UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

In Re: VIOXX :

: MDL Docket NO. 1657

PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION:
: SECTION L

This document relates to ALL ACTIONS: JUDGE FALLON

: MAG. JUDGE KNOWLES

_____**:**

Pretrial Order No. 51 DISBURSEMENT OF COSTS

The Court has before it the Motion for Award of Plaintiffs' Common Benefit Counsel Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses [Doc. 17642] and Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel's Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Reimbursement of Common Benefit Expenses [Doc. 21543].

Section 9.2.2 of the Settlement Agreement provides that "Common Benefit Attorneys shall [also] be entitled to reimbursement of their reasonable common benefit expenses" and that "Reimbursement of these expenses shall be deducted from the client's net recovery." The Court recognized that it was necessary to put in place some procedure for verifying cost submissions. Towards this end, at the outset of these proceedings, the Court appointed a CPA to collect and verify the expense submissions of Common Benefit Attorneys. Further, after the settlement agreement was perfected, the Court appointed a committee to meet, confer with counsel submitting cost reimbursement claims, and take evidence regarding the validity of these expense submissions. Together, the CPA and the committee attempted to reach a consensus regarding the appropriate amounts that Common Benefit Attorneys should be reimbursed for their expenses. Through this process, many of the disputes regarding reimbursement of expenses were successfully resolved.

Having considered that the PLC has submitted to this Court and the Claims Administrator the

above motions and supporting materials, including the audited common benefit expenses of Common Benefit Attorneys;

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT that pursuant to Section 9.2.2 of the Settlement Program, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement between Merck & Co., Inc. and the Plaintiffs' Negotiating Committee, dated November 9, 2007, reasonable common benefit expenses equal to one percent (1%) of the settlement amount, or \$48.5 million, shall be set aside as the Common Benefit Expense Fund.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT that the following reimbursements of common benefit expenses are hereby awarded and should be made now from the Common Benefit Expense Fund:

| | Law Firm | , | Total to be Reimbursed |
|----|-------------------------------------|----|---------------------------|
| | | ' | Cimbursea |
| 1 | Alex Alvarez | \$ | 2,763.89 |
| 2 | Alley Clark | \$ | 197,945.81 |
| 3 | Anapol Schwartz | \$ | 579,749.50 |
| 4 | Anastopoulo & Clore | \$ | 80,373.47 |
| 5 | Andrews Thornton | \$ | 388,500.11 |
| 6 | Ashcraft & Gerel | \$ | 549,437.40 |
| 7 | Audet & Partners | \$ | 243,687.26 |
| 8 | Aylstock Witkin & Sasser | \$ | - |
| 9 | Balkin & Eisbrouch | \$ | 2,000.00 |
| 10 | Barnow | \$ | - |
| 11 | Barrios, Kingsdorf & Castex, L.L.P. | \$ | 20,403.98 |
| 12 | Bartimus Frickelton | \$ | 486.95 |
| 13 | Beasley Allen | \$ | 3,935,230.05 |
| 14 | Bencomo | \$ | 14,055.27 |
| 15 | Blizzard McCarthy | \$ | 1,001,218.43 |
| 16 | Bossier & Associates | \$ | - |
| 17 | Branch | \$ | 406,059.86 |
| 18 | Brian K. Balser | \$ | 12,357.19 |
| 19 | Brown & Crouppen | \$ | - |

