MINUTE ENTRY FALLON, J. JUNE 29, 2016

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

IN RE: CHINESE-MANUFACTURED DRYWALL PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL NO. 2047

SECTION: L

JUDGE FALLON MAG. WILKINSON

### THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL CASES

The monthly status conference was held on this date in the Courtroom of District Judge Eldon E. Fallon. Prior to the conference, the Court met with liaison counsel and the chairs of the steering committees. Liaison Counsel reported to the Court on the topics set out in Joint Report No. 80. (Rec. Doc. 20332). The conference was transcribed by Ms. Karen Ibos, Official Court Reporter. Counsel may contact Ms. Ibos at (504) 589-7776 to request a copy of the transcript.

### I. PRE-TRIAL ORDERS

All Pre-Trial Orders are posted on the court's website located at <a href="https://www.laed.uscourts.gov">www.laed.uscourts.gov</a>, which has a tab that links directly to "Drywall MDL." The Court's website also includes other postings relevant to the litigation.

## II. STATE/FEDERAL COORDINATION

On March 18, 2010, the Court entered Pre-Trial Order No. 19 appointing State and Federal Coordination Committees. There are no state court trials presently set.

## III. OMNIBUS ("OMNI") CLASS ACTION COMPLAINTS

The following is a list of filed Omni Complaints and Complaints in intervention:

- Omni I: Sean and Beth Payton, et al v. Knauf Gips KG, et al, Case No. 2:09-cv-07628 (E.D.La.). Omni IA, IB and IC have been filed. Numerous Motions to Dismiss have been filed.
- Omni II: Kenneth and Barbara Wiltz, et al. v. Beijing New Building Materials Public Limited Co., et al., Civil Action No.10-361(E.D.La.). Omni IIA, IIB and IIC have been filed. Numerous Motions to Dismiss have been filed.
- Omni III: *Gross, et al. v. Knauf Gips, K.G., et al.*, Case No. 09-6690 (E.D.La.), the PSC filed a Motion in Intervention (attaching a proposed Complaint in Intervention, *Mary Anne Benes, et al. v. Knauf Gips, K.G., et al.*, (E.D.La.) (Omni III). Omni IIIA has been filed. Numerous Motions to Dismiss have been filed.
- Omni IV: Joyce W. Rogers, et al. v. Knauf Gips, K.G., et al., Case No. 10-362 (E.D.La.) (Omni IV). Omni IVA, IVB and IVC have been filed. Numerous Motions to Dismiss have been filed.
- Omni V: *Amato v. Liberty Mutual Ins. Co., et al.*, Case No. 10-932. Numerous Motions to Dismiss have been filed. On January 14, 2015, Plaintiffs filed a Motion to Amend Complaint by Interlineation [Rec. Doc. 18263]. On March 26 2015, the Court granted the Motion to Amend Complaint by Interlineation [Rec. Docs. 18551 & 18590].
- Omni VI: *Charlene and Tatum Hernandez v. AAA Insurance*, Case No. 103070. This Omni VI Complaint has been dismissed;
- Omni VII: Kenneth Abel v. Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd., et al, No. 11-080. Numerous Motions to Dismiss have been filed.
- Omni VIII: *Daniel Abreu v. Gerbrueder Knauf, et al,* No. 11-252. Numerous Motions to Dismiss have been filed.
- Omni IX: *Laura Haya, et al, v. Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd., et al,* No. 11-1077. Numerous Motions to Dismiss have been filed.
- Omni X: Block v. Gebrueder Knauf Verwaltungsgesellschaft KG, et al, No. 11-1363. Numerous Motions to Dismiss have been filed.
- Omni XI: *Benoit, et al v. Lafarge, S.A., et al*, No. 11-1893. Numerous Motions to Dismiss have been filed.
- Omni XII: *Arndt, et al v. Gebrueder Knauf Verwaltungsgesellschaft, KG, et al,* No. 11-2349. Numerous Motions to Dismiss have been filed.
- Omni XIII: *Richard and Constance Almeroth, et al, v. Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd., et al.,* No. 12-0498. Numerous Motions to Dismiss have been filed.
- Omni XIV: *Jessica Cassidy, et al v. Gebrueder Knauf Verwaltungsgesellschaft, KG, et al,* No. 11-3023. Numerous Motions to Dismiss have been filed.

