_			
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA		
3			
4			
5	In Re: VIOXX PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	<pre>* MDL Docket No. 1657 *</pre>	
6		<pre>* Section L *</pre>	
7	This Document Relates to All C	* April 27, 2012	
8	* * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* 9:00 a.m.	
9			
10	MONTHLY STATUS CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELDON E. FALLON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
11			
12	***************************************		
13	<u>APPEARANCES</u> :		
14		erman Herman Katz & Cotlar, LLP Y: LEONARD A. DAVIS, ESQ.	
15	8	20 O'Keefe Avenue ew Orleans, Louisiana 70113	
16			
17	B 7	illiams & Connolly Y: DOUGLAS R. MARVIN, ESQ. 25 Twelfth Street N.W.	
18		ashington, D.C. 20005	
19		awn Barrios, Esq. eather Reznik, Esq.	
20	Official Court Reporter: T	oni Doyle Tusa, CCR, FCRR	
21	·	500 Poydras Street, Room B-406 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130	
22		504) 589-7778	
23			
24	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography using computer-aided transcription software.		
25			

PROCEEDINGS

(April 27, 2012)

(The following proceedings were held in open court.)

THE COURT: Be seated, please. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. We are down now to the stalwarts. We used to have an overflowing courtroom, which brings me to we have to focus on the endgame on all of these cases. Hopefully, we can get them finished by the end of the year.

Let me hear counsel first.

MR. DAVIS: Good morning, Your Honor. Leonard Davis on behalf of plaintiff liaison counsel's office.

MR. MARVIN: Good morning, Your Honor. Douglas Marvin on behalf of Merck.

THE COURT: We are here for our status conference. We have had these conferences now since February 2005 on a monthly basis. We have now moved them to every two months. The parties have participated in the conferences and also on the phone. In its heydey, we had 200 to 300 on the phone and at least 100 or so in the audience. We still have people on the phone. I put this on my Web site so that the individuals know that it's available to them. Sometimes we have *pro se* litigants as well as attorneys.

I met with the liaison counsel and lead counsel a moment ago to discuss the agenda. I will take it in the order that is given. Any class actions?

report.

that?

MR. DAVIS: No, Your Honor. There's nothing new to

THE COURT: State/federal coordination, anything on

MS. BARRIOS: Your Honor, I can tell we are continuing to wind down because I say the same thing every time. With your permission, I won't make a report the next status conference unless there's something different.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. BARRIOS: There's been no CTOs filed since the last status conference. Just one new remand motion was filed. We continue to update databases and remove cases. There are 95 cases open with pending remands and that constitutes 148 plaintiffs. There are 5 cases that we believe should be closed because the actual plaintiff ingestor settled its case but the derivative claimant is still open. I spoke with Mr. Marvin today and he said he would take care of that.

THE COURT: Well, we started out with about 50,000 claims from every state in the union and every district court in the union, 94 districts we have. Close to 50,000 have been resolved. In addition, we are dealing with less than 100 now, many of whom are *pro se's*, and the various injuries that are being claimed. We started with about 26 attorneys general seeking relief for citizens of their states. We are down now to 5 attorneys general. We are at the final end of this case.

2 3

that?

someone.

4

5 6

7 8

9 10

12

11

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

THE COURT: In cases of this sort, as we all know, we

just assist pro se's the best we can.

Hopefully we will see the end of it this year.

have individuals who don't have lawyers and don't want to have lawyers, so they need to have somebody to at least call and talk to to find out information. I post everything on the Web site for them, but they like to pick up the phone and talk to

report. We receive occasional calls on a weekly basis, and we

The next item is *pro se* claimants. Anything on

MS. REZNIK: Good morning, Your Honor. Heather

Reznik for *pro se* curator Bob Johnston. Not much new to

I appointed a pro se liaison counsel who is available for their call. The pro se counsel explains all of the information, tries to answer all of their questions and keep them updated. That's what's been done. It's been very helpful, and I appreciate your work on that.

MS. REZNIK: Thank you.

THE COURT: Government actions and consumer claims?

MR. DAVIS: Yes, Your Honor. We have combined these two in this report. The consumer class claims, we put that topic in.

There have been a number of discussions since the last conference between Dawn, myself, what I'll call the

AGs, as well as Ben Barnett to further the issues. I know there's a conference that's scheduled after this where we can address those matters.

THE COURT: Third-party payors?

MR. DAVIS: On the third-party payors, the only remaining issue is the fee allocation. We have had continuing discussions on that and hopefully will present something to the Court very shortly on that.

THE COURT: Pending personal injury cases subject to PTOs 28, 29, and 43?

MR. MARVIN: Yes, Your Honor. I can address that. There are 70 cases that remain, as Your Honor noted, out of more than 50,000. Of those 70 cases, about 30 are subject to a motion that we filed sometime ago in cases that allege a venous thromboembolism, VTE cases.

Ms. Oldfather and I have spoken, and I believe that there is an agreement that on August 15 the expert reports, if any, that would be filed in connection with the VTEs on general causation to address our motion, that date would be set. So I think that, though, there is an agreement for the entry of that order.

As for the other cases and beyond the VTEs, I understand that Your Honor would like to set a conference with Ms. Oldfather and myself and the PSC to discuss the disposition of those cases and a further schedule.

THE COURT: Yes. I have scheduled that for this Monday at 3:30 to talk about a scheduling order. I would like to get a scheduling order in place that's consistent with resolving these matters by this year.

MR. MARVIN: Your Honor, one additional point there. In the *Escamilla* case, Your Honor entered an order there. In that case, we were not served with the papers filed by Escamilla. If we had been, we would have withdrawn the motion because it included an expert report that we believe would have satisfied your *Lone Pine* requirement. Plaintiff counsel there has graciously offered to vacate the judgment and to allow us time to submit the opposition; but in light of the fact we are satisfied with the expert report that they submitted, there's no need to do that.

THE COURT: Okay. I got an expert report. I don't make any judgment on the *Daubert* evaluation of it or significance of that particular expert, but it certainly passes the *Lone Pine* requirement, so I feel that that's been satisfied.

Other pending motions, anything?

MR. MARVIN: No, Your Honor. There are no motions set to be heard today.

THE COURT: How about appeals, anything on that?

MR. MARVIN: Nothing new, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We have a meeting following this meeting

with the AGs. 1 Anything other than that? 2 Dorothy, do you have anything today? 3 MS. WIMBERLY: No. Your Honor. 4 **THE COURT:** The next meeting is June 14. I have on 5 that date *Chinese Drywall*, which is another MDL case that I am 6 dealing with. In this case, I have one defendant. 7 Chinese Drywall, I have only 26,000 plaintiffs but 1,000 8 defendants. The interesting thing, there's 1,000 lawyers in this case; I have 1,400 lawyers in that one. It just keeps 9 10 moving up. I will work this in some kind of way. The meeting 11 on the 14th, we will just probably follow the Chinese Drywall 12 meeting. 13 Anything further in open court? We will get the AGs on the line soon and I'll talk to them. Thank you very 14 15 much. (Proceedings adjourned.) 16 * * * 17 18 **CERTIFICATE** 19 I, Toni Doyle Tusa, CCR, FCRR, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true 20 and correct transcript, to the best of my ability and understanding, from the record of the proceedings in the 21 above-entitled matter. 22

24 <u>s/ Toni Doyle Tusa</u>
Toni Doyle Tusa, CCR, FCRR
25 Official Court Reporter

23