| 20 | Bruce C. Dean | \$ | - |
|----|-----------------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| 21 | Burg Simpson | \$ | 20,321.56 |
| 22 | Cafferty Faucher | \$ | 1,441.62 |
| 23 | Capshaw Goss | \$ | 2,306.50 |
| 24 | Carey & Danis | \$ | 98,725.28 |
| 25 | Charfoos | \$ | 4,561.00 |
| 26 | Childers Buck | \$ | - |
| 27 | Cohen Milstein | \$ | 5,699.56 |
| 28 | Cohen Placitella | \$ | 112,452.57 |
| 29 | Cuneo Gilbert | \$ | 210,843.97 |
| 30 | Daniel E. Becnel, Jr. | \$ | 143,518.37 |
| 31 | Davis Champ | \$ | <u>-</u> |
| 32 | Decision Quest | \$ | 1,947,440.14 |
| 33 | Dugan & Browne | \$ | 198.10 |
| 34 | Engstrom Lipscomb | | - |
| 35 | Eric H. Weinberg | \$ \$ | 144,768.90 |
| 36 | Escobedo Tippit | \$ | 444,938.71 |
| 37 | Fayard & Honeycutt | \$ | - |
| 38 | Fibich Hampton | \$ | 80,640.50 |
| 39 | Freese & Goss | \$ | 7,198.39 |
| 40 | Friedman | | - |
| 41 | Gainsburgh Benjamin | \$ \$ | 370,208.64 |
| 42 | Gancedo & Nieves | \$ | 146,983.76 |
| 43 | Gianni-Petoyan | \$ | 86,308.50 |
| 44 | Girardi & Keese | \$ | 1,260,020.01 |
| 45 | Hagens Berman | \$ | - |
| 46 | Heins Mills | \$ | 128.91 |
| 47 | Heninger Garrison | \$ | 269,578.55 |
| 48 | Herman Herman Katz & Cotlar | \$ | 539,493.75 |
| 49 | Hovde Dassow | \$ | 217,569.13 |
| 50 | Irpino | \$ | 16,937.78 |
| 51 | Jacobs Burns | \$ | - |
| 52 | John Hornbeck | \$ \$ | - |
| 53 | Johnson & Perkinson | \$ | 8,774.86 |
| 54 | Jones Verras | \$ | 1,639.13 |
| 55 | Kasowitz Benson | \$ \$ | 47,471.72 |
| 56 | Keller Rohrback | \$ | 1,933.63 |
| 57 | Kerpsack | \$ | - |
| 58 | Kline & Specter | \$ | 544,318.33 |
| 59 | Labaton Sucharow | \$ | 1,979.33 |
| 60 | Langston | \$ \$ \$ \$ | - |
| 61 | Lanier | | 8,521,265.35 |
| 62 | Levin Papantonio | \$ | 732,471.47 |
| | | | |

| 00 | | • | 0.055.40 |
|-----|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| | Levin Simes | \$ | 3,855.42 |
| | Levin, Fishbein, Sedran & Berman | \$ | 791,435.89 |
| | Lewis & Roberts | \$ | 144,458.90 |
| | Lieff Cabraser | \$ | 1,363,233.96 |
| 67 | Lockridge, Grindal, Nauen, PLLP | \$ | 52,452.97 |
| | Locks | \$ | 355,301.06 |
| | Lopez, Hodes, Restaino, Milman | \$ | 60,571.03 |
| | Lundy & Davis | \$ | 19,387.68 |
| 71 | Martin & Jones | \$ | 114,842.86 |
| 72 | Matthews & Associates | \$ | 962,802.74 |
| 73 | Mithoff | \$ | 67,703.21 |
| 74 | Morelli Ratner | \$ | 79,398.97 |
| 75 | Motley Rice | \$ | 311,447.25 |
| 76 | Murray Law Firm | \$ | 27,032.58 |
| 77 | Neblett, Beard & Arsenault | \$ | 430,257.15 |
| 78 | New Jersey Litigation Fund | \$ | 393,785.50 |
| 79 | Panish Shea | \$ | 460,662.64 |
| 80 | Price Waicukauski | \$ | 3,811.97 |
| 81 | Provost Umphrey | \$ | · - |
| | Ranier Gayle | \$ | 542,768.05 |
| | Rebecca A. Cunard | \$ | 17,005.57 |
| 84 | Richardson Patrick | \$ | 6,844.33 |
| | Robert J. DeBry | \$ | 958.07 |
| | Robert M. Becnel | \$ | 1,275.58 |
| | Robins Kaplan | \$ | 48,522.82 |
| 88 | Robinson, Calcagnie & Robinson | \$ | 3,503,013.77 |
| 89 | | \$ | 5,635.95 |
| | Sanders Viener | \$ | - |
| 91 | Sanford Pinedo | \$ | 436,371.36 |
| | Seeger Weiss | \$ | 3,619,310.80 |
| 93 | Sheller Ludwig | \$ | 11,691.00 |
| | Silverman Fodera | \$ | 11,323.27 |
| 95 | Singleton | \$ \$ | 10,531.75 |
| 96 | Snapka | | 107,264.03 |
| 97 | Ted Kanner | Ψ \$ | 101,204.00 |
| 98 | Terrell Hogan | \$ \$ \$ | 14,186.93 |
| 99 | The Brandi Law Firm | \$ | 340,355.44 |
| | The Gallagher Law Firm | | 340,333.44 |
| | The Holman Law Firm | Φ | - |
| | | Φ | - |
| | The Kaiser Firm | \$ \$ \$ | - |
| | The Whitehead Law Firm | Þ | 11,318.83 |
| | Ury & Moskow | \$ | 400 400 00 |
| 105 | Watts Law Firm | \$ | 466,196.90 |
| | | | |

