UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

IN RE: TAXOTERE (DOCETAXEL) 16-MDL-2740

PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATIÓN

Section H

Relates To All Cases May 21, 2019

REPORTER'S OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE SHOW CAUSE HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE JANE TRICHE MILAZZO, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiffs:

Dawn Barrios, Esq. Claire Berg, Esq. Palmer Lambert, Esq. Trevor Rockstad, Esq. Amy Gabriel, Esq. Ryan Perdue, Esq. Lisa Joyce, Esq. Mark Niemeyer, Esq. Kyle Benkie, Esq. Léslie LaMacchia, Esq. Ryan Browne, Esq. John Foley, Esq. Alyssa White
Peter Goss, Esq.
Jennifer Nolte, Esq.
Jennifer Domer, Esq.
Lauren Godshall, Esq. Charles Orr, Esq. Sam Wendt, Esq. Steven Davis, Esq. Kristie Fischer, Esq. Lynn Seithel, Esq. Mélissa Ephron, Esq. Aaron Johnson, Esq. Gordon Kessler, Esq.

For the Defendants:

Julie Callsen, Esq. Kelly Brilleaux, Esq. Nick Insogna, Esq.

REPORTED BY: Mary V. Thompson, RMR, FCRR

500 Poydras Street, Room B-275 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 (504)589-7783

PROCEEDINGS

2

1

_

3

4

5

6

10:03:23

7 8

9

10

10:04:03

11

12 13

15

18

20

14

10:04:16

16 17

19

10:04:39

21 22

25

23 24

10:04:58

THE COURT: Okay. Court is in session.

I'm going to ask all of those people that are on the line, if we're not talking to your firm just yet, please put it on mute so that we can hear.

We're going to proceed and go through the show cause list.

All right, are we ready to proceed?

MS. BRILLEAUX: Yes, Your Honor. Kelly Brilleaux for the Sanofi defendants.

Your Honor, we understand that you have a hard stop today.

THE COURT: I do.

MS. BRILLEAUX: And so for the sake of efficiency, we have four lists that we submitted to you yesterday evening. We have the show cause docket which has 228 cases on it.

Then we have a list of dismissed cases which has 44 cases on it; a list of counsel who have filed declarations that they made attempts to reach their clients and have been unsuccessful, and that has 42 cases on it; and finally a list of plaintiffs who have filed statements that they have no defense to dismissal of the case, and that has 37.

We're going to hand those lists to the court reporter for entry and not read those in today.

10:05:23

10:05:55

10:06:07

10:06:23

10:06:40

THE COURT: Those matters are dismissed with prejudice.

I will tell all of the lawyers on the phone, and those that are present, that there is a mechanism in place that if there is no defense, if you are unable to comply with this, that you are to sign declarations and those cases are dismissed.

It is inefficient, and, very frankly, just a failure to comply with the directions of the Court. So those things should be taken care of before we get here, and I am going to have very, very little patience with anybody that takes this time to stipulate that they have no defense to dismissal. I mean, that should have been done ahead of time by declaration.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you, Your Honor.

One other point, just to make super briefly, is that we have instituted a cutoff which we've agreed to with liaison counsel. And we understand that liaison counsel has worked very hard to enforce this, but yet we're continually getting statements, declarations, and dismissals up until right now, which frustrates the process a little bit further.

THE COURT: It does.

Let's proceed.

MS. BERG: Good morning, Your Honor. Claire Berg for the plaintiffs.

We asked that counsel for Bachus & Schanker leave since we're going to do their plaintiffs on another day.

THE COURT: We are going to have to do you-all on

another day. You have a hundred so I would suggest that you take -
(Telephonic interruption.)

THE COURT: I'm going to have to do Bachus & Schanker another day. There are over 100 people on the list.

And I want to caution you that if there are declarations to be signed, that they be signed. For me to have to go through each of these cases and fumble through files on the show cause docket is an egregious waste of the Court's resources.

So take care of your business and come prepared, and we'll set that for another day. And maybe you can talk to Sam about getting another date to do this.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. BERG: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. INSOGNA: The first case on the list is Ruth Brooks with Gori Julian & Associates. It is on the list for no plaintiff fact sheet submitted.

A plaintiff fact sheet was submitted. I have a copy for Your Honor. It's almost entirely blank. It has the plaintiff's contact information, counsel's contact information, and nothing else.

THE COURT: Ms. Gabriel, are you on the phone?

MS. GABRIEL: Yes, Your Honor. Good morning.

Your Honor, we had reached out to defense counsel, and the situation is such, with regard to Ms. Brooks, sadly, that she

10:07:21

10:07:00

11 12

> 13 14

> > 15

1

2

5

6

7

10

10:07:36

16 17

18

19

20

10:07:50

21

23

22

25

24

10:08:03

10:08:40

10:08:23

10:09:01

10:09:16

10:09:27

had her cancer return and had talked to my paralegal in the fall letting her know that it had come back and that it spread to her brain.

We had filed her case. Unfortunately, the timing was such that we were trying to get a PFS at least on file for her, but had not heard back from her, frankly, Your Honor. And so we were trying to get ahold of her and found out that she had passed away.

She has family that we have located, a daughter that I've talked to, to try and see if they have any interest in continuing on with this case. But she has not sent me the personal representative paperwork yet, and so I'm in a spot where I'd just ask if I can have an additional amount of time to try and find that out from the family. And I'll ask the Court for a dismissal if I'm not able to get any sort of assurance or paperwork from them.

But that's where we are, Your Honor. And I apologize that we're taking up your time on this docket for this issue.

THE COURT: I'm going to ask that this one be passed to the next date, and that gives you an opportunity to find and speak to her daughter. The Court is going to pass this.

MS. GABRIEL: I appreciate it. Thank you so much, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Deborah Hutchinson. That is Davis & Crump.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Yes, Your Honor. This is a Sanofi

1

3

4 5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

6

7

10:09:53

10:09:39

10:10:16

18

19

20

21

10:10:34

22 23

25

24

10:10:53

We have no "before" photos from within five years of treatment.

And I do want to note for the record, when we initially compiled this list we thought we may be at an agreement for the no-photos certification issue. I understand that that was a subject of discussion at the lead liaison counsel meeting this morning so we defer to Your Honor on how that is handled.

THE COURT: Mr. Rockstad, where are the "before" photos?

> Your Honor, this is Trevor Rockstad. MR. ROCKSTAD:

Ms. Hutchinson's photographs were in a storage unit. In 2010 her husband died. The storage unit was in his name and he owed back rent on it. She was unable to pay for the back rent and so the storage facility confiscated and I guess auctioned off the contents of that storage unit. This was before -- I guess two or three months before she began her chemotherapy treatment. So all of her photographs were in that storage unit from --

THE COURT: She didn't have any photographs in her phone or anywhere else? She has no relatives that have photographs of her?

She claims she does not. MR. ROCKSTAD: She had one picture that we produced from December 1999, but nothing else within really the 10 years before her chemotherapy.

THE COURT: When did she have chemotherapy?

MS. BRILLEAUX: So the 1999 photo, Your Honor, is from

12 years before chemo.

MR. ROCKSTAD: But all her photographs were in that storage unit and they do not exist anymore.

THE COURT: Mr. Rockstad, I think your client,
Ms. Hutchinson, is going to have to make -- contact family
members and she is going to have to contact friends to see if
somebody has a photograph of her. It's generally -- you attend a
wedding or something. People have photographs out there that's
not in your personal possession.

So I'm going to give you 30 days to do -- have her do a search of family and friends and find a photograph within five years.

MR. ROCKSTAD: Your Honor, we have done that. We'l' ask her again and encourage her and tell her that you have instructed her to do that.

