	New Orleans, LA 70130
22	
23	BRENT COON & ASSOCIATES BY: DONNI E. YOUNG, ESQ. 1515 Poydras Street, Suite 800
24	New Orleans, LA 70112
25	

1	BARRIOS, KINGSDORF & CASTEIX BY: DAWN M. BARRIOS, ESQ.
2	One Shell Square, Suite 3650 700 Poydras Street
3	New Orleans, LA 70139-3650
4	MURPHY, ROGERS, SLOSS & GAMBEL
5	BY: E. CARROLL ROGERS, ESQ. 200 W. Thomas Street
6	Hammond, LA 70401
7	LAW OFFICES OF DANIEL E. BECNEL
8 9	BY: DARRYL J. BECNEL, ESQ. 106 W. Seventh Street Reserve, LA 70084
	Reserve, LA 70001
10	LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT M. BECNEL
11	BY: ROBERT M. BECNEL, ESQ. 425 W. Airline Highway, Suite B
12	LaPlace, LA 70068
13	IRPINO LAW FIRM
14	BY: ANTHONY D. IRPINO, ESQ. 931 W. Cullom
15	Chicago, IL 60613
16	MICHARI HINGIR C ACCOTAMIC
17	MICHAEL HINGLE & ASSOCIATES BY: PAUL D. HESSE, ESQ.
18	220 Gause Boulevard, Suite 200 Slidell, LA 70459
19	GORDON R. CRAWFORD & ASSOCIATES
20	BY: GORDON R. CRAWFORD, ESQ. 324 E. Worthey Road
21	Gonzales, LA 70737
22	
23	JACOBS & SARRAT BY: DARLEEN M. JACOBS, ESQ.
24	823 St. Louis Street New Orleans, LA 70112
25	

1			
1	CLI	ILOT PARTRIDGE KOHNKE & EMENTS	
2	BY	: KERRY J. MILLER, ESQ. ALLEN J. KROUSE, ESQ. GEORGE A. FRILOT, ESQ.	
4	260	EDWARD F. KOHNKE, ESQ. PATRICK J. McSHANE, ESQ.	
5	110	00 Energy Centre 00 Poydras Street w Orleans, LA 70163	
6	1000	V Offcans, LA 70103	
7		SART & TABARY : DANIEL DYSART, ESQ.	
8	3 (Courthouse Square	
9		•	
10	FOR OCIL and AWAC: McC	CRANIE, SISTRUNK, ANZELMO,	
11		RDY, MAXWELL & McDANIEL: CATHERINE M. WILLIAMS, ESQ.	
12		45 N. Causeway Blvd., Suite 800 tairie, LA 70002	
13			
14			
15	<u> </u>	cen A. Ibos, CCR, RPR	
16	Nev	500 Poydras Street, Room HB-406 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130	
17 18	(50	04) 589-7776	
19			
20	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer.		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (STATUS CONFERENCE) (TUESDAY, JANUARY 31, 2006) 3 4 5 6 THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen, be seated, 7 please. Call the case. 8 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Civil action 05-4206, Patrick Joseph 9 Turner v. Murphy Oil USA, et al. 10 THE COURT: Counsel make your appearance for the record, 11 please. 12 MR. TORRES: Sidney Torres on behalf of plaintiffs. 13 MR. MILLER: Kerry Miller on behalf of defendant Murphy 14 Oil USA. 15 THE COURT: We're here today in connection with the status conference in this matter. I try to have a status conference at 16 17 least once a month, I meet in the interim with liaison counsel or 18 the members of the committees to have the case develop in some 19 logical fashion. 2.0 I have had an opportunity to reflect on the matter, I've 21 had an opportunity to write an order dealing with the class 22 certification. I certified the class, I issued the order in an 23 opinion yesterday with a map showing the court's area of 24 certification. 25 I met with liaison counsel for each side, and I'm

interested in severing the liability from the quantum in the case and trying the liability. I set orders the 14th for the trial on liability of this case, we will begin the trial at 8:30 and anticipate liability will take about five to ten days to try, more like five. And then we will proceed, if necessary, to the next phases of the case.

2.0

I also set a status conference with liaison counsel for February the 9th at two o'clock. At that time we'll focus a little more on the liability aspects of the trial, and also I will seek from them some suggestions as to cut off dates for discovery on those issues.

I have received from the parties their suggested agenda for this meeting. I dealt with the first one, the Motion For Class Certification and Proposed Trial Plan. The next item that was proposed by the plaintiff is an accounting of common benefit, and I will hear from the plaintiff at this time.

MR. TORRES: Yes, your Honor. We have prepared an order, which I would like to submit for your Honor's review. We also presented it to the defendants and I talked to Kerry Miller about it. I would like at this time to present it to the court for consideration.

THE COURT: Okay. I will take a look at the order and if necessary I will post it on the web site, get any input. I will also have an open meeting if anybody has any objection to it they may make their objection at that time, but I will look at the order.

The next item was the Defendant's Request For Modification of the Court's November 2005 Order regarding communications with putative class members. That matter is moot at this time, but I understand that there are some issues regarding clean up. My understanding is that Murphy is willing or available to do some clean up work if requested to do so or if the EPA moves in that area; and we may have to deal with those issues, either the court or counsel. I suggest that counsel first try to attempt to resolve those matters in an amicable manner, and if necessary the court will get involved in them.