| 106 Weitz & Luxenberg | \$ 907,403.51 |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| 107 White Meany & Wetherall | \$ 41,682.61 |
| 108 Williams Kherkner | \$ 870,345.22 |
| 109 Williamson & Williams | \$ 651.33 |
| 110 Wold | \$ 41.25 |
| 111 Zimmerman Reed | \$ 6,200.82 |
| 112 Zink, Diane K. | \$ |
| All Firms | \$ 40,049,748.16 |

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT that any firm with expenses under review or in dispute as set forth in Exhibit B to the Supplemental Affidavit of Philip Garrett, CPA, shall continue discussions with Philip Garrett and the members of the Court Appointed Fee Allocation Committee who have been appointed to address common benefit expenses, and that upon resolution of such questioned items, shall present the matters to the Court and the Claims Administrator. Following the submission, the Court will either approve of payment of an agreed upon amount from the Common Benefit Expense Fund or establish a procedure for resolution of any disputed amount claimed to be owed as reimbursement.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT that Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel shall be provided a distribution of Five Hundred Thousand (\$500,000.00) Dollars, to be utilized as payment for additional common benefit shared expenses in this matter, which expenses shall be documented as ordered in Pre-Trial Order No. 6 or reimbursed to the Common Benefit Expense Fund.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT that Philip Garrett, CPA, shall continue to provide monthly reports to the Court of all time and expense in this matter pursuant to PTO 6.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT that prior to any firm (not including Decision Quest) receiving reimbursement expenses, they shall provide to Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel an Affidavit, in the form attached hereto, attesting that none of the funds they are seeking to be

reimbursed or receiving reimbursement have been paid for or will be paid for by any clients or other third party.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT that if at the conclusion of the Settlement Program and after reimbursement of all common benefit expenses to attorneys seeking reimbursement of expenses pursuant to Pre-Trial Order No. 6 there are any funds remaining in the Common Benefit Expense Fund, then such remaining funds shall be reimbursed to the Vioxx claimants.

New Orleans, Louisiana, this 23rd day of September, 2009.

Eldon E. Fallon

United States District Court Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

| In Re: VIO | XX : | |
|------------|---|--|
| PRODUCT | : S LIABILITY LITIGATION : | MDL Docket NO. 1657 |
| | ent relates to ALL ACTIONS : | SECTION L JUDGE FALLON MAG. JUDGE KNOWLES |
| | <u>A F F I</u> | I D A V I T |
| STATE OF | | |
| PARISH/CC | OUNTY OF | <u> </u> |
| PERS | ORE ME, the undersigned authorite SONALLY CAME AND APPEALing first duly sworn, did depose ar | RED: |
| 1. | I am a partner in the law firm of | f; |
| 2. | performing functions in accord | nent for costs and expenses as plaintiff's counsel ance with Pre-Trial Order No. 6. These expenses efit pursuant to Pre-Trial Order No. 6; |
| 3. | firm as set forth in the Order is further acknowledge that at the firm that are either under revi | reimbursement of the total to be reimbursed for my ssued by the Court dated, 2009, and present time there are no outstanding costs by my lew or in dispute following review by the Court and the Court appointed Fee Allocation Committee; |
| 4. | | he costs and expenses being reimbursed to my firm or reimbursed by any client or other third party. |

| | Print Name: | |
|--|-------------|--|
| SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME THIS DAY OF, 2009. | | |
| NOTARY PUBLIC | | |
| Print Name: | | |
| Bar Roll/Notary Number: | | |
| My Commission Expires:(APPLY SEAL) | | |
| | | |