THE COURT: Yes. It's critical.

MR. ROCKSTAD: Is there any further guidance you can give on the future for the case if there is not -- if that doesn't yield any results?

THE COURT: We're going to have to -- as a matter of fact, that was the subject of the meeting today. We reviewed that because we think this has become a crutch for many people to rely on, and that's not going to be acceptable. There has to be proof of her condition prior to chemotherapy.

And so it's going to be incumbent upon all of the

10:11:10

Ç

10:11:32

10:11:47

10:12:04

10:12:30

OFFICIAL TRANSCRIP

1 members of this action to find photographs or submit some other 2 evidence of the condition of her hair prior to chemotherapy. 3 So I would caution anybody that's on the phone that's 4 listening to this, you need to contact family members and friends 5 and see what you can provide. But most people attend a function 10:12:52 6 or somewhere where there's a photograph of them, and you need to 7 find those photographs. 8 Your Honor, this is Trevor Rockstad MR. ROCKSTAD: 9 again. What about affidavits from friends and family regarding the state of her hair? 10 10:13:13 11 THE COURT: Friends and family need to start looking 12 for photographs. When you get that affidavit -- when you talk to 13 friends and family, I think they should be looking for 14 photographs. 0kay? 15 Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. MR. ROCKSTAD: 10:13:27 16 THE COURT: I'm going to give you 30 days to do that. 17 All right. Thank you, Your Honor. MR. ROCKSTAD: 18 THE COURT: Thank you. That's Fernelius Simon. 19 Guyann Peterson. 20 Ryan Perdue -- Mr. Perdue, are you on the phone? 10:13:36 21 MR. PERDUE: Yes, Your Honor, this is Ryan Perdue. 22 MS. BRILLEAUX: Your Honor, this deficiency is also no 23 "before" photographs. 24 THE COURT: Mr. Perdue? 25 MR. PERDUE: Yes, Your Honor. Ms. Peterson passed away 10:13:49

10:14:13

6

7

8

10

5

1

2

3

4

10:14:34

11 12

> 13 14

> > 15

10:15:02

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

10:15:10

23

10:15:28

a couple -- about a year ago, and her son is the personal representative of her estate. He was able to locate eight "after" photos in his possession, which we uploaded last week. But despite his good-faith attempts to find photos of his mom prior to her chemotherapy treatment in 2012, he was unable to do so.

We would ask for the opportunity to either submit a "no photo" affidavit or -- like the last case, we can go back to him and stress the importance again of --

THE COURT: I think you need to stress the importance that he has to find some before photographs. And I'm going to give you 30 days to do that, Mr. Perdue, but he has to contact family and friends and just see what can be done.

MR. PERDUE: Yes, Your Honor. He has done that, but we'll ask him to do so again.

THE COURT: Thank you. Maybe if you tell him that we've had this discussion, it might help.

MR. PERDUE: Yes.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you, Your Honor.

I just wanted to clarify for the record that it's our understanding that no plaintiffs should be submitting affidavits until you have ordered them to do so. Is that correct?

THE COURT: That's correct. I'm not accepting affidavits until we've had an opportunity to question counsel and perhaps plaintiffs.

1 Kathleen Malzewski. 2 MS. BRILLEAUX: I think, Your Honor, that is a Sanofi 3 case, and that is -- "before" photos are not dated and also no 4 PTO 71A certification. That's the certification they have done 5 the ESI production and search. 10:15:48 6 THE COURT: That's Ms. Joyce. Are you on the phone? 7 MS. JOYCE: Yes, Your Honor. This is Lisa Joyce. 8 We were able to speak with the client yesterday and 9 work with her to clarify the dates on the "before" photos. have been amended in MDL centrality. 10 10:16:09 11 Also this morning we were able to get her signed ESI 12 statement which was also uploaded into MDL centrality. 13 THE COURT: I'm going to grant seven days for the 14 defendants to verify. Thank you. 15 MS. JOYCE: Thank you, Your Honor. 10:16:22 16 THE COURT: Sandra Holmes. That's from Kirkendall 17 Dwyer. 18 MR. INSOGNA: Yes, Your Honor. It appears that dated 19 photographs were submitted early this morning. I would like 20 seven days just to confirm. 10:16:34 21 THE COURT: Seven days. 22 Gwendolyn Davis. That's Kirtland & Packard. 23 MR. BENKIE: Good morning, Your Honor. Kyle Benkie 24 with Kirtland & Packard.

25

10:16:48

OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT

Our deficiency was two of the photos are not dated, two

of the "before" photos. This plaintiff has been very compliant. 1 2 We'd just ask for a short amount of time just to confirm the 3 dates of the two "before" photos that were uploaded last week. MS. BRILLEAUX: Your Honor, for Gwendolyn Davis I have 5 the deficiency as no "before" photos from within five years of 10:17:06 6 treatment. 7 THE COURT: Do you know, Mr. Benkie, when the photos 8 were taken? 9 MR. BENKIE: I believe one of them was dated about a dozen years or so ago, but I believe we have to confirm the other 10 10:17:24 11 two. They weren't dated. 12 THE COURT: They need to be within five years. 13 MS. BRILLEAUX: Correct. 14 THE COURT: So what I'm going to do, Mr. Benkie, is 15 give you 15 days to get into compliance, and that's to confirm 10:17:37 16 that the photos are within five years before and that they are 17 properly dated. 18 And is that it? 19 MR. BENKIE: That's fine, Your Honor. Thank you. 20 THE COURT: Thank you. 10:17:53 21 Eloise Farrior. 22 MS. BRILLEAUX: Yes, Your Honor. No "before" photos 23 from within five years of treatment. 24 MR. NIEMEYER: Good morning, Your Honor. This is

Mark Niemeyer on behalf of the plaintiff.

25

10:18:05

1 I won't bore you with the whole saga unless you would 2 like to hear it --3 THE COURT: I don't. MR. NIEMEYER: -- but this morning we were able to 5 upload a photo from 2006 and her chemo was in 2008. 10:18:14 6 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I'm going to grant seven 7 days for defendants to confirm compliance. 8 MS. BRILLEAUX: Your Honor, just to clarify for that, 9 we would like to ask that if a plaintiff has submitted it after the agreed-upon deadline, that they e-mail us within seven days 10 10:18:29 11 identifying the document number on MDL centrality so that we can 12 confirm based on their representation. 13 THE COURT: Great. 14 Can you e-mail them within this week so that they can 15 **II** confirm? 10:18:43 16 Happy to do so, Your Honor. Thank you. MR. NIEMEYER: 17 THE COURT: Thank you. 18 Janet Eosco, and that's the Pulaski Law Firm. 19 MR. INSOGNA: Yes, Your Honor. No plaintiff fact sheet submitted. 20 10:18:56 21 THE COURT: No plaintiff fact sheet. Ms. LaMacchia? 22 MS. LAMACCHIA: Yes, Your Honor. 23 I think we're going to have to end up filing a

declaration in this matter. We've attempted over the last couple

of weeks. Like it's hit and miss with her. Her husband just

24

25

10:19:06

1 passed away in April. I tried again this morning. So I think 2 ultimately we're going to have to file a declaration. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Why don't we just do that right now? MS. LAMACCHIA: 0kay. 5 THE COURT: The Court is going to dismiss this case 10:19:20 6 with prejudice. 7 Thank you, Your Honor. MS. LAMACCHIA: 8 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 Cardella Rankins. And that's the Reyes Browne Reilley 10 group. 10:19:29 11 Mr. Browne? 12 MR. BROWNE: Yes, Your Honor. Hi. Ryan Browne here. 13 So could I ask for a quick point of clarification on 14 something? This is our first time appearing on this docket. We 15 sent an e-mail last week, you know, providing all this 10:19:42 16 information about any of the deficiencies. We uploaded 17 everything. We set a time to meet and confer. We didn't hear 18 back from the other side. Nobody attempted to contact us on the 19 meet-and-confer. And so is that the way that this works or are we expected to meet and confer prior to the hearing with the 20 10:20:05 21 other side? 22 THE COURT: Why don't you --MR. INSOGNA: Sure, Your Honor. I don't -- I don't 23 24 know what e-mail that was sent to or to whom it was directed.