- Omni XV: Eduardo and Carmen Amorin, et al v. Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd. f/k/a Shandong Taihe Dongxin Co., Ltd., et al, No. 11-1672.
- Omni XVI: Eduardo and Carmen Amorin, et al v. Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd. f/k/a Shandong Taihe Dongxin Co., Ltd., et al, No. 11-1395.
- Omni XVII: Eduardo and Carmen Amorin, et al v. Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd. f/k/a Shandong Taihe Dongxin Co., Ltd., et al, No. 11-1673.
- Omni XVIII: Paul Beane, et al v. Gebrueder Knauf Verwaltungsgesellschaft, KG, et al, No. 13-609.
- Omni XIX: Eduardo and Carmen Amorin, et al v. The State-Owned Assets Supervision and Administration Commission of the State Council, Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd. f/k/a Shandong Taihe Dongxin Co., Ltd., et al, No. 14-1727.
- Omni XX: Stephen and Diane Brooke, et al. v. The State-Owned Assets Supervision and Administration Commission of the State Council, Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd. f/k/a Shandong Taihe Dongxin Co., Ltd., et al., No. 15-4127.

On March 15, 2016, the PSC filed a series of motions to dismiss Plaintiffs' claims (Rec. Docs. 20153-20162) dismissing hundreds of claims against Taishan, BNBM PLC, BNBM Group, CNBM Group, and CNBM Co. for failure to provide "sufficient indicia of product identification." On March 18, 2016 the Court entered Orders on the motions (Rec. Docs. 20165-20174). Specifically, the following number of plaintiffs were dismissed from the listed Omnibus Complaints. The total number of properties being dismissed from all the complaints combined is 268. The figures are not cumulative.

Omnibus Complaint II – 20 properties

Omni II (A) - 4 properties

Omni II (B) – 4 properties

Omni II (C) – 5 properties

Omni VII – 17 properties

Omni IX – 34 properties

Omni XIII – 56 properties

Omni XV – 265 properties

Omni XVI – 265 properties

Omni XVII –265 properties

## IV. PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS TO ESTABLISH A PLAINTIFFS' <u>LITIGATION FEE AND EXPENSE FUND</u>

On March 22, 2011, the PSC filed a Motion to Establish a Court Supervised Account for Voluntary Deposit of Funds to Compensate and Reimburse Common Benefit Counsel [Rec. Doc. 8308]. On April 13, 2011, the Court entered an Order directing that any party may voluntarily deposit seventeen percent (17%) of settlement proceeds for common benefit fees (12%) and costs (5%) into the registry of the Court [Rec. Doc. 8545.] A number of voluntary deposits have been made to the Clerk of Court pursuant to the motion.

## V. KNAUF REMEDIATION PROGRAM

The Lead Contractor in the Knauf settlements, Moss & Associates, continues to manage the remediation for program homes and condominium units. Remediation is complete on 2,190 homes and 633 condominiums, work has begun on 9 homes, and 5 more remediations are set to begin soon. To date, Moss has mailed out 2,855 Work Authorization packets to homeowners in the various states. Moss has received 2,842 executed Work Authorization packets, and 3 Work Authorization packets are outstanding. Moss recently completed ALL Xactimate inspections, Xactimates, and Final Cost Estimates. Homeowners and/or counsel with questions about remediations may call Moss & Associates at 1-954-524-5678.

## VI. INEX, BANNER, KNAUF, L&W and GLOBAL SETTLEMENTS

On February 7, 2013, the Court entered an Order and Judgment: (1) Certifying the INEX, Banner, Knauf, L&W, and Global Settlement Classes, and (2) Granting Final Approval to the INEX, Banner, Knauf, L&W, and Global Settlements [Rec. Doc. 16570]. On February 19, 2013, the Court issued an Order Correcting Clerical Error [Rec. Doc. 16580] in the February 7, 2013 Order [16570].