VIOXX MDL 1657 Total Costs AS OF September 16,2009 Total including Costs under

| | | | | Costs under review or in | To | otal including Costs under | |
|----------|--------------------------|-----|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Law Firm | | Tot | al per Exhibit "A" | dispute | review or in dispute | | |
| 1 | Alex Alvarez | \$ | 2,763.89 | - | \$ | 2,763.89 | |
| 2 | Alley Clark | \$ | 197,945.81 | | \$ | 197,945.81 | |
| 3 | Anapol Schwartz | \$ | 579,749.50 | - | \$ | 579,749.50 | |
| 4 | Anastopoulo & Clore | \$ | 80,373.47 | | \$ | 80,373.47 | |
| 5 | Andrews Thornton | \$ | 388,500.11 | - | \$ | 388,500.11 | |
| 6 | Ashcraft & Gerel | \$ | 549,437.40 | - | \$ | 549,437.40 | |
| 7 | Audet & Partners | \$ | 243,687.26 | - | \$ | 243,687.26 | |
| 8 | Aylstock Witkin & Sasser | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| 9 | Balkin & Eisbrouch | \$ | 2,000.00 | | \$ | 2,000.00 | |
| 10 | Barnow | \$ | - | - | \$ | - | |
| | Barrios, Kingsdorf & | | | | | | |
| 11 | Castex, L.L.P. | \$ | 20,403.98 | - | \$ | 20,403.98 | |
| 12 | Bartimus Frikleton | \$ | 486.95 | | \$ | 486.95 | |
| 13 | Beasley Allen | \$ | 3,935,230.05 | - | \$ | 3,935,230.05 | |
| 14 | Bencomo | \$ | 14,055.27 | - | \$ | 14,055.27 | |
| 15 | Blizzard McCarthy | \$ | 1,001,218.43 | - | \$ | 1,001,218.43 | |
| 16 | Bossier & Associates | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| 17 | Branch | \$ | 406,059.86 | - | \$ | 406,059.86 | |
| 18 | Brian K. Balser | \$ | 12,357.19 | | \$ | 12,357.19 | |
| 19 | Brown & Crouppen | \$ | - | | \$ | - | |
| 20 | Bruce C. Dean | \$ | - | - | \$ | - | |
| | Burg, Simpson, | | | | | | |
| | Eldredge, Hersh & | | | | | | |
| 21 | Jardine, P.C. | \$ | 20,321.56 | - | \$ | 20,321.56 | |
| 22 | Cafferty Faucher | \$ | 1,441.62 | - | \$ | 1,441.62 | |
| 23 | Capshaw Goss | \$ | 2,306.50 | 1,000.00 | \$ | 3,306.50 | |

VIOXX MDL 1657 Total Costs AS OF September 16,2009 Costs under review or in Total including Costs

| | | | | Costs under review or in | To | tal including Costs under |
|----|-----------------------|----|----------------------|--------------------------|----|---------------------------|
| | Law Firm | T | otal per Exhibit "A" | dispute | | review or in dispute |
| 24 | Carey & Danis | \$ | 98,725.28 | - | \$ | 98,725.28 |
| 25 | Charfoos | \$ | 4,561.00 | | \$ | 4,561.00 |
| 26 | Childers Buck | \$ | - | | \$ | - |
| 27 | Cohen Milstein | \$ | 5,699.56 | - | \$ | 5,699.56 |
| 28 | Cohen Placitella | \$ | 112,452.57 | - | \$ | 112,452.57 |
| 29 | Cuneo Gilbert | \$ | 210,843.97 | - | \$ | 210,843.97 |
| 30 | Daniel E. Becnel, Jr. | \$ | 143,518.37 | 6,343.71 | \$ | 149,862.08 |
| 31 | Davis Champ | \$ | - | | \$ | - |
| 32 | Decision Quest | \$ | 1,947,440.14 | | \$ | 1,947,440.14 |
| 33 | Dugan & Browne | \$ | 198.10 | - | \$ | 198.10 |
| 34 | Engstrom Lipscomb | \$ | - | | \$ | - |
| 35 | Eric H. Weinberg | \$ | 144,768.90 | 66,131.58 | \$ | 210,900.48 |
| 36 | Escobedo Tippit | \$ | 444,938.71 | - | \$ | 444,938.71 |
| 37 | Fayard & Honeycutt | \$ | - | - | \$ | - |
| 38 | Fibich Hampton | \$ | 80,640.50 | | \$ | 80,640.50 |
| 39 | Freese & Goss | \$ | 7,198.39 | 3,040.98 | \$ | 10,239.37 |
| 40 | Friedman | \$ | - | | \$ | - |
| 41 | Gainsburgh Benjamin | \$ | 370,208.64 | | \$ | 370,208.64 |
| 42 | Gancedo & Nieves | \$ | 146,983.76 | - | \$ | 146,983.76 |
| 43 | Gianni-Petoyan | \$ | 86,308.50 | | \$ | 86,308.50 |
| 44 | Girardi & Keese | \$ | 1,260,020.01 | - | \$ | 1,260,020.01 |
| 45 | Hagens Berman | \$ | - | | \$ | - |
| 46 | Heins Mills | \$ | 128.91 | | \$ | 128.91 |
| 47 | Heninger Garrison | \$ | 269,578.55 | - | \$ | 269,578.55 |
| | Herman Herman Katz & | | | | | |
| 48 | Cotlar | \$ | 539,493.75 | - | \$ | 539,493.75 |
| 49 | Hovde Dassow | \$ | 217,569.13 | - | \$ | 217,569.13 |