The issue with this case is that the --

25

10:20:20

10:20:53

10:20:39

10:21:08

10:21:24

10:21:49

MR. BROWNE: It was sent to noncompliance@shb.com and 502bliaison@chaffe.com and taxotere@bkc-law.com.

THE COURT: Why don't we do this. Why don't we find out where the problem is. Why don't you give them directions to where -- the e-mail address that those inquiries should be forwarded.

MR. INSOGNA: If I may, Your Honor, there's an e-mail address that we supply to liaison counsel each month that goes directly to me for any meet-and-confer e-mails. None of those accounts are listed. I'm happy to provide it again.

MS. BERG: Your Honor, I can get with plaintiff's counsel and intervene and help facilitate the meet-and-confer.

MS. CALLSEN: The other thing, I just want to ask, too, on behalf of the defendants is if they're late in complying -- you know, it's less than a week before the hearing -- Nick and I are traveling here. It would also be helpful, if they want to get off this hearing, if they could e-mail us directly rather than just these global e-mails.

If it's -- not routinely across the board, but just if we are past the cutoff or if it's late, they really want to try to e-mail someone directly rather than the big global boxes.

MR. BROWNE: Happy to do whatever, but if we can get those e-mails from liaison counsel or y'all directly, that would be wonderful. My e-mail is ryan@reyeslaw.com. All one word, reyeslaw.

1 THE COURT: Okay. So what was the deficiency for? 2 MR. INSOGNA: Your Honor, the only "before" photos are 3 from 1982 and '99 so not within five years of treatment. 4 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Browne, do you have "before" 5 photos? 10:22:24 MR. BROWNE: We do. We're looking at them now to see 6 7 what the actual dates are. And it looks like 19 -- I think you 8 are probably right, 1982 and '99. 9 THE COURT: So you need to get us something within five 10 years. And Ms. Berg will be able to help you go through this 10:22:37 11 process. 12 So I'm going to ask liaison counsel to work with 13 plaintiffs. Thank you. 14 MS. BERG: That's fine. 15 Your Honor, before I move on, the firm of Fears 10:22:59 16 Nachawati has 45 plaintiffs on the list. Should we move that to 17 another day as well so they can drop off the call? 18 THE COURT: Yes. Because I am not going to have -- who 19 is on the phone for Fears Nachawati? 20 MS. GULEWICZ: Hi, Your Honor. This is 10:23:21 21 Charlotte Gulewicz at Fears Nachawati. 22 THE COURT: You have 45 matters on this call docket. 23 Have you reviewed the declaration process? 24 I mean, it's an attempt for us to streamline this. And 25 having people that have 45 -- and other people that have 10:23:38

100 cases -- makes me think that you're not working through the

MS. GULEWICZ: Yes. Your Honor. We started with more than 350 cases, and we've -- we were able to get down to 310 cases so we only have 45 now, you know, and so we just -- we have been working as efficiently as possible. Unfortunately, we just weren't able to get through all of them.

We were going to ask if you could give us seven days to meet-and-confer with defense counsel, so that way we can narrow it down further and really only talk about the cases that need to

THE COURT: Well, this is what -- because there are so many, and I have a very -- I'm supposed to be done in five minutes, but I'm going to be a little bit later than that. I'm going to set you up for a different time.

So what I'm going to ask, ma'am, is that you talk -call back my case manager, Ms. Mouledous, sometime this afternoon and get a new date for us to go through this process.

Okay.

THE COURT: So you can drop off the call and call back Ms. Mouledous this afternoon.

MS. GULEWICZ: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Let's go.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Barbara Falk with Simmons Hanly Conroy. No "before" photos within five years of treatment.

10:25:10

24

25

3

6

7

8

10

4 5

10:25:52

10:25:30

11 12

13

.0:26:07 15

10:26:07

16

17

18 19

20

10:26:17

21 22

> 23 24

> > 25

10:26:37

THE COURT: Mr. Foley, are you on the line?

MR. FOLEY: Yes, I am, Your Honor.

We have got two photos. One is dated 15 years before treatment. The other is 12 years before treatment. We have had numerous conversations with the plaintiff regarding the need for "before" photos from within five years of her treatment. She has expressed that she has done a search and talked to family members and friends, and this is -- those two photos are the only ones that she has been able to locate.

THE COURT: I'm going to give you 30 days, but she needs to contact friends and family and find a photo within five years prior to treatment.

MR. FOLEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Pamela Green. And that's with the Mulligan Law Firm.

MR. INSOGNA: No PFS submitted.

THE COURT: No PFS submitted. Mr. Orr?

MR. ORR: Good morning, Your Honor. This is

Charles Orr from the Mulligan Law Firm.

We resolved all of our other cases through the declaration process. This one, we had contact with Ms. Green most recently on April 16th of this year. We reasonably believed that she was going to timely complete and submit a PFS. We, therefore, did not pursue the declaration process.

She apparently has lost her job and moved and so our

10:27:13

10:27:27

10:27:46

10:28:09

current contacts are no longer valid so we are -- if the Court grants us additional time, which is my request, we will employ a private investigator to attempt to locate her. We don't ask for any more grace than a 30-day period. We understand the need to provide the PFS.

THE COURT: All right. This matter was filed in December of 2018. I'm going to give them the 30 days.

MR. ORR: Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Diane Bullock with the Wendt Law Firm. No PFS submitted.

MR. WENDT: Yes, Your Honor. This is Sam Wendt with the Wendt Law Firm.

We recently found out, within the last handful of weeks, that Ms. Bullock passed away in October of 2018. We have engaged an investigator and tracked down her next of kin which are two daughters, and they wish to pursue a claim.

Unfortunately, just within the last two or three weeks, we have made contact with them but we have not had an opportunity to get an estate open, and also have reached out to them about the plaintiff fact sheet. But we certainly intend to cure the deficiency of the fact sheet not being able to be --

THE COURT: Mr. Wendt, you said she died in October, but you filed the claim in December. How did you have authorization to file a lawsuit if the patient is deceased?

MR. WENDT: Judge, I would have to look at the file

2

3

4

6

7

10:28:31 5

8

10

11

13

10:28:45

12

0:29:07 15

10:29:07

18

19

20

16

17

10:29:19

21 22

24

23

25

10:29:36

materials. I just have here in the notes from my office that it's October of 2018 is when she passed. And when she informed us of her -- of when her children informed us of her passing was just recently. Certainly prior to October 2018 she engaged our law firm and asked us to pursue a claim on her behalf.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Your Honor, defendants take the position that you cannot file on behalf of a deceased plaintiff who has been deceased for --

THE COURT: I think that's accurate.

This is what I'm going to do. I'm going to give two weeks to -- for this to be uncovered. But, Mr. Wendt, I don't know how you had authorization to file this complaint if the -- you know, if Ms. Bullock was deceased.

So I'm going to give you two weeks to confer with liaison counsel and maybe you-all can ferret through this and find out what occurred.

MR. WENDT: Okay, Your Honor.