On March 13, March 15, and April 24 2013, the Court entered Orders and Judgments: (1)

Appointing Allocation Committees for the INEX and Global Settlements; and (2) Approving

Allocation Plans for the INEX, Banner and Global Settlements [Rec. Doc. 16609, 16616, 16782]. The registration period for the Knauf, Banner, INEX, Global, and/or L&W Class Settlements expired on October 25, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 17157].

A representative from BrownGreer will be present at the status conference to address settlement claims issues. BrownGreer filed Notices of Approved Claims Administrator Procedures [Rec. Doc. 17090 and 17160]; these procedures are recited in nine Claims Administrator Procedures from September 12, 2013 through September 11, 2014.

On August 12, 2013, a Joint Notice of Filing of Settlement Documents was filed by PLC and KLC [Rec. Doc. 16978] attaching the Settlement Agreement Regarding Post-December 9, 2011 Claims Against the Knauf Defendants in MDL No. 2047, with attached exhibits.

On August 19, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion to Establish Various Qualified Settlement Funds (QSFs) and to Appoint Fund Administrator and Depository Bank relating to the Global, Knauf, Banner, InEx and L&W Settlements [Rec. Doc. 17009]. On September 9, 2013, the Court issued various Orders approving the QSF's [Rec. Docs. 17064 thru 17076]. The Whitney National Bank was substituted as Escrow Agent in place of US Bank as the depository bank for the Remediation Fund [Rec. Doc. 17219].

On April 8, 2016, the Knauf Defendants filed a Motion to Extinguish Settlement Obligations for Certain Already Remediated Home Claims [Rec. Doc. 20202], and on April 27, 2016, the Court issued an Order [Rec. Doc. 20219]. On May 13, 2016, Claimant Villas at Oak Hammock, LLC filed a Verified Motion to Vacate Order Extinguishing Claim [Doc. 20219] And to Extend Time to Submit Affidavits in Support of Claim [Rec. Doc. 20247]. The Knauf Defendants have until 12:00 p.m. on May 23, 2016 to file any opposition [Rec. Doc. 20252].

On May 10, 2016 the court issued an Order & Reasons [Rec. Doc. 20244] denying Jody Ferchaud's Motion for a New Trial, understood as a Motion for Reconsideration. On May 16, 2016, the Knauf Defendants and the Lead Contractor filed a Joint Notice of Satisfaction of Judgment extinguishing any remaining settlement obligations of the Knauf Defendants, the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee, the Lead Contractor, Settlement Administrator, Special Master, and Ombudsman to Claimants 6025 Canal Blvd., LLC and Jody Ferchaud [Rec. Doc. 20249].

## VII. TAISHAN, BNBM AND CNBM DEFENDANTS

The Court has issued Orders establishing three (3) tracks in connection with proceedings involving the Taishan, BNBM and CNBM Defendants [see Rec. Docs. 18757 and 18844].

## 1. The Court's July 17, 2014 Contempt Court Track:

On June 20, 2014, the Court ordered Taishan Gypsum Co., Ltd. f/k/a Shandong Taihe Dongxin Co., Ltd. to appear in open court on July 17, 2014 to be examined as a judgment debtor [Rec. Doc. 17774]. Taishan failed to appear for the July 17, 2014 Judgment Debtor Examination and the Court held Taishan in contempt [Rec. Doc. 17869] and Ordered that Taishan pay \$15,000.00 in attorney's fees to Plaintiffs' counsel; that Taishan pay \$40,000.00 as a penalty for contempt; that Taishan, and any of its affiliates or subsidiaries be enjoined from conducting any business in the United States until or unless it participates in this judicial process, and if Taishan violates the injunction, it must pay a further penalty of 25% of the profits earned by the Company or its affiliate who violate the Order for the year of the violation.

On July 9, 2015, the CNBM Entities filed a Motion to Clarify the July 17, 2014 Contempt Order or, in the alternative, to Vacate the Order to the Extent it Applies to the CNBM entities [Rec. Doc. 19271 and 19356], which was joined by the BNBM Entities [Rec. Doc. 19294 and 19358]. The motion was denied on August 17, 2015 (Rec. Doc. 19373).