VIOXX MDL 1657 Total Costs AS OF September 16,2009 Costs under review or in Total including Costs under

| | | | | Costs under review or in | Tot | al including Costs under |
|----|-------------------------|----|----------------------|--------------------------|-----|--------------------------|
| | Law Firm | To | otal per Exhibit "A" | dispute | | review or in dispute |
| 50 | Irpino | \$ | 16,937.78 | - | \$ | 16,937.78 |
| 51 | Jacobs Burns | \$ | - | | \$ | - |
| 52 | John Hornbeck | \$ | - | | \$ | - |
| 53 | Johnson & Perkinson | \$ | 8,774.86 | - | \$ | 8,774.86 |
| 54 | Jones Verras | \$ | 1,639.13 | | \$ | 1,639.13 |
| 55 | Kasowitz Benson | \$ | 47,471.72 | | \$ | 47,471.72 |
| 56 | Keller Rohrback | \$ | 1,933.63 | | \$ | 1,933.63 |
| 57 | Kerpsack | \$ | - | | \$ | - |
| 58 | Kline & Specter | \$ | 544,318.33 | - | \$ | 544,318.33 |
| 59 | Labaton Sucharow | \$ | 1,979.33 | 1,435.66 | \$ | 3,414.99 |
| 60 | Langston | \$ | - | 13,652.84 | \$ | 13,652.84 |
| 61 | Lanier | \$ | 8,521,265.35 | - | \$ | 8,521,265.35 |
| 62 | Levin Papantonio | \$ | 732,471.47 | - | \$ | 732,471.47 |
| 63 | Levin Simes | \$ | 3,855.42 | | \$ | 3,855.42 |
| | Levin, Fishbein, Sedran | | | | | |
| 64 | & Berman | \$ | 791,435.89 | - | \$ | 791,435.89 |
| 65 | Lewis & Roberts | \$ | 144,458.90 | - | \$ | 144,458.90 |
| 66 | Lieff Cabraser | \$ | 1,363,233.96 | - | \$ | 1,363,233.96 |
| | Lockridge, Grindal, | | | | | |
| 67 | Nauen, PLLP | \$ | 52,452.97 | - | \$ | 52,452.97 |
| 68 | Locks | \$ | 355,301.06 | - | \$ | 355,301.06 |
| | Lopez, Hodes, Restaino, | | | | | |
| 69 | Milman | \$ | 60,571.03 | - | \$ | 60,571.03 |
| 70 | Lundy & Davis | \$ | 19,387.68 | | \$ | 19,387.68 |
| 71 | Martin & Jones | \$ | 114,842.86 | - | \$ | 114,842.86 |
| 72 | Matthews & Associates | \$ | 962,802.74 | - | \$ | 962,802.74 |