MR. LAMBERT: Thank you, Your Honor. Palmer Lambert, co-liaison counsel for plaintiffs.

On the plaintiffs' side I'm not sure that the day before you file a complaint you actually check with your client to make sure they are still alive. I mean, usually you have a prescription calendar and you file suit on a certain date. You have their authority prior to that.

So we will, Your Honor. We will talk with counsel.

OFFICIAL TRANSCRIP

1 THE COURT: You have two weeks, Mr. Lambert. 2 MR. LAMBERT: Thank you. 3 Thank you, Your Honor. MS. BRILLEAUX: Lisa Axtell with the Johnson Law Group. No "before" 5 photos within five years of treatment. 10:29:48 6 MS. WHITE: Good morning, Your Honor. Alyssa White 7 with the Johnson Law Group. 8 We have no defense for dismissal on Ms. Axtell. We 9 gave her a firm deadline to submit photos. 10 This matter is dismissed with prejudice. THE COURT: 10:30:00 11 MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you, Your Honor. 12 Leanette Owens also with Johnson Law Group. 13 "before" photos. 14 MS. WHITE: Good morning. Ms. Owens sent us 15 15 photographs this morning. Her chemotherapy was in early 2015 and 10:30:13 16 the photographs she sent are from 2010 to 2015 so I anticipate 17 being able to cure that today. 18 THE COURT: I'm going to grant 15 days for you to cure 19 it and give an opportunity for defense to review it. I'm going 20 to ask that you send an e-mail as previously requested. 10:30:31 21 MS. BRILLEAUX: We would ask -- I think your earlier 22 ruling was seven days to send the e-mail. If they claim they 23 already cured it --24 THE COURT: She said she is going to do it today. 25 just want to give them an opportunity to get it filed and then 10:30:45

1 you look at it, so we have 15 days. 2 MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you, Your Honor. 3 MR. INSOGNA: Your Honor, Pamela Arthur with the Goss Law Firm. 4 No PFS submitted. 5 MR. GOSS: Yes, Your Honor. Peter Goss with the Goss 10:30:57 6 Law Firm. 7 There is no defense for dismissal on this one. 8 THE COURT: This matter is dismissed with prejudice. 9 Thank you, Your Honor. MS. BRILLEAUX: 10 No PFS submitted. Also with the Goss Donna Erario. 10:31:06 Law Firm. 11 12 MR. GOSS: Similar on this one, Your Honor. No defense 13 for dismissal. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Goss, next time you can file the 15 **II** declaration and we would have taken care of you before. 10:31:16 16 MR. GOSS: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Christine Curtis. 18 MS. BRILLEAUX: Yes, Your Honor. This is no "before" 19 photos from within five years of treatment. And this is TorHoerman Law. 20 10:31:29 21 MR. DAVIS: Good morning, Your Honor. Steven Davis for 22 TorHoerman Law. 23 And I can give you the story if you want it, but our 24 understanding is that her photos are inbound from a health care 25 provider that did not previously provide them even though they 10:31:42

NFFICIAL TRANSCRIP

1 were requested. So we expect to be able to cure that in the very 2 near future. THE COURT: Wait. What? Photos? MR. DAVIS: Yes. 5 THE COURT: She needs photos from five years before 10:31:52 6 treatment. 7 Right. Your Honor, she is total adversed, MR. DAVIS: 8 and has been since before her chemo, but she purported to us that her oncologist took "before" photos knowing that hair loss might be an issue and so we sent a request for any and all records, but 10 10:32:09 11 they didn't show up so now we are looking for them so we can --12 THE COURT: Okay. I understand. Her oncologist took 13 photos. 14 (Telephonic interruption.) 15 THE COURT: You know what? You cannot make this up. 10:32:24 Okay. Mr. Davis, I'm going to give you 30 days to cure 16 17 that after you receive the response from the oncologist. 18 MR. DAVIS: Thank you, Your Honor. 19 MS. BRILLEAUX: Deborah Curtis, also with the 20 TorHoerman law firm. "Before" photos are not dated. 10:32:43 21 Your Honor, my understanding was that they MR. DAVIS: 22 were. Can we have seven days to work on that? I believe we have 23 the dates for the photos and they comply with the time frame so 24 can we just have a week to get that nailed down? 25 THE COURT: Okay. This is what I'm going to do. 10:33:01

1 going to grant 15 days so that you have seven days to do what 2 needs to be done to the photographs to cure that deficiency and 3 then defendants will have seven days. I'm going to ask you, please, too, Mr. Davis, submit an 5 e-mail after you have cured that deficiency. Thank you. 10:33:19 MR. DAVIS: Okay. 6 Thank you. 7 MS. BRILLEAUX: Next we have Cynthia Miller with 8 Allen & Nolte. No PFS submitted. 9 MS. NOLTE: Good morning, Your Honor. This is Jennifer Nolte for the plaintiff, Cynthia Miller. 10 10:33:33 11 We submitted a plaintiff fact sheet yesterday, 12 Doc ID 363199. 13 THE COURT: Okay. The Court is going to grant seven 14 days to defense counsel to review it. 15 MS. BRILLEAUX: And, Your Honor, we would ask that 10:33:45 16 counsel e-mail it to us with that document number that she just 17 referenced on the record. 18 THE COURT: Ms. Nolte, please send an e-mail. 19 MS. NOLTE: We'll do that, Your Honor. 20 Next is also Allen & Nolte. MS. BRILLEAUX: 10:33:56 21 Helen Brown. This is no "before" photos from within five years 22 of treatment. 23 MS. NOLTE: Yes. This is Jennifer Nolte again on 24 behalf of Helen Brown. 25 I was able to speak with her last week, and she was 10:34:08

1 able to track down a photo from her daughter from 2008. 2 submitted that yesterday. And her chemo was in 2010. 3 The Court is going to grant seven THE COURT: Okay. 4 days for defense counsel to review. Please send an e-mail to 5 defense counsel. 10:34:23 6 MS. NOLTE: Yes, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: And Sheryl Jones. 8 MS. BRILLEAUX: Yes, Your Honor. This is also with 9 Allen & Nolte. No "before" photos from within five years. 10 MS. NOLTE: Yes, Your Honor. Jennifer Nolte again. 10:34:34 11 We were again able to track down a photo from our 12 client over the weekend. The photo is from 2006. Her chemo was 13 in 2009. And we submitted that photo yesterday. 14 THE COURT: The Court is going to grant seven days to 15 **II** defense counsel. 10:34:49 MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 17 Next we have Rojoan Cole. No PTO 71A certification, 18 and that is the ESI production and search certification. 19 MS. FISCHER: Good morning, Your Honor. 20 Kristie Fischer with Canepa Riedy Abele on behalf of Ms. Cole. 10:35:04 21 When we first received the deficiency notice, there 22 were a few other things on here. Ms. Cole has been working with 23 She has gotten everything cured except the PTO 71A 24 statement. And the reason for that is she does have

electronically stored information on a Facebook account and she

25

10:35:20

10:35:51

10:35:41

10:36:14

10:36:33

10:36:44

is just not having a very easy time getting that downloaded.

We have provided her instructions and asked her to have a family member help her. She indicated that her daughter was trying to help her with that so that she can get that information downloaded and to us, so we'd ask for some additional time for her.

THE COURT: The Court is going to grant 30 days to cure that deficiency.

Rebekkah McKnight.

MS. BRILLEAUX: That's also the same firm, Canepa Riedy Abele. And this is no present-day "after" photos.

MS. FISCHER: Your Honor, Ms. McKnight had provided us with photos that -- I think she was a little bit confused about the dates. She has some memory issues following chemotherapy. But on May 9th we were able to upload several additional photos.