A telephone status conference took place on September 17, 2015, and the Court issued a Minute Entry [Rec. Doc. 19526] setting an evidentiary hearing on spoliation, contempt, adverse inferences and possible penalties, if necessary, on November 10, 2015 at 9:00 a.m. The Evidentiary Hearing took place on November 17, 2015 and on December 15, 2015, the Court heard closing arguments from both sides regarding the November 17, 2015 hearing. On January 8, 2016, the Court entered Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law [Rec. Doc. 19559].

On February 10, 2016, Plaintiffs-Intervenors and the PSC filed a Motion for Leave to Substitute Motion to Enforce the Court's July 17, 2014 Contempt Order and Injunction and Accompanying Memorandum of Law in Support Thereof, In Their Entirety, Under Seal [Rec. Doc. 20032].

## 2. <u>Class Damages Track:</u>

On July 23, 2014, Omnibus Motion for Class Certification Pursuant to Rules 23(a)(1)-(4) and 23(b)(3) was filed by Plaintiffs [Rec. Doc. 17883] and on September 26, 2014, the Court issued Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law With Respect to Plaintiffs' Omnibus Motion for Class Certification Pursuant to Rules 23(a)(1)-(4) and 23(b)(3) [Rec. Doc. 18028], which also issued Legal Notice [Rec. Doc. 18028-1]. The PSC advises that notices were sent in accordance with the Court's Order.

On October 29, 2014, Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Assessment of Class Damages Pursuant to Rule 55(b)(2)(B) and Request for Approval of Supplemental Notice [Rec. Doc. 18086] ("PSC's Motion for Assessment of Class Damages"). On March 2, 2015, Plaintiffs filed Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law [Rec. Doc. 18405] with respect to the Motion for Assessment of Class Damages. On May 8, 2015, Taishan moved to decertify the class [Rec. Doc. 18879] and the

BNBM and CNBM entities joined in Taishan's motion [Rec. Docs. 18883 and 18885, respectively]. (*See* May 28, 2015 Minute Entry [Rec. Doc. 19035] and Transcript.)

An evidentiary hearing, with oral argument, on the PSC's Motion for Assessment of Class Damages occurred on June 9, 2015. Following the evidentiary hearing on June 23, Taishan filed Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law [Rec. Doc. 19194], BNBM filed Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law [Rec. Doc. 19198], and the PSC filed a Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Related to the June 9, 2015 Remediation Damages Trial [Rec. Doc. 19197].

On September 8, 2015 the PSC notified the Court that its proposed class of claimants for remediation decreased again from 3,852 claimants to potentially fewer than 1,800 as a result of the Plaintiffs' voluntary dismissals. In response, Taishan submitted a supplemental class damages opposition to address the Plaintiffs' class damages revisions. Rec. Doc. 19490. Taishan also filed a motion to set a briefing schedule on class decertification. Rec. Doc. 19546. BNBM joined in Taishan's motion for a briefing schedule. On October 5, 2015, the PSC filed its Opposition [Rec. Doc. 19572]. The Court has not yet established that briefing schedule.

On November 6, 2015, the PSC filed a Motion for Expedited Hearing on Setting Phased Individual Damage Trials Against Taishan [Rec. Doc. 19705]. On November 10, 2015, Taishan filed its preliminary response/opposition to the PSC's Motion for Expedited Hearing [Rec. Doc. 19712]. On November 12, 2015, the PSC filed a Motion for Leave to File PSC reply and proposal for scheduling individual damages mini trials [Rec. Doc. 19721]. On November 16, 2015, BNBM filed its response to the PSC's motion for Individual Damage Trials [Rec. Doc. 19738]. The Court has not taken any action on the afore-mentioned PSC motions.

## 3. Jurisdiction Track:

On April 1, 2015, CNBM and CNBM Group filed a Motion for Order Preserving Defenses [Rec. Doc. 18581]. On April 2, 2015, the Court granted the motion [Rec. Doc. 18583].