2.0

From the defendant's standpoint, do you see any particular problems that you need to deal with now?

MR. MILLER: No, your Honor, not other than those that were mentioned in chambers. And I think that's it. There is a lot of regulatory oversight over the clean up process, so it's possible, probably likely that in the coming days we will have to work with the plaintiffs to develop a procedure protocol to go ahead and clean up private properties of putative class members pursuant to directives or instructions from the EPA and LDEQ.

THE COURT: In a matter of this sort I looked at the evidence and the material that was presented to me and I drew certain boundaries. But as I said in the order, that doesn't mean that everybody within that boundary has a case or a claim, they may not. They may not have gotten any oil on their land, they may not have sustained any injuries. So it's going to be incumbent upon the

plaintiffs to demonstrate those items. So you may have to engage immediately or begin immediately to do some testing.

2.0

I suggest that be coordinated with the defendant so that we don't have a situation where you say you tested it and they say you didn't test, and it's a question of whether or not you did test and who tested and when it was tested and how it was tested. It's better to coordinate and have a test done, either by one party or by two people at the same time so that we remove any 901 problems, evidentiary 901 problems, authenticity of a particular test. We have enough issues in this case. I want to try to not make anymore issues if necessary.

One last thing. Also I said that I drew the boundaries, that doesn't mean that people outside of those boundaries do not have a case, they may well have a case. But I looked at the evidence and drew the boundaries the way that I saw the evidence supported it at this time. Mr. Frilot.

MR. FRILOT: Your Honor, I think it would be helpful to everyone if we could have an order setting out some kind of notice sampling so that everybody could have an opportunity, because I think your Honor is absolutely correct, if we do it jointly there will be a lot less difficulty in terms of the trial.

THE COURT: Liaison counsel for both sides get together and prepare an order. If you can prepare an order that you can both live with, that's significant to me and in all likelihood I will sign it. If you can't, give me two orders and I will deal with it

in that fashion.

But I do think it's helpful, at least in the beginning, if not throughout this litigation, to involve the court in these matters and I will issue certain matters so that you don't have to be concerned about what you said and what the other person heard.

When I issue an order that will be it and it will give you some direction, I think that's the best way to handle it.

Any other item that we need to take up at this time? Since I just issued that opinion, I know that you haven't had an opportunity to study it and look at it, so some of the items that may be on your mind or you may be thinking about now you don't want to discuss them at the present time, so this meeting may well be short. But this is an issue, class certification, that is immediately appealable, so both sides take a look at that and see whether or not you need to appeal it. If you do, you have a period of time within which to do it, ten days.

And logistically, the court will be of assistance to you in that regard if you need excerpts from the record. We should have a transcript of our last conference. All of these conferences of course are taken down and the transcripts posted on the web site for your access. But if you need any documents to support your appeal or any exhibits to support your appeal, get with the court and I'll make sure we have them. Mr. Bruno.

MR. BRUNO: I just have a question. I am wondering if your Honor would consider in view of your comment that you are

talking about a bifurcation between liability and damage, could you consider an amendment to the trial plan that might include possibly common damages in Phase I along with liability for the entire class?

THE COURT: I'll talk with the parties about that at our status conference to see whether or not that's necessary. There is a question of whether during the Phase I aspect of the case for standing purposes, if not for other purposes, some demonstration be made as to damages. So we will be dealing with that.

My thought about putting liability or questions of liability first is that we may not get to damages. If liability is determined from the standpoint of the defendants, that's the end of the case. If it is determined from a standpoint of the plaintiffs, then we move into the liability of each individual person and then we focus on how to deal with that, whether we deal with it geographically from the standpoint of closest to furthest or whether we deal with it with groupings or types of damage, physical damage, business damage, personal injury damage and see whether or not within those subgroups there is some further categorization that can be made so that we don't need to try every case in that whole area.

And from the standpoint of damages, I would think particularly the property damage, if we get that far in the case, could be handled in a way where we could try several cases in the morning and several cases in the afternoon. The jury would simply be one, one or two witnesses would be able to take the stand and explain the presence of oil, the type of oil, where it was, what

they did and then somebody, an appraiser could get on the stand and say what the damages are.

And I don't see that as being a difficult issue or difficult case to try, if we get that far in the case. But there are several ways of doing it, I can also elicit the help of my colleagues around here and we can try ten at a time if that's necessary. So there are several ways of doing it, but I will be visiting with you as the time goes on.

MR. FRILOT: Your Honor, from the time standpoint, the order we received yesterday was dated the 30th. Did you sign it on that date?

THE COURT: Yes, I did. Anything further? Anything from either members of the committee, liaison counsel or anybody in the audience?

I have these matters in open court, I want everybody to feel free to attend and to ask any questions or make any observations if you have any.

Okay. I will set the next status conference. The next status conference, we will do it March 2nd and it will be at 8:30 I will meet with liaison counsel and at nine o'clock I will have an open meeting. Thank you very much. The court will stand in recess.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Everyone rise.

(WHEREUPON, THE PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED.)

2.0

25 * * * * * * *

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Karen A. Ibos, CCR, Official Court Reporter, United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript, to the best of my ability and understanding, from the record of the proceedings in the above-entitled and numbered matter. Karen A. Ibos, CCR, RPR Official Court Reporter