VIOXX MDL 1657 Total Costs AS OF September 16,2009

| | | | | Costs under review or in | То | tal including Costs under |
|----|-----------------------|------|--------------------|--------------------------|----|---------------------------|
| | Law Firm | Tota | al per Exhibit "A" | dispute | | review or in dispute |
| 73 | Mithoff | \$ | 67,703.21 | - | \$ | 67,703.21 |
| 74 | Morelli Ratner | \$ | 79,398.97 | - | \$ | 79,398.97 |
| 75 | Motley Rice | \$ | 311,447.25 | - | \$ | 311,447.25 |
| 76 | Murray Law Firm | \$ | 27,032.58 | | \$ | 27,032.58 |
| | Neblett, Beard & | | | | | |
| 77 | Arsenault | \$ | 430,257.15 | | \$ | 430,257.15 |
| | New Jersey Litigation | | | | | |
| 78 | Fund | \$ | 393,785.50 | | \$ | 500,000.00 |
| 79 | Panish Shea | \$ | 460,662.64 | - | \$ | 460,662.64 |
| 80 | Price Waicukauski | \$ | 3,811.97 | - | \$ | 3,811.97 |
| 81 | Provost Umphrey | \$ | - | | \$ | - |
| 82 | Ranier Gayle | \$ | 542,768.05 | | \$ | 542,768.05 |
| 83 | Rebecca A. Cunard | \$ | 17,005.57 | | \$ | 17,005.57 |
| 84 | Richardson Patrick | \$ | 6,844.33 | | \$ | 6,844.33 |
| 85 | Robert J. DeBry | \$ | 958.07 | | \$ | 958.07 |
| 86 | Robert M. Becnel | \$ | 1,275.58 | | \$ | 1,275.58 |
| 87 | Robins Kaplan | \$ | 48,522.82 | - | \$ | 48,522.82 |
| | Robinson, Calcagnie & | | | | | |
| 88 | Robinson | \$ | 3,503,013.77 | - | \$ | 3,503,013.77 |
| 89 | RodaNast | \$ | 5,635.95 | - | \$ | 5,635.95 |
| 90 | Sanders Viener | \$ | - | | \$ | - |
| 91 | Sanford Pinedo | \$ | 436,371.36 | - | \$ | 436,371.36 |
| 92 | Seeger Weiss | \$ | 3,619,310.80 | - | \$ | 3,619,310.80 |
| 93 | Sheller Ludwig | \$ | 11,691.00 | - | \$ | 11,691.00 |
| 94 | Silverman Fodera | \$ | 11,323.27 | - | \$ | 11,323.27 |
| 95 | Singleton | \$ | 10,531.75 | - | \$ | 10,531.75 |
| 96 | Snapka | \$ | 107,264.03 | | \$ | 107,264.03 |

VIOXX MDL 1657 Total Costs AS OF September 16,2009

| | | | | Costs | under review or in | To | tal including Costs under |
|-----|---------------------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|--------------------|----|---------------------------|
| | Law Firm | То | tal per Exhibit "A" | | dispute | | review or in dispute |
| 97 | Ted Kanner | \$ | - | | | \$ | - |
| 98 | Terrell Hogan | \$ | 14,186.93 | | | \$ | 14,186.93 |
| 99 | The Brandi Law Firm | \$ | 340,355.44 | | - | \$ | 340,355.44 |
| 100 | The Gallagher Law Firm | \$ | - | | | \$ | - |
| 101 | The Holman Law Firm | \$ | - | | | \$ | - |
| 102 | The Kaiser Firm | \$ | - | | | \$ | - |
| 103 | The Whitehead Law Firm | \$ | 11,318.83 | | | \$ | 11,318.83 |
| 104 | Ury & Moskow | \$ | - | | | \$ | - |
| 105 | Watts Law Firm | \$ | 466,196.90 | | | \$ | 466,196.90 |
| 106 | Weitz & Luxenberg White Meany & | \$ | 907,403.51 | | - | \$ | 907,403.51 |
| 107 | Wetherall | \$ | 41,682.61 | | | \$ | 41,682.61 |
| 108 | Williams Kherkher | \$ | 870,345.22 | | | \$ | 870,345.22 |
| 109 | Williamson & Williams | \$ | 651.33 | | - | \$ | 651.33 |
| 110 | Wold | \$ | 41.25 | | | \$ | 41.25 |
| 111 | Zimmerman Reed | \$ | 6,200.82 | | - | \$ | 6,200.82 |
| 112 | Zink, Diane K. | \$ | - | | | \$ | - |
| | All Firms | \$ | 40,049,748.16 | \$ | 91,604.77 | \$ | 40,247,567.43 |
| | Future Continuing Costs | | | | | \$ | 2,000,000.00 |
| | Total Accepted Costs and | Estin | nated Future Costs | | | \$ | 42,247,567.43 |