And then just yesterday, because -- when we uploaded the photos on May 9th, we went over the dates that she had provided for the previously uploaded photos, and that's when it became apparent that she had been confused about the dates. We realized that when we corrected the dates, there were no "before" photos.

She provided us with three "before" photos, two of which are within five years prior to her first chemotherapy treatment, and there are --

THE COURT: But the problem is present-day photos.

1 MS. FISCHER: Those where the ones that we uploaded on 2 May 9th, present-day photos taken after the complaint was filed. 3 THE COURT: Okay. MS. FISCHER: We believe the deficiency has been cured. 5 THE COURT: I'm going to grant seven days and ask that 10:36:57 6 you send an e-mail to defense counsel advising them. 7 MS. BRILLEAUX: I just want to clarify that this is not 8 for -- because my notes show her photos are from 2012. I want to clarify they should be her hair as it exists at the present day, so 2019 photos, just to the extent that isn't clear. 10 10:37:14 11 MS. FISCHER: There are now present-day 2019 photos. 12 MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you. 13 MS. FISCHER: Your Honor, can I have the e-mail to send 14 that to? Because the one that I have that I received an e-mail 15 from plaintiffs' liaison counsel was noncompliance@shb.com. 10:37:26 16 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to ask that you send that 17 to the plaintiffs' steering -- plaintiffs' liaison counsel. Claire Berg is here representing liaison counsel, and she -- you 18 19 can communicate with her. 20 MS. FISCHER: Okav. 10:37:43 MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you. 21 22 Polly Rodgers is the next one. This is no proof of use 23 and no "before" photos. 24 MS. FISCHER: Good morning, Your Honor. Kristie 25 Fischer again on behalf of Ms. Rodgers. 10:38:00

10:38:24

10:38:45

10:39:05

10:39:23

10:39:42

With regard to the photos, Ms. Rodgers is a woman who is in her 70s. She has lived in poverty a great deal of her life. Her children are in various states of homelessness. She has been through several periods of homelessness herself.

She has reached out to family members to try to locate photos, and, in fact, she provided me with a notarized statement that she believed she had some photographs that were stored at her mother's home. Unfortunately, her mother moved out of the home and her sister, Lela Ball [phonetic], took possession of whatever contents of the home she felt she wanted. She has told Ms. Rodgers that she does not have -- that she didn't save any photos of Ms. Rodgers when she cleaned out the mother's home.

And so I believe that she has exhausted her efforts to contact family and friends who may have photos from before chemotherapy.

THE COURT: What about her proof of use?

MS. FISCHER: With regard to proof of use, she was treated by Dr. Suhail Obaji who, since treating her, has joined the West Cancer Center. We have another client who was also treated by a doctor who later joined the West Cancer Center, and it has been quite a battle between the former and the current facility to get records.

Just yesterday, through the process of issuing a deposition -- 30(b)(6) deposition notice and subpoena for the other client, we received records from general counsel for West

1 Cancer Center for the other client. And so I responded to him 2 and said, you know, we received the same response for 3 Ms. Rodgers, and I asked him to double check. Because after 4 receiving several "no records" responses for our other client, 5 yesterday we received an inch and a half of records which do 10:40:00 6 contain proof of use. 7 So yesterday afternoon he responded and told me he 8 would look into the issue for Ms. Rodgers as well, so I just ask for some additional time on that issue. 10 THE COURT: I'm going to grant some time on this one. 10:40:12 11 I'm going to grant 30 days. And then we'll just report back in 12 at the next show cause hearing if this is not cured at that time. 13 MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you, Your Honor. And we would 14 ask that it's 30 days on both the photo issues and the proof of 15 use. 10:40:36 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you. 18 THE COURT: Can we take a quick, brief break. 19 MS. FISCHER: Your Honor, I had one question. 20 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. 10:40:45 21 MS. FISCHER: Is it all right if I upload the statement 22 from Ms. Rodgers regarding her efforts to obtain photographs? 23 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. You can upload it and we'll 24 just see what we're going to do with it.

25

10:40:56

OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT

I need to take a five-minute recess. And it might be

1 good news for everybody, because I think I'm being told that the 2 interstate is out so I can't make it to grandparents' day. 3 going to take a brief recess. (A recess was taken.) AFTER THE RECESS 5 10:41:13 6 (Call to order of the court.) 7 THE COURT: I'm sorry to all of you on the phone. was rushing out to try to get to grandparents' day, but it looks 8 9 like the interstate is not cooperating so I won't make it. Let's go to the next one. 10 10:42:40 11 MS. BRILLEAUX: Yes. Your Honor. That is 12 Tavawyaha Batts. And this is with the law firm of -- Cutter Law. 13 No PFS submitted. MS. DOMER: 14 Hi, Your Honor. Jennifer Domer from Cutter 15 Law. 10:42:53 16 THE COURT: Ma'am, what's your last name again? 17 Jennifer what? 18 MS. DOMER: Domer, D-o-m-e-r. 19 THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Domer, please. 20 MS. DOMER: We were just -- we were originally going to 10:43:03 submit a declaration, and then she called us informing us her 21 22 husband had passed away and she's been trying to deal with those 23 matters so she hasn't been able to comply with the PFS, but we 24 told her we would work with her on it. I think if we could get a

little bit more time, I think we would be able to help her.

25

10:43:21

OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT

1 THE COURT: The Court is going to grant you 30 days. 2 MS. DOMER: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Angela Bufford. MS. BRILLEAUX: Yes, Your Honor. This is also no PFS 5 submitted with Cutter Law. 10:43:38 MS. DOMER: Hi. Yes, Your Honor. 6 7 We also submitted this on Friday, 5-17. We received a 8 notice from MDL centrality that it was submitted. And then I 9 double-checked this morning, Your Honor, and it said it was not submitted even though all of the documents were up there. 10 So we 10:43:52 11 went ahead and just hit "submit" again. I'm not really sure what 12 happened. Now we have two notices from MDL centrality. 13 MS. BRILLEAUX: We have the same -- we received notice 14 that they had uploaded it, but on MDL centrality we did not see 15 it. 10:44:09 16 THE COURT: The Court is going to grant seven days for 17 you-all to clear this up one way or the other. 18 MS. BRILLEAUX: And we would ask the plaintiff's 19 counsel to e-mail us copies with the MDL centrality numbers. 20 THE COURT: Thank you. 10:44:20 21 MS. DOMER: Thank you. 22 Then we had Mary Warren. THE COURT: 23 MR. INSOGNA: Also no PFS submitted. 24 MS. DOMER: We also discovered that Ms. Warren had 25 passed away. We filed a suggestion of death on 5-15. We've also 10.44.32

1 prepared a motion to substitute her son, who is a personal 2 representative. He has agreed that he wants to continue on 3 behalf of his mother. We sent that motion to substitute to defense counsel on the 16th, and I believe we'll be filing that 4 5 today, as we have not received an objection, in which case he can 10:44:50 6 go ahead and sign all the authorizations and get that fact sheet 7 submitted. 8 MS. CALLSEN: We advised them no objection. 9 THE COURT: So there has been an advisement of no 10 I'm going to grant you 30 days to comply with the 10:45:03 11 deficiency. 12 MS. DOMER: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 MS. BRILLEAUX: The next case that we have is 14 l Victoria Frye with Seithel Law, LLC. This was no PFS submitted. 15 We received note this morning that they wish to dismiss 10:45:19 16 the case. We would like to obviously move to dismiss it with 17 prejudice, but remind counsel that it would be a lot more 18 efficient to file the dismissals in advance of the hearing. 19 THE COURT: This matter is dismissed with prejudice. 20 MS. BRILLEAUX: Kathrine Willett. No PFS submitted. 10:45:36 21 Also with Seithel. 22 Ms. Seithel, are you on the phone? THE COURT: 23 (No response.) 24 THE COURT: Katherine Willett. 25 MS. SEITHEL: I'm sorry. This is Lynn Seithel for 10:45:51