On June 16, 2015, China New Building Materials Group, China New Building Materials Co., CNBMIT Co., CNBMIT Co., Ltd., CNBM USA Corp., and United Suntech Craft, Inc. filed a Motion to Dismiss the Complaints Pursuant to Rules 12(b)(1), 12(b)(2), 12(b)(4) and 12(b)(5) [Rec. Doc. 19179].

On September 18, 2015, CNBM Group filed a revised motion to dismiss based upon the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act (FSIA) ("FSIA Motion"). [Rec. Doc. 19527.] On November 2, 2015, the State of Louisiana filed its response to the FSIA Motion [Rec. Doc. 19667], on October 29, 2015, the PSC filed its response UNDER SEAL [Rec. Doc. 19689], on November 24, 2015, CNBM Group filed a reply brief [Rec. Doc. 19798], on November 30, 2015, the PSC filed a Supplemental Response under seal [Rec. Doc. 19681]. On January 8, 2016, the PSC filed a Second Supplemental Response UNDER SEAL [Rec. Doc. 19980] and on January 12, 2016, CNBM Group filed their Second Supplemental Reply [Rec. Doc. 19962], pursuant to the Court's order permitting same [Rec. Doc. 19953].

On October 22, 2015, Beijing New Building Materials Public Limited Company filed a Motion to Dismiss the Complaints Pursuant to Rules 12(b)(2) and 12(b)(5) [Rec. Doc. 19646], and on October 26, 2015, Beijing New Building Material (Group) Co., Ltd. filed a Motion to Dismiss the Complaints Pursuant to Rules 12(b)(2) and 12(b)(5) [Rec. Doc. 19664]. On January 22, 2015, the PSC and the Attorney General filed separate opposition memoranda to BNBM and CNBM's motions to dismiss [Rec. Docs. 19995, 20000 and 20001]. On February 12, 2016, BNBM and CNBM filed reply memoranda in support of their pending motions to dismiss. Rec. Docs. 20038, 20040, 20041, 20042, 20043, 20073, 20079, and 20082. The various motions to dismiss were heard

on February 18, 2016 and taken under advisement. On March 9, 2016, the Court granted the Motion to Dismiss by CNBM Group under the FSIA and issued Order & Reasons supporting its Judgment [Rec. Doc. 20150].

On September 18, 2015 the PSC filed a motion for alternative service of process on the BNBM and CNBM entities. [Rec. Doc. 19522]. On September 30, 2015 BNBM filed their opposition to the PSC's motion. [Rec. Doc. 19558]. CNBM joined in opposing the PSC's motion seeking alternative service. [Rec. Doc. 19559]. On November 9, 2015, the Court granted the motion and issued Order & Reasons [Rec. Doc. 19713]. In accordance with the Court's Order, the PSC claims that service has been perfected on various CNBM and BNBM entities in regards to various different complaints, and Affidavits of Service have been filed.

## VIII. VENTURE SUPPLY & PORTER BLAINE DEFENDANTS

On July 9, 2013, the Court entered an Order (1) Certifying Each of Four Chinese Drywall Class Settlements (Nationwide Insureds Settlement Agreement, Porter-Blaine/Venture Supply Settlement Agreement, Tobin Trading and Installers Settlement Agreement, and Builders Mutual Insureds Settlement Agreement) Relating to Virginia and Certain Other Remaining Claims; (2) Granting Final Approval to the Four Chinese Drywall Class Settlements; and (3) Approving an Allocation Plan for the Four Class Settlements [Rec. Doc. 16934]. On July 12, 2013, Class Counsel filed a Motion to Approve Funding, Administration and Special Master Services [Rec. Doc. 16939] and the Court entered an Order granting the motion on July 19, 2013 [Rec. Doc. 16956].

On November 20, 2014 the Court entered and Order approving the Proposed Real Property Allocation and directing distribution of funds accordingly [Rec. Doc. 18145]. In accordance with the Court's Order, the Garretson Resolution Group (the Claims Administrator for the Four Virginia-based Settlements) has issued all Real Property Payments.