OFFICIAL TRANSCRI

1 Seithel Law, LLC for Ms. Frye. 2 THE COURT: No -- well, I thought -- that matter has 3 been dismissed with prejudice, right? 4 MS. SEITHEL: Oh, we -- I'm sorry. I had a phone 5 difficulty. I apologize. 10:46:14 6 This case has a duplicate filed case and we're amenable 7 to the Seithel Law, LLC complaint being dismissed, and we'll notice appearance on the prior case filed. 8 9 THE COURT: So that is -- that's Victoria Frye or Katherine Willett? 10 10:46:36 11 MS. SEITHEL: I'm sorry, I thought we were on 12 Victoria Frve. 13 THE COURT: That matter has been dismissed with 14 prejudice, right? 15 MS. BRILLEAUX: As of this morning, yes, Your Honor. 10:46:45 16 THE COURT: Now let's talk about Katherine Willett. 17 MS. BRILLEAUX: No PFS submitted, Your Honor. 18 MS. SEITHEL: We submitted a PFS for -- a complete PFS 19 for Ms. Willett yesterday on MDL centrality, and it is Document ID 363950. 20 10:47:04 21 MS. BRILLEAUX: We would ask that counsel e-mail a copy 22 of the documents uploaded with the reference numbers within seven 23 days. 24 THE COURT: I'm going to grant seven days to defense 25 counsel to review the same. 10:47:18

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

10:48:16

10:47:40

10:48:38

10:49:07

24 25

10:49:31

MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you.

Also with Seithel Law, Mary Meadows. This is undated photographs and no PTO 71A ESI certification.

MS. SEITHEL: And so -- in the *Meadows* case, when Ms. Meadows originally contracted with Seithel Law, she provided us with photographs but she passed away prior to our filing suit. And her children did the probate paperwork, and we submitted the PFS and the photographs that Ms. Meadows had supplied to us.

We have been working on the dates, but the children were unfamiliar with the photograph other than general time I do not have photographs -- I do not have dates of the frame. "before" photograph.

The "after" photograph, I believe we supplied a date, but I can supply a date on that because she submitted that to us. She took those pictures that day and sent them to us.

THE COURT: So I think what I'm hearing is her children can give you general parameters about the dates, but cannot give you a very specific date? Can they give us years?

MS. SEITHEL: I can give a specific -- or a relatively specific date for the "after" photograph because she took a selfie and sent that to my law firm that day. But for the before photographs, they were in a general time period.

THE COURT: What does "general time period" mean? "This looks like it's probably 2012"? Or "this was at a -- I don't know, bat mitzvah or somebody's wedding, and that was

1 sometime in 2011"? Can they do that? Or is it that they just 2 have no idea? 3 MS. SEITHEL: I was asking them more about specific 4 dates, so if we could have 30 days, we can try to narrow that 5 down because I --10:49:53 6 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to grant 30 days, but 7 the other thing is the 71A. Did she have a social media -- I think -- this is what I would suggest, ma'am, is that you talk to liaison counsel, because I think, as to the electronic -- the social media postings, or whatever, and that 71A certification, 10 10:50:18 11 perhaps liaison counsel can help you navigate that area in light 12 of your -- the patient's death. 13 MS. BERG: Your Honor, this is Claire Berg. 14 reach out to her to help her with that. 15 MS. BRILLEAUX: We would ask for 30 days to cure both 10:50:44 16 deficiencies. 17 THE COURT: Sure. 18 MS. BERG: Your Honor, may I go back to 28, 19 Victoria Frye. I just want to make sure that any dismissal will 20 not affect the duplicate case. 10:50:55 21 THE COURT: As I appreciate it, there are two cases, 22 and this would reserve any rights in the duplicate case. 23 MS. BERG: Thank you, Your Honor. 24 MS. BRILLEAUX: Your Honor, the next case that I have 25 is Daisy Lawson with Morris Bart, LLC. This is no proof of use

10:51:09

1 and no "before" photos.

MS. GODSHALL: Good morning, Your Honor. This is Lauren Godshall for Morris Bart.

With Ms. Lawson we did get a text from her sister this morning. We uploaded that to MDL centrality. I brought a copy with me. So we do have a photo before.

As to proof of use, we've been working with University Health Conway. They promised us today, unfortunately, instead of yesterday. They promised us the pharmacy would send records. If I could get seven days, I think I could cure this.

THE COURT: I'm going to grant 15 days so that you have the seven days to cure it and then defense counsel has an opportunity to review that.

Would everyone please put your phone on mute until we call your client.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Your Honor, we would also ask, just consistent with your prior rulings, that we get e-mailed a copy with the document reference once it's uploaded.

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. BRILLEAUX: The next case is Patsy Rice. No "before" photos.

MS. GODSHALL: Your Honor, Lauren Godshall again for Ms. Rice.

We submitted "before" photos. We got a "before" photo sent from her sister as well -- thank goodness for sisters --

10:51:32

7 8

2

3

4

5

6

9

10:51:46 10

11

12 13

14

10:52:06

16 17

15

18

19

20

21

10:52:18

2223

25

24

10:52:29

1 yesterday, and we submitted that to MDL centrality. I'm happy to 2 e-mail that. 3 THE COURT: I'll grant seven days for defendants. 4 MS. BRILLEAUX: I just want to confirm on the record 5 for this case, and also for Ms. Lawson, that these are within 10:52:41 6 five years of treatment. 7 MS. GODSHALL: Yes. 8 MS. BRILLEAUX: Joann Spurlock. No "before" photos. 9 MS. GODSHALL: Ms. Spurlock's son -- again, Lauren Godshall for Ms. Spurlock. 10 10:52:57 11 Ms. Spurlock's son sent us photos. We got several 12 within five years of her treatment. We submitted them to MDL 13 centrality yesterday, and we can e-mail those to liaison counsel and defense counsel. 14 15 THE COURT: The Court is going to grant seven days. 10:53:11 16 MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 And then the last one for Morris Bart is Daisy Ware. 18 This is no PTO 71A certification. 19 MS. GODSHALL: We did get a PTO 71A from her signed. 20 We did upload it yesterday, and I'm happy to again e-mail it to 10:53:26 21 everyone. 22 The Court is going to grant seven days to THE COURT: 23 confirm compliance. 24 MS. GODSHALL: Thank you. 25 MR. INSOGNA: Your Honor, the next case is Marie Lewis 10:53:38

OFFICIAL TRANSCRIP

1 with the Reich and Binstock firm. This is no PFS submitted. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Who do we have? Ms. Ephron, are you 3 on the phone? MS. EPHRON: Yes, Your Honor, this is Melissa Ephron 4 5 for Reich and Binstock. 10:53:54 6 This is a dual rep issue. Ms. Lewis signed with 7 Fears Nachawati. We are fine with --8 I'm having trouble hearing you, ma'am. THE COURT: 9 MS. EPHRON: I apologize. 10 This is a dual rep issue. This client is also 10:54:04 11 represented by Fears Nachawati. We're fine with dismissal of our 12 case so long as that does not affect the filing she has with 13 Fears Nachawati. 14 THE COURT: So this is a dual representation issue and 15 this client is represented by Fears Nachawati? 10:54:21 16 MS. EPHRON: Yes. Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: You are okay with dismissing this case with prejudice as long as her rights in the other case are preserved. 18 19 Is that correct? 20 MS. EPHRON: Yes, Your Honor. 10:54:40 21 THE COURT: This matter is dismissed with prejudice. 22 MS. BRILLEAUX: The next case I have with Reich and 23 Binstock is Doreen Doye. This is no "before" photos and no 24 CMO 12A process has been instituted for the purpose of 25 determining product ID. 10:55:00

MS. EPHRON: Your Honor, we uploaded her PTO 71A --1 2 basically her deficiencies -- most of them with -- all of them 3 have been cured. We uploaded "before" and "after" photos with 4 the photo declaration on 4-3-19. We also made a CMO 12A 5 submission on 3-29-19. 10:55:21 6 MS. BRILLEAUX: Your Honor, we had checked at 5:00 p.m. 7 yesterday. We have no "before" photos and no proof that the 8 CMO 12A process has been initiated. 9 THE COURT: So we have no "before" photos. Now, when did you say you uploaded "before" photos? 10 10:55:37 11 MS. EPHRON: 4-3-19. And I checked MDL centrality this 12 morning and they are there. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MS. BERG: Your Honor, I can set up a meet-and-confer 15 and figure out what the issue is. 10:55:51 16 MS. BRILLEAUX: I appreciate it. If plaintiff's 17 counsel is taking the position that they are there and were 18 uploaded some time ago, we would ask that they just send an 19 e-mail with the document number. And that way, if it's there, we 20 can find it. If it's not, we can work it out. 10:56:05 21 THE COURT: The Court is going to grant seven days for 22 defense counsel to review this. I'm going to ask you, ma'am --

23

24

25

10:56:22

Ms. Ephron, that you send an e-mail today.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Your Honor, the other deficiency, too.

We have no evidence that they have initiated the product ID

————OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT $oldsymbol{\bot}$

OFFICIAL TRANSCRIP

1 determination process under 12A. 2 MS. EPHRON: I can reforward that e-mail as well. was done on 3-29-19. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 5 MS. BRILLEAUX: Counsel, if you could please send that 10:56:35 6 to liaison counsel for the plaintiffs and also defense counsel, 7 and we can take it from there. 8 MS. EPHRON: Okay. 9 MS. BRILLEAUX: That is seven days, Your Honor? Seven days. 10 THE COURT: 10:56:45 11 MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you. 12 The next case I have is Sandra Crump with Summers & 13 Johnson. This is undated photographs. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Summers? 15 MR. JOHNSON: Actually it's Aaron Johnson for the 10:56:56 plaintiff, Your Honor. 16 17 We have cured that deficiency. We've dated the photographs, and I just need to e-mail defense counsel so they 18 19 can confirm that. 20 THE COURT: The Court is going to grant seven days to 10:57:11 21 confirm compliance, but you are going to send the e-mail today, 22 please. 23 MR. JOHNSON: Yes, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: All right. 25 MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you, Your Honor. 10:57:20

10:57:36 10:57:55 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

10:58:12

10:58:33

24

10:58:47

Ruth Fuggins. This is "before" photos are not dated.

MR. JOHNSON: Your Honor, Aaron Johnson again.

The same issue with this client. This is my first experience with the deficiency process, and I did not know that I needed to e-mail defense counsel of the cure. That is all I need to do in this case also.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Your Honor, we did check as of 5:00 yesterday evening, and the deficiency had not been cured as we are able to view it on MDL centrality.

MR. JOHNSON: Perhaps I need some clarification, then. Maybe we can do it off the hearing on how we date these photographs, because we followed what we believe the protocol was. But we can provide the dates.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Johnson, this is what -- I'm going to ask that you contact liaison counsel, Ms. Berg, who is here, and I think she'll be happy to work with you so that you can clarify exactly how that process needs to take place.

But if they are loaded onto MDL centrality and it's a matter with just working with the -- you know, uploading the date, I'm going to grant seven days for this process to work through. And I ask that you send an e-mail today.

Then we have Angela Harris with your firm.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Same issue, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Dating photos?

MS. BRILLEAUX: Correct, "before" photo not dated. We

1 checked last night and it's still not there. This may be a 2 technical issue that we can resolve within seven days. 3 THE COURT: Is that the same issue, Mr. Johnson? 4 MR. JOHNSON: That is, Your Honor. We believe the 5 photographs are there. 10:59:02 6 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to grant seven days. Ιf 7 this is what's happening, it sounds like it is more of a 8 technical problem. 9 MS. BRILLEAUX: Yes, Your Honor. 10 And I'll represent to you, Your Honor, just for 10:59:09 11 expediency, the next three cases, Georgia James, "before" photo 12 not dated; Marilyn Kennedy, "before" photo not dated; and Gale 13 Sheppard, photos are not dated. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Johnson, I'm just going to ask you is 15 your answer the same as to all of these? 10:59:25 16 MR. JOHNSON: Yes, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: I'm going to grant you seven days. 18 get with liaison counsel and send an e-mail today to defense 19 counsel. 20 MR. JOHNSON: Judge, can you tell me liaison counsel's 10:59:36 21 name who was there today? 22 Sure. It's Claire Berg. MS. BERG: 23 THE COURT: Claire Berg. 24 MR. LAMBERT: And this is Palmer Lambert. Claire Berg

25

10:59:51

is an associate at my firm.

1 But for Mr. Johnson, you can e-mail 2 taxotere@bkc-law.com, and that e-mail goes to both Ms. Barrios's 3 office and to my office. 4 MR. JOHNSON: All right. Thank you all. 5 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Johnson. 11:00:11 6 MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you, Your Honor. 7 The next case is with Marc J. Bern & Partners. It is Sharon Anthony. 8 No PFS submitted. 9 MR. KESSLER: Good morning, Your Honor. Gordon Kessler with Marc J. Bern & Partners. 10 11:00:28 11 A plaintiff fact sheet was submitted yesterday. 12 Yesterday afternoon an e-mail was sent to the defense stating as 13 much. 14 THE COURT: Okay. The Court is going to grant seven 15 days for defense counsel to confirm. 11:00:36 16 MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 Yvonne Irvine. Same issue, no PFS submitted. 18 firm. 19 MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, this is a matter that I suppose we should have submitted a declaration on. We have a 20 11:00:49 21 private investigator searching for Ms. Irvine but have not heard 22 back. 23 THE COURT: This matter is dismissed with prejudice. 24 MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you, Your Honor. 25 Elizabeth Reed. No PFS submitted. 11:00:59

1 MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, in the interest of time, the 2 next three plaintiffs, Ms. Reed, Ms. Spates, and Ms. Swan White, 3 have all had plaintiff fact sheets submitted within the last 4 week. We e-mailed defense counsel -- we did not e-mail defense 5 counsel on these. They were uploaded in the last 24 hours. 11:01:18 6 THE COURT: Okay. The Court is going to grant seven 7 days to confirm those. 8 MS. BRILLEAUX: Which cases? 9 THE COURT: That's Elizabeth Reed, Marta Spates, and Karmen Swan White. 10 11:01:30 11 MR. INSOGNA: Your Honor, the next case also with 12 Marc J. Bern & Partners is Shara Troutman. No PFS submitted. 13 MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, this is one we should have submitted a declaration on. 14 15 THE COURT: This matter is dismissed with prejudice. 11:01:45 16 MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 Debra Tucker. No PFS submitted. 18 MR. KESSLER: There is a dual representation issue with 19 this matter. We just got it resolved over the last few days so this case can be dismissed. 20 11:02:00 21 THE COURT: This matter is dismissed with prejudice. 22 MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you, Your Honor. 23 Sheryl Weldon. No PFS submitted. 24 MR. KESSLER: A plaintiff fact sheet was submitted 25 yesterday in this matter as well as the next plaintiff, which is 11:02:11

1 Elizabeth Yancey.

THE COURT: The Court is going to grant seven days on those and request that you send an e-mail.