On October 23, 2015, the Court issued and entered an Order directing distribution of Other Loss payments in accordance with the Settlement Administrator's proposed allocation [Rec. Doc. 19639]. In accordance with the Court's Order, the Garretson Resolution Group (the Settlement Administrator for the Four Virginia-based Settlements) has issued all Other Loss payments.

On January 6, 2016, Class Counsel filed a Motion for Distribution of Settlement Funds [Rec. Doc. 19951] and on January 20, 2016 the Court issued an Order [Rec. Doc. 19990].

## IX. FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

The "MDL FAQs" may be found at <a href="www.laed.uscourts.gov/Drywall/FAQ.htm">www.laed.uscourts.gov/Drywall/FAQ.htm</a>. Liaison counsel reminds the parties to review the FAQs before contacting Liaison Counsel. The parties will be prepared to discuss this issue at the monthly status conference on April 26, 2016.

#### X. ATTORNEY FEES

On May 20, 2014, the Fee Committee and PSC filed a Consolidated Joint Petition for a Global Award of Attorneys' Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses Filed Pursuant to Pretrial Order No. 28 [Rec. Doc. 17700]. On April 15, 2016, the Fee Committee and PSC filed a First Amendment to Consolidated Consolidated Joint Petition for a Global Award of Attorneys' Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses Filed Pursuant to Pretrial Order No. 28 [Rec. Doc. 20205]. On May 19, 2016, the Court entered an Order granting the motion as amended [Rec. Doc. 20257]. The motion only addresses attorney's fees relating to the various class settlementS. On June 6, 2016, the PSC filed a Motion to Determine the Allocation of the Global Fee Award As Between Common Benefit Fees and Individual Counsels' Fees Pursuant to PTO 28(F) [Rec. Doc. 20293] and on June 8, 2016, the PSC filed a Notice of Errata [Rec. Doc. 20295]. The Court has scheduled a hearing on the motion for July 27, 2016.

# XI. MATTERS SET FOR HEARING FOLLOWING THE CURRENT STATUS CONFERENCE

- 1. Motion to Vacate [Rec. Doc. 20247].
- 2. Motion to Extinguish *Knauf Defendants' Settlement Obligations for Certain Already Remediated Home Claim* [Rec. Doc. 20302].

## XII. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE PRESERVATION ORDER

On October 9, 2009, the Court issued Pre-Trial Order 1(B) relating to the preservation of physical evidence from properties that may be repaired during the course of these MDL proceedings. Pre-Trial Order 1(I) was entered by the Court on January 24, 2012 [Rec. Doc. 12257] and on March 20, 2015 the Court entered Pre-Trial Order 1(J) [Rec. Doc. 18528].

## XII. <u>ENTRY OF PRELIMINARY DEFAULT</u>

Pursuant to Minute Entry dated February 23, 2012 [Rec Doc. 12687], the Plaintiffs' Omnibus Motion for Preliminary Default Judgment [Rec. Doc. 11234] and errata thereto [Rec. Doc. 11773, 12265, 12551] was granted. On February 24, 2012, the Court signed an Entry of Preliminary Default [Rec. Doc. 12599] of certain defendants identified on Exhibit A thereto. Thereafter, additional Motions for Preliminary Defaults and Clarifications were issued by the Court [Rec. Docs Nos. 15412, 15898, 15972, 16030, 17089, 17172, 17722, 17378, 17781, 17792, 17793, 17791, 17800, 17814, 17802, 17815, 17803, 17816]. The PSC has not yet certified to the Court that it has completed the filing of Plaintiffs' amended complaints.

#### XIII. NEXT STATUS CONFERENCE

The next monthly status conference is scheduled for July 27, 2016, at 9:00 a.m. Any interested persons unable to attend in person may listen-in via telephone at (800)-260-0702. The access code will be 394790 and the Chairperson will be Judge Fallon. The August monthly status conference will take place on August 25, 2016 at 9:00 a.m. The conference call information for the conference will be available on the Court's MDL website on the calendar page.