As to -- let me go back to Ms. Debra Tucker. I understand this is dual representation. I'm going to dismiss this case with prejudice but certainly reserve all rights in the companion case -- or the other case.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you, Your Honor.

Barbara Anderson also with Marc J. Bern & Partners.

This is no authorizations for the release of records, no CMO 12A process initiated, and no PTO 71A certification.

MR. KESSLER: "Before" and "after" photos and an amended plaintiff fact sheet were uploaded on May 17th.

Regarding the authorization, the declaration page, and things that require signatures, Ms. Anderson is blind and it is kind of taking a little bit of time. She told us that she mailed them back. We have not received them yet.

THE COURT: I'm going to grant 30 days. It seems that you might need to visit with her.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you.

Just to revisit the CMO 12A deficiency, we show that plaintiff's counsel has not started that process of determining product ID, and that deficiency also needs to be cured.

THE COURT: Mr. Kessler, have you started that process?

MR. KESSLER: I believe we have, but if it was not

11:02:26

7

8

9

10

11

2

3

4

5

6

11:02:40

12

13

14

15

11:03:00

16 17

18

19

20

22

25

11:03:17

21

23

24

11:03:31

1 2 3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11:03:45

11:04:02

11:04:22

11:04:44

11:04:59

done, it was in error and we'll get on that today.

THE COURT: Thank you. You have 30 days.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. INSOGNA: The next case also with Marc J. Bern is Hope Brownewell. The plaintiff fact sheet is not substantially complete.

The issue was no proof of injury. Some photos were uploaded, I believe yesterday, but the only photos we have are within six months of chemotherapy so we need photos at present day.

MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, the issue here -- we e-mailed defense this morning. I believe it was raised earlier in the hearing. Ms. Brownewell passed away about two months before this case was initiated. We had refiled the case earlier today as a separate survivalship action on behalf of her husband, so we ask defense to dismiss the case without prejudice.

THE COURT: But I think the issue is current photographs. And I understand that she is now deceased, but we need photographs outside of the six-months after she completed chemotherapy.

MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, I request additional time to go through the photos and ask Ms. Brownewell's widower if he does have any photos available additionally or if he has any family members that do.

THE COURT: I'm going to grant 30 days.

OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT

MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you, Your Honor. 1 2 The next case is Carol Flanagan. This is no signed 3 authorizations, no signed PTO 71A certification, and also no 4 CMO 12A process has been initiated by counsel. 5 MR. KESSLER: This is another one we should have 11:05:17 submitted a declaration on, Your Honor. I'm sorry. 6 In the 7 future we will submit them. 8 THE COURT: This matter is dismissed with prejudice. 9 MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 Deena Frazier. No authorizations and no PTO 71A 11:05:26 certification. 11 12 MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, we've made multiple attempts 13 to get the missing documents from Ms. Frazier. She told us she 14 e-mailed them back to us and that she was going to fax them to 15 us, and as of today she said she was going to fax them again. We 11:05:43 16 have not received them. We ask for an additional 15 days to get 17 these documents. 18 THE COURT: I'm going to give you seven days to get the 19 documents and seven days for defense counsel to review. 20 MR. KESSLER: Thank you. 11:05:57 21 MS. BRILLEAUX: Jennifer Jennings also with Marc J. 22 Bern. Counsel has not started the CMO 12A process. 23 THE COURT: That's the same thing for Jennifer 24 Jennings, Romona Jimenez, and Gennell King? 25 MS. BRILLEAUX: Yes, exactly. 11:06:13

1 MR. KESSLER: Yesterday we sent CMO 12A e-mails in for 2 Jennings and King, and on May 17th we sent a CMO 12A e-mail for 3 Ms. Jimenez. MS. BRILLEAUX: Counsel, can you tell me the dates you 5 sent those e-mails? 11:06:36 6 MR. KESSLER: Jennings was yesterday, May 20th. Kina 7 was yesterday, May 20th. And Jimenez was May 17th. 8 Okay. Has anything been uploaded to MS. BRILLEAUX: 9 MDL centrality? 10 MR. KESSLER: I would have to check, but we can upload 11:06:53 11 it. 12 MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you, Counsel. That is the way 13 that we monitor these. So if you are alleging that you cured 14 deficiencies, if you could also upload it to MDL centrality and 15 e-mail defense counsel advising that you have cured. 11:07:06 16 THE COURT: I'm going to order that that be done within 17 seven days, the e-mail and uploading that to MDL centrality. 18 MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you, Your Honor. 19 The last one that we have for Marc J. Bern is 20 Denise Lozada. This is no signed authorizations, no PTO 71A 11:07:24 21 certification, and no CMO 12A process initiated. 22 MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, this is another one we should 23 have submitted a declaration in. We've made multiple attempts to 24 contact the client. 25 THE COURT: Wait. What? 11:07:42

1 MS. BRILLEAUX: Declaration. 2 THE COURT: You had --MR. KESSLER: The declaration of attempt. No defense. THE COURT: This matter is dismissed with prejudice. 5 I believe that's it because I have sent Fears 11:07:57 6 Nachawati --7 MS. BRILLEAUX: Would it be possible to get Fears back 8 on the phone and knock these out or would you rather reset them 9 for another day? 10 Your Honor, we tried to get in contact with MS. BERG: 11:08:10 11 them to get back on the phone and have been unable to reach them 12 so maybe it would just be best to push it to another day. 13 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. We can hang up the phone now. 14 15 And if we can -- you tried, Claire? 11:08:22 16 MS. BERG: Yes. 17 MR. INSOGNA: Your Honor, just to the extent that the 18 Bachus & Schanker and Fears Nachawati plaintiffs -- I believe you 19 heard there were many hundreds before today, and it is now down 20 to 100 and some. To the extent that they have submitted 11:08:40 21 declarations or statements of no defense to dismissal before 22 today's conference, we just want to confirm that they can't 23 retract those. 24 THE COURT: No. 25 MR. INSOGNA: It sounds crazy, Your Honor. 11:08:53

1 MS. CALLSEN: It has happened. 2 MR. INSOGNA: It has happened. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Is Bachus & Schanker -- where did 4 they go? Your Honor, unfortunately we released 5 MS. BARRIOS: 11:09:07 6 them because we thought your time was limited. 7 And I did talk -- I did speak with Chris Elliott about 8 some observations that we had in chambers, and explained the law 9 to him. And so he is hopeful that when he appears the next time before Your Honor -- perhaps telephonically -- to do a show cause 10 11:09:25 11 hearing, that at least half of the cases will be taken care of by 12 using the dismissal and our various forms. 13 MS. BRILLEAUX: Just to confirm, we are not able to get 14 them back to finish up today? 15 They left for the airport. MS. BARRIOS: 11:09:44 16 Let's see if we can --THE COURT: 17 MS. BRILLEAUX: Because we have hearings tomorrow, I 18 wanted to double-check that counsel may be --19 THE COURT: If they are available, I'm available. 20 MS. BRILLEAUX: It would be easier than flying 11:09:55 21 everybody back for another date. 22 THE COURT: Why don't you see if you can get ahold of 23 them. 24 (A pause in the proceedings and then adjournment.) 25

CERTIFICATE I hereby certify this 22nd day of May, 2019, that the foregoing is, to the best of my ability and understanding, a true and correct transcript of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. /s/ Mary V. Thompson Official Court Reporter OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT