13:32:53 1	EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA 2 ***********************************	
2		
3		
4		Docket No. 16-MD-2740 Section "H"
5		New Orleans, Louisiana Wednesday, May 29, 2019
6		
7	[THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ALL CASES] **********************************	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: BARRI	OS KINGSDORF & CASTEIX
13	BY:	DAWN M. BARRIOS, ESQ.
14		Poydras St., Suite 3650 Orleans, LA 70139
15		BBURG BENJAMIN DAVID
16		EER & WARSHAUER M. PALMER LAMBERT, ESQ.
17	1100	CLAIRE E. BERG, ESQ. Poydras St., Suite 2800
18	New C	Orleans, LA 70163
		S NACHAWATI
19		CHARLOTTE GULEWICZ, ESQ. Blair Rd.
20		as, TX 75231 TELEPHONE)
21		·
22		JS & SCHANKER J. CHRISTOPHER ELLIOTT, ESQ.
23		Wynkoop St., #700 er, CO 80202
24		
25		

1 2	FOR SANOFI S.A.:	IRWIN FRITCHIE URQUHART & MOORE BY: KELLY E. BRILLEAUX, ESQ. 400 Poydras St., Suite 2700 New Orleans, LA 70130	
3		SHOOK, HARDY & BACON	
4		BY: KELLY G. BIERI, ESQ. 2555 Grand Blvd.	
5		Kansas, City MO 64108 (BY TELEPHONE)	
6			
7	FOR SANDOZ, A NOVARTIS		
8	DIVISION:	GREENBURG TRAURIG BY: NICHOLAS A. INSOGNA, ESQ. One International Place, Ste. 2000	
9			
10		Boston, MA 02110 (BY TELEPHONE)	
11			
12	FOR ACCORD HEALTHCARE, INC.:	TUCKER ELLIS	
13 14		BY: JULIE A. CALLSEN, ESQ. 950 Main Ave., Suite 1100 Cleveland, OH 44113	
		(BY TELEPHONE)	
15			
16	Official Court Reporter:	Karen A. Ibos, CCR, RPR, CRR, RMR 500 Poydras Street, B-275	
17		New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 (504) 589-7776	
18			
19			
20	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer.		
21	produced by computer.		
22			
23			
24			
25			

PROCEEDINGS 1 (WEDNESDAY, MAY 29, 2019) 2 3 (MOTION TO SHOW CAUSE) 13:10:39 (OPEN COURT.) 13:10:39 5 13:10:39 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Court's in session. You may be 6 7 seated. 13:10:39 MS. BARRIOS: Good afternoon, your Honor. 13:32:54 8 13:32:54 9 THE COURT: Good afternoon. Okay. 13:33:04 10 MS. BERG: Good afternoon, your Honor. 13:33:06 11 THE COURT: Good afternoon. I am just trying to see how 13:33:09 12 many we have from which law firms. We have 18 from Bachus & 13:33:18 13 Schanker and only one or two from Fears? 13:33:22 14 MS. BRILLEAUX: I don't believe so, your Honor. 13:33:26 15 THE COURT: Maybe I have the wrong list. All right. 13:33:34 16 I see that I have 16 from Fears. All right. Let's go with that 13:33:38 17 first. 13:33:39 18 All right. Are we ready to proceed? 13:33:44 19 MS. BRILLEAUX: Yes. Your Honor, just for the record, so 13:33:47 20 we submitted the list to your Honor last night, the list of 13:33:51 21 dismissal statements of no defense to dismissal and then also 13:33:57 22 declarations of attempts. 13:33:58 23 THE COURT: I think I was looking at the wrong list. 13:34:00 24 MS. BRILLEAUX: I think you might have been. 13:34:02 25 So we are going to read those into the record as we have

before. We can just submit the list of dismissed cases to your
Honor.

13:34:08

13:34:11 4

13:34:16 5

13:34:20 6

13:34:26 7

13:34:28 8

13:34:32 9

13:34:36 10

13:34:37 11

13:34:39 12

13:34:44 13

13:34:47 14

13:34:50 15

13:34:52 16

13:34:54 17

13:34:59 18

13:35:04 19

13:35:09 20

13:35:15 21

13:35:17 22

13:35:20 23

13:35:23 24

13:35:27 25

Before we get started, though, I just want to mention, as I'm sure you recall at the hearing last week, Bachus & Schanker had 123 cases on the show cause list for dismissal; Fears Nachawati had 46. Fortuitously for plaintiffs' counsel, none of these cases were addressed at the hearing. Since then, Fears Nachawati has filed two dismissal and six statements; Bachus & Schanker has filed 20 dismissals, 16 declarations, and 4 statements for a total of 40 cases.

It's unclear to defendants why this wasn't done in advance of the May 21st hearing, but we identify on the attached list for your Honor the 22 cases that were dismissed, 16 cases that have declarations submitted, and 10 that have statements of no defense to dismissals.

And just to address briefly for your Honor, as to purported cures, which was not really the intent of defendants, Bachus & Schanker has advised of 55 purported cures since the May 21st conference. We received 35 purported cures on Sunday evening of Memorial Day weekend. Defendants did as much as we could to analyze those and assess those. As to the ones that we received yesterday afternoon, we were not able to look at.

We did our best to reduce the list for your Honor to make this a more efficient process, but when working with cures less than 24 hours before the hearing, we were only able to do so much

as to assess the validity of whether those deficiencies were cured or not.

THE COURT: Okay. And I am going to say it again. We discussed this at the last conference. To be perfectly blunt, these two law firms, Bachus & Schanker and Fears Nachawati, this has been an ongoing problem with failure to promptly cure, and I have no doubt that what I will hear from plaintiffs' counsel in both of these law firms is, well, there was a great deal for us to do.

Very frankly, I am not sure that's my problem. These were Plaintiff Fact Sheets that were submitted blank in most cases and some cases just woefully incomplete. That should be handled on the front end and not on the back end. And so these cures need to be taken care of promptly. There are deadlines in place that have not been recognized. Additionally, the declarations that are part and parcel of this process are to hopefully expedite this hearing. I expect this not to be an ongoing issue, and we are here today only because of failure to comply with the process that's in place.

So with that, let's proceed.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: If you're on the telephone, please put it on mute until we ask you to because it becomes very noisy.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you, your Honor. We can give to the court reporter the list of dismissals. I think at the last hearing we also handed over the list of statements and the

13:35:35 2

13:35:32

1

13:35:41 4

13:35:36

13:35:48 5

13:35:54 6

13:36:01 7

13:36:05 8

13:36:10 9

13:36:11 10

13:36:16 11

13:36:21 12

13:36:28 13

13:36:34 14

13:36:38 15

13:36:44 16

13:36:50 17

13:36:56 18

13:37:01 19

13:37:03 20

13:37:09 21

13:37:13 22

13:37:20 23

13:37:23 24

13:37:27 25

declarations, we can do that again if your Honor's okay with that.

THE COURT: Let's proceed in that manner.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you, your Honor.

And just to continue on my point before, it's really come to defendant's attention that plaintiffs are waiting until the absolute last minute to do this, we were still getting dismissals this morning for today's hearing; and defendants do believe that there should be some sort of consequences for plaintiff's continued failure to address the notice deficiencies with the deadlines imposed by the Court and by the agreement of counsel.

Thank you, your Honor.

Okay. The first case that we have for Fears Nachawati is Josephine Armstrong, no PFS submitted.

THE COURT: Ma'am, you're going to have to tell me how to pronounce your name. Are you on the phone?

MS. GULEWICZ: Yes, this is Charlotte Gulewicz -- I'm sorry, I'm having trouble hearing.

THE COURT: How do you pronounce your last name, ma'am?

MS. GULEWICZ: Gulewicz.

THE COURT: Gulewicz.

MS. GULEWICZ: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am. All right.

Ms. Josephine Armstrong, I see no PFS submitted.

MS. GULEWICZ: Yes. Your Honor, I see that we submitted the --

13:38:22 13

13:38:27 14

13:37:47

13:37:48

13:37:51

13:37:55

13:37:58

13:38:01 8

13:38:04 9

13:38:08 10

13:38:11 11

13:38:16 12

5

7

13:38:29 **15** 13:38:34 **16**

13:38:39 17

13:38:41 18

13:38:41 19

13:38:41 20

13:38:41 21

13:38:54 22

13:38:54 23

13:38:58 24

13:39:00 25

13:39:00 1 THE COURT: When?

(WHEREUPON, THE CONFERENCE CALL WAS DISCONNECTED.)

THE COURT: Ms. Gulewicz, can you tell me when you submitted this plaintiff fact sheet? Hello?

MS. GULEWICZ: I'm sorry, I missed the conversation.

THE COURT: We were talking about Josephine Armstrong and there is no plaintiff fact sheet submitted. Can you tell me when that was submitted?

MS. GULEWICZ: Oh, yes, your Honor. It was submitted May the 17th.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Your Honor, we do have a Plaintiff Fact Sheet, almost completely blank Plaintiff Fact Sheet. I have a copy of it for your Honor to review. And, your Honor, if you take a look at the Plaintiff Fact Sheet that was submitted, it's virtually blank, it doesn't include anything with regard to cancer treatment or diagnosis, there's no claim information, no information about hair loss. I mean, if you flip through it, it is basically just a shell blank Plaintiff Fact Sheet.

THE COURT: Ms. Gulewicz, submitting a blank Plaintiff Fact Sheet is not what we're looking for, and it is -- I mean, I see we filled out information that she was married and has an address.

MS. BERG: Your Honor, we don't have a copy, but is it substantially complete or is it --

MS. BARRIOS: Substantially complete would be proof that

13:41:13 22

13:40:01 2

13:40:01 3

13:40:04 4

13:40:07 5

13:40:09 6

13:40:12 7

13:40:15 8

13:40:17 9

13:40:18 10

13:40:21 11

13:40:26 12

13:40:29 13

13:40:35 14

13:40:39 15

13:40:45 16

13:40:48 17

13:40:52 18

13:40:57 19

13:41:02 20

13:41:05 21

13:41:16 23

13:41:21 24

13:41:23 25

she took docetaxel, the injury which would be photographs, and she would have signed the back of the form.

THE COURT: I am going to let you see this. It's virtually empty.

MS. BRILLEAUX: I'm sorry for not bringing more copies, but we were obviously --

THE COURT: We have page 23 requests -- why don't you show this. Ms. Gulewicz.

MS. GULEWICZ: Yes, your Honor. We submitted what the client gave us, you know. Our clients often sometimes leave stuff blank, they don't read something or they forget to flip to the back page. Like I said, we submitted what we could. But if we can get time, we can certainly cure all of the issues that we have here. But we submitted what the client gave us, and the client tries to be compliant but sometimes they just miss things.

THE COURT: Do you all look at these at all before you submit them?

MS. GULEWICZ: Yes, your Honor, we do.

MS. BRILLEAUX: And, your Honor, it's defendant's position that, and we've addressed this before, that plaintiffs' counsel is effectively filing nearly blank PFSs to avoid dismissal at these kinds of hearings and they don't have any substance that we can use to proceed with the claims.

THE COURT: Does liaison counsel have anything that they would like to?

13:41:36 13:41:42 4 13:41:43 5 13:41:46 6 13:41:48 7 13:42:02 8 13:42:08 9 13:42:12 10 13:42:19 11 13:42:24 12 13:42:28 13 13:42:36 14 13:42:39 15 13:42:41 16 13:42:43 17 13:42:46 18 13:42:49 19 13:42:50 20

13:42:56 21

13:43:00 22

13:43:04 23

13:43:06 24

13:43:09 25

MS. BARRIOS: Yes, ma'am, I would like to look at it. I
did notice that she had checked that she was treated with name
brand Taxotere, so that would have been her proof of use.

MS. BRILLEAUX: I did see that.

MS. BARRIOS: And then I am not aware of if she has photographs. I'm sure if she didn't have photographs Ms. Brilleaux would tell us that. If she signed the verification, the declaration, which actually, I do not see signed on page 25.

MS. BRILLEAUX: It's my understanding, your Honor, that this is the only thing that has been submitted, that we do not have photographs, that we don't have authorizations. I mean, we essentially just have this document, which is, as you can see, a di minimus attempt at submitting a Plaintiff Fact Sheet for the purposes of avoiding dismissal at this hearing.

MS. BARRIOS: Your Honor, I just would like to add. I know from my office that many times the verification is uploaded separately. Ms. Gulewicz, did you upload a separate verification or declaration, that would be page 25, of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet?

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MS}}.$ GULEWICZ: Yes, we uploaded a separate verification and photos.

MS. BARRIOS: Did you upload authorizations?

MS. GULEWICZ: Yes, authorizations.

THE COURT: When were those uploaded?

MS. GULEWICZ: The authorizations were uploaded on the 18th as well as the photographs.

13:43:27 6 7 13:43:32 13:43:37 8 13:43:43 9 13:43:46 10 13:43:48 11 13:43:52 12 13:43:57 13 13:44:00 14 13:44:04 15 13:44:06 16 13:44:09 17 13:44:15 18 13:44:20 19 13:44:22 20

13:44:24 21

13:44:28 22

13:44:31 23

13:44:36 24

13:44:39 25

13:43:23

THE COURT: Do we have any --

MS. BARRIOS: Your Honor, liaison counsel will take this over and work with her and work with Ms. Brilleaux to iron it out.

THE COURT: I think because there are too many unanswered questions, I don't know what has been uploaded. I am going to pass this to the next hearing.

MS. BRILLEAUX: And, your Honor, just to let you know, we have several on the list that are like this, this is not the only one.

THE COURT: Well, do we know if the other ones uploaded photos and authorizations and those different things?

MS. BRILLEAUX: I would appreciate for plaintiffs' counsel, because basically what defendants are looking at is, as you've seen, almost a completely blank PFS. So when defendants are looking at what the deficiencies are, really none of the questions in the PFS have been answered. So even if we have photographs, we're still not getting answers to what's the heart of the case, which is the Plaintiff Fact Sheet.

THE COURT: Right. And I understand that, but I think if they've sent you authorizations to get medical records and that sort of thing --

MS. BRILLEAUX: Right. Your Honor, I do understand your question. I don't have broken down for me what exactly has not been submitted and what has, I just have the copies of the PFSs, which as you've seen, are basically blank. And I understand, you

 13:44:51
 3

 13:44:54
 4

 13:44:57
 5

 13:45:05
 6

 13:45:10
 8

 13:45:12
 9

 13:45:13
 10

 13:45:16
 11

 13:45:20
 12

13:44:42 1

13:44:48 2

13:45:22 13 13:45:25 14 13:45:29 15

13:45:37 **17**13:45:42 **18**

13:45:34 16

13:45:43 19 13:45:45 20

13:45:47 21

13:45:55 23

13:45:52 22

13:45:58 24

13:46:03 25

know, submitting authorizations, but if we don't have the most basic questions from the PFS answered, we don't know how we can proceed with the case and we think it should be dismissed.

THE COURT: Okay.

13:46:18

13:46:19

13:46:21 6

13:46:22 7

13:46:25 8

13:46:29 9

13:46:33 10

13:46:38 11

13:46:42 12

13:46:45 13

13:46:50 14

13:46:56 15

13:47:01 16

13:47:06 17

13:47:09 18

13:47:09 19

13:47:10 20

13:47:14 21

13:47:18 22

13:47:23 23

13:47:29 24

13:47:36 25

MS. BARRIOS: Your Honor, again, Dawn Barrios, I'm sorry to interrupt.

But it was our working understanding with all of the defendants that the deficiencies that would be brought would be only for substantial completeness. And what I understand Ms. Brilleaux's arguing now is for other deficiencies that may be there on the Plaintiff Fact Sheet but do not render it substantially incomplete.

THE COURT: I understand that, Ms. Barrios. It is a bit disconcerting, though, to see that there is nothing -- I think there needs to be more of an attempt to provide information as to where treatment was rendered, by whom, and the dates so that appropriate -- when you get a release that you can do something with it.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: But again, I am not -- I don't know photographs -- what I am hearing is medical authorizations were uploaded, photographs were uploaded. I am going to pass this one and next month if we've not made some progress in learning where treatment was rendered, by whom, when, that's going to become problematic.

MS. BARRIOS: I understand, your Honor, and I'll work
with both of the firms to see if we can have this resolved totally
by next status conference.

THE COURT: Thank you. Let's go to Renee Dilorenzo. We have both Sanofi and 505s. Who is going to handle that?

MR. INSOGNA: Yes, your Honor, this is Nick Insogna for the 505 defendants. I'll handle some of these joint cases, if that's all right with the Court.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

MR. INSOGNA: Are you all able to hear me?

THE COURT: I am.

MR. INSOGNA: Okay. This is a similar situation with Ms. Dilorenzo where on May 8th she submitted a Plaintiff Fact Sheet that's almost entirely blank after Section I.

I would just point out to your Honor, and this one doesn't even have the plaintiff's address in it, we don't have treatment dates, none of the information that goes into cancer staging, we don't have the medical history, list of medical providers. And the reason that I think this is such a concern for the defendants is it doesn't allow us enough information to even do analysis for bellwether selection.

And so where we have these cases where it's submitted after a show cause hearing, it's going to be three months later than we were entitled to before we have this information to even work these up for bellwether selection.

13:47:54 6
13:47:56 7
13:48:00 8
13:48:01 9
13:48:03 10

13:47:44 4

5

13:47:50

13:48:05 12

13:48:08 13

13:48:04 11

13:48:13 14

13:48:23 16

13:48:16 15

13:48:25 17

13:48:28 18

13:48:31 19

13:48:35 20

13:48:40 21

13:48:42 22

13:48:46 23

13:48:51 24

13:48:55 25

THE COURT: Well --13:48:59 1 MS. GULEWICZ: Your Honor --13:48:59 2 THE COURT: Ms. Gulewicz, I am listening. 13:49:02 .3 MS. GULEWICZ: For this point it was a clerical error. 13:49:06 It looked like we did upload a blank Plaintiff Fact Sheet on May 13:49:09 5 13:49:13 6 the 8th, but it was simply an error of selecting the wrong document when uploading into MDL Centrality. And we didn't know that this 7 13:49:17 was blank until I spoke with defense counsel at the phone call that 13:49:25 8 13:49:30 9 we had last week and they let me know that this was blank. And at that point I realized it was a clerical error and I cured it. 13:49:34 10 13:49:39 11 apologize for that. It was not on purpose, it was certainly a 13:49:45 12 mistake. 13:49:48 13 MR. INSOGNA: Can I just clarify? Is counsel telling us 13:49:51 14 that an amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet has been uploaded now? 13:49:56 15 MS. GULEWICZ: Yes. 13:49:57 16 MR. INSOGNA: And when was that done? 13:50:00 17 MS. GULEWICZ: 5/28. 13:50:04 18 MR. INSOGNA: Your Honor, I think we just need some time 13:50:07 19 then to establish yesterday's submission. 13:50:07 20 THE COURT: Mr. Insogna, I am going to grant seven days for you to verify. 13:50:11 21 13:50:13 22 Thank you, your Honor. MR. INSOGNA: 13:50:14 23 MS. GULEWICZ: Thank you. 13:50:15 24 THE COURT: Twanda Dunbr. MR. INSOGNA: Your Honor, this is another Sanofi and 505 13:50:19 25

case. We have a similar situation. We don't have proof of use or proof of injury submitted. We also don't have plaintiff's prior medical history, cancer markers, chemo treatment dates, hair loss or hair treatment history, medical providers, prior medications, you know, basics like height, weight, smoking history.

THE COURT: There is no proof of use?

MR. INSOGNA: Correct. Just to be clear, your Honor, the deficiency notice was failure to submit a PFS. You asked previously whether some of the other ancillary documents have been submitted and in this case they have not been. We do have a declaration and authorizations.

MS. GULEWICZ: For this one the client has been unresponsive. The only thing she submitted was a substantially complete Plaintiff Fact Sheet. We submitted to the Court what we thought was right to submit when the client submitted it over to us, and we are requesting more time to get the documents because the client has been hard to contact. And like I said, the only thing she's given us is this substantially complete Plaintiff Fact Sheet and the authorizations.

THE COURT: I have to go back and look at the record.

MR. INSOGNA: Your Honor, I believe Ms. Brilleaux has a copy of this fact sheet if it would help you to see.

THE COURT: Right. So what I am showing is noncompliance, there's no Plaintiff Fact Sheet, and there is some information on here. She has not indicated -- there's no proof of

 13:50:21
 1

 13:50:28
 2

 13:50:31
 3

 13:50:36
 4

 13:50:41
 5

 13:50:45
 6

 13:50:49
 7

 13:50:51
 8

 13:50:57
 9

 13:51:00
 10

 13:51:05
 11

 13:51:12
 13

 13:51:12
 13

 13:51:16
 14

13:51:12 13
13:51:16 14
13:51:20 15
13:51:25 16
13:51:33 17
13:51:39 18
13:51:44 19
13:51:47 20

13:51:50 21

13:51:52 22

13:51:57 24

13:51:55 23

13:52:01 25

use. On page 6, it's just unknown. Wait a minute. No, that's not -- I don't go through these as quickly as you do. Dawn, come up here. Product ID is missing. Where is proof of use?

13:52:37 4

13:52:40 5

13:52:43 6

13:52:52 7

13:52:57 8

13:53:03 9

13:53:06 10

13:53:10 11

13:53:15 12

13:53:21 13

13:53:26 14

13:53:29 15

13:53:33 16

13:53:37 17

13:53:41 18

13:53:44 19

13:53:45 20

13:53:50 21

13:53:54 22

13:53:59 23

13:54:04 24

13:54:07 25

MR. INSOGNA: Your Honor, typically proof of use is submitted as a separate medical record that reflects chemotherapy with Taxotere.

THE COURT: I guess I am confused. Are you telling me that there's no medical records are attached, is that the concern, or that medical authorizations have not been attached?

MR. INSOGNA: Typically, your Honor, what we receive is separate submissions that reflect proof of use, photographs, proof of injury, authorizations, the declaration, and then the Plaintiff Fact Sheets. Originally this case was noticed for absence of any Plaintiff Fact Sheet. Subsequently, on May 21st plaintiff provided the fact sheet that you have, which we think does not have even enough factual information to allow bellwether analysis. We have received a signed declaration and authorization, we have not received any proof of use documentation or proof of injury documentation.

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Gulewicz, I am looking and I am having to go through this Plaintiff Fact Sheet. What she says is she didn't know if she was treated with any of these, and there are no records indicating she was treated with docetaxel. So what information do you have that this lady actually treated with Taxotere or docetaxel?

MS. GULEWICZ: We filed this lawsuit just to make sure we didn't miss any statute of limitations. We don't have proof of use documentation. And because the client was missing for so long, we didn't have the proper authorization until recently. We have submitted a request but we don't have records back as of today, so I haven't been able to get those records.

MS. BRILLEAUX: And, your Honor, also just on behalf of both defendants, there's also a great deal missing. I mean, there's no information about the cancer treatment or diagnosis.

THE COURT: All right. I guess what I am asking, ma'am, are you telling me you're filing lawsuits with no idea if your client took the drug? Ma'am?

MS. GULEWICZ: We believe she did. She did --

THE COURT: Based on what?

13:54:40 7

13:54:42 8

13:54:46 9

13:54:49 10

13:54:52 11

13:54:56 12

13:55:02 13

13:55:05 14

13:55:06 15

13:55:08 16

13:55:12 17

13:55:13 18

13:55:18 19

13:55:22 20

13:55:28 21

13:55:30 22

13:55:35 23

13:55:39 24

13:55:43 25

MS. GULEWICZ: -- but we don't have any medical records showing, so we're in the process of obtaining that. But she's been very difficult to get a hold of.

THE COURT: Ma'am, based on what? Do you believe because she fills out? I don't know.

MS. GULEWICZ: Right.

MR. ELLIOTT: Your Honor, from my experience, when they call the firm, they think they took Taxotere. We're not going to sign it up unless they represent to us that they took it. So the client representing to the lawyer that they took Taxotere is, you know, should be some proof.

THE COURT: Well, you would think that they might check that off.

MR. ELLIOTT: I agree with you.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, this case -- Mr. Lambert, do you have something to contribute?

MR. LAMBERT: I'm sorry, your Honor. Palmer Lambert, co-liaison counsel for plaintiffs.

I understand Ms. Brilleaux's frustration and the Court's frustration, but I believe we're trying to take two steps at one time. And I am not aware whether this is a Fifth Circuit case or not if it's even eligible for bellwether treatment, but if the deficiency is no PFS submitted, if they make some effort to submit a PFS, then there's got to be a second deficiency issued by the defendants. And I understand Mr. Insogna's and Ms. Brilleaux's frustration with that. That's the process that we have in place.

If the Court wants us to discuss with defendants liaison counsel a more expedited process to deal with fact sheets that are not substantially complete submitted in response to a deficiency, then maybe we can talk about that. But I do -- these appear to have been filed on December of last year.

THE COURT: Oh, I agree, Mr. Lambert. I understand that they were filed in December of last year and would generally give them some time. But when you have a Plaintiff Fact Sheet that says, "I don't know." I don't know if I took -- even if the plaintiff said, "it is my belief that I took Taxotere," fine. Then

13:55:46 1 13:55:49 2 13:55:50 13:55:55 4 13:56:00 5 13:56:01 6 13:56:06 7 13:56:08 8 13:56:11 9 13:56:15 10 13:56:19 11 13:56:23 12 13:56:29 13 13:56:33 14 13:56:39 15 13:56:42 16 13:56:46 17 13:56:52 18 13:56:57 19 13:57:04 20 13:57:12 21 13:57:14 22 13:57:17 23

13:57:20 24

13:57:26 25

run down the records. But to just say unknown.

Is there an argument you want to make about that?

MR. LAMBERT: Your Honor, I am making a general statement about the process --

THE COURT: Right, right.

MR. LAMBERT: -- that's in place for deficiencies. I am not defending this particular --

THE COURT: I agree. I agree. The deficiency was no Plaintiff Fact Sheet submitted, no Plaintiff Fact Sheet has been submitted. And one has been submitted, it's just doesn't -- and I think, Ms. Brilleaux, we've been doing this every month for some time, and we have treated these differently, it's plaintiff substantially completed, this is what's missing and we give them an opportunity to cure it.

And just so I can tell you the frustration from the Court's point of view is, then I am looking at what has been submitted and it's not much benefit to anybody.

But Ms. Gulewicz, I am going to give you about 15 days to determine, show some proof of use and the photographs. And that's on Ms. Dunbar's case.

MS. GULEWICZ: Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. INSOGNA: Your Honor, if I may. There will be a number of cases that are like this with the Fears Nachawati firm so that may expedite things slightly. And I understand what the Court

13:58:10 13
13:58:13 14
13:58:14 15
13:58:17 16

13:57:30 1

13:57:36 2

13:57:39

13:57:42 4

13:57:44 6

13:57:47 7

13:57:50 8

13:57:53 9

13:57:55 10

13:58:01 11

13:58:06 12

13:57:43

13:58:21 **17**13:58:30 **18**

13:58:37 19

13:58:44 20

13:58:49 22

13:58:47 21

13:58:51 23

13:58:54 24

13:59:00 25

is saying that typically it would need a deficiency notice to deal
with these types of issues. Our concern is when the plaintiff
submits this incomplete fact sheet at or after the hearing, it sets
the process back.

THE COURT: Oh, I agree. I agree. But we're going to have this conversation a little bit later. Let me get through this list, but I think -- I will tell you my guess is, Ms. Gulewicz, and I know Mr. Elliott, unless you have no ability to read body language, or hear frustration, I am about done. And so everybody's got to up their game.

And frankly, these two law firms have been problematic. And so it is my sincere hope that next time I am not having to go through this empty blank Plaintiff Fact Sheets, that while they have been submitted, provide no information, that you work diligently to cure these ongoing problems.

Okay. Let's get to Linda Hall.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Yes, your Honor. For Linda Hall this was another no PFS submitted, and we did get a mostly blank one later. There was a discrepancy with plaintiffs' counsel about plaintiff apparently being incapacitated and being unable to fill it out and then later we got a half blank one.

THE COURT: Do you have proof of use?

MS. BRILLEAUX: So, your Honor, I don't think here we have made a separate determination of what else is lacking because of the half blank PFS. Defendants took the position that this is

 13:59:11
 3

 13:59:18
 4

 13:59:19
 5

 13:59:26
 6

 13:59:30
 7

 13:59:35
 8

13:59:52 11

13:59:39 9

13:59:49 10

14:00:00 12 14:00:05 13

14:00:09 14

14:00:15 15

14:00:18 16

14:00:21 17

14:00:25 18

14:00:33 19

14:00:37 20

14:00:43 21

14:00:45 22

14:00:47 23

14:00:51 24

14:00:54 25

not a complete PFS, it doesn't answer the questions, so we did not do a separate look at those specific aspects.

THE COURT: Ms. Gulewicz.

MS. GULEWICZ: Yes, your Honor. This is a client who is incapacitated. Her daughter is filing for guardianship and has a guardianship hearing on June the 13th. So her daughter filled out the Plaintiff Fact Sheet that we submitted. We submitted something so that the Court could see that we are attempting to comply with the obligations, but we are dealing with the daughter of the injured.

And like I said, she's incapacitated so we're doing what we can to make sure we're compliant, but we do need more time, specifically because the daughter won't have the guardianship hearing until June the 13th in order to --

THE COURT: I am going to give you until July 13th, that's a month after the guardianship hearing.

Kim Hatchew.

MS. GULEWICZ: Kim Hatchew is deceased, she passed away on the April 21st, so we are dealing with her husband to try to move forward with the case.

THE COURT: Okay. I am going to continue this one for 60 days.

Angela Hicks.

MR. INSOGNA: Yes, your Honor. This is a similar situation where we received a substantially blank or largely blank

14:01:43 12

14:01:07

14:01:09

14:01:14

14:01:19 6

14:01:24 7

14:01:29 8

14:01:34 9

14:01:38 10

14:01:39 11

5

14:01:51 14

14:01:47 13

14:01:53 15

14:01:59 16

14:02:08 17

14:02:11 18

14:02:15 19

14:02:21 20

14:02:24 21

14:02:26 22

14:02:28 23

14:02:32 24

14:02:33 25

Plaintiff Fact Sheet on May 20th. So understanding the court's 14:02:39 1 position, we would just ask that a substantially complete Plaintiff 14:02:41 2 Fact Sheet be unloaded in 15 days or whatever your Honor thinks is 14:02:46 .3 14:02:50 appropriate. THE COURT: Ms. Gulewicz, is there something 14:02:50 extraordinary about this particular plaintiff? 14:02:53 6 7 MS. GULEWICZ: No, your Honor. 14:02:55 14:02:57 8 THE COURT: Fifteen days. 14:03:01 9 Katherine Johnson. MS. BRILLEAUX: This is no before photos within five 14:03:02 10 14:03:07 11 years of treatment. 14:03:08 12 THE COURT: Ms. Gulewicz. 14:03:11 13 MS. GULEWICZ: Before photos were submitted on the 21st. 14:03:15 14 MS. BRILLEAUX: Your Honor, it's for no before photos 14:03:19 15 from within five years of treatment. 14:03:21 16 THE COURT: Are they within five years of treatment? 14:03:26 17 MS. GULEWICZ: Five years, let me see. So the treatment 14:03:28 18 was from 2001 to 2008, and the photos -- I have one photo from 2001 14:03:38 19 submitted and that's within the five years. 14:03:43 20 MS. BRILLEAUX: Your Honor --THE COURT: I am going to give you seven days to confirm. 14:03:45 21 14:03:48 22 MS. BRILLEAUX: And, your Honor, just to confirm with 14:03:51 23 these time periods, it's defendant's understanding that if these 14:03:55 24 are not cured, they're ripe for dismissal. 14:03:57 25 THE COURT: That's correct.

14:03:57 1 MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you. THE COURT: Shirley Johnson. 14:04:00 2 MR. INSOGNA: Yes, your Honor. This is another where we 14:04:02 received a substantially blank Plaintiff Fact Sheet on May 21st, so 14:04:07 4 we would ask for that to be completed within 15 days. 14:04:09 5 14:04:13 6 THE COURT: Is there anything out of the ordinary with Ms. Johnson? 14:04:16 7 MS. GULEWICZ: No, your Honor. 14:04:19 8 14:04:20 9 THE COURT: All right. 15 days. 14:04:24 10 Carla Lee. 14:04:25 11 MS. BRILLEAUX: Yes, your Honor. These are before photos 14:04:27 12 that are not dated. 14:04:35 13 MS. GULEWICZ: The before photographs, I have a date of a before photograph from December of 2009 submitted. 14:04:40 14 THE COURT: When did she receive treatment? 14:04:47 15 14:04:49 16 MS. GULEWICZ: 2010. 14:04:56 17 MS. BRILLEAUX: Counsel, did you upload the date to MDL 14:05:00 18 Centrality? We're seeing the photo but they're not dated on MDL 14:05:05 19 Centrality.

14:05:05 20

14:05:11 21

14:05:15 22

14:05:20 23

14:05:24 24

14:05:28 25

MS. GULEWICZ: MDL Centrality -- we uploaded -- it looks like there was a before photo that wasn't dated submitted the day of, but we cured that deficiency earlier today. We apologize for that misunderstanding -- it just looks like -- when we received your notice this morning that it wasn't dated, we went ahead and dated it.

14:05:29 1 THE COURT: Okay. Seven days for you to determine.

MS. BERG: We'll work with counsel to make sure it's on MDL Centrality.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Berg. Stephanie Mullery.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Yes, your Honor. This is no after photos. And I actually have a photo, which I can put up on the ELMO just to save time. It's just plaintiff with a cap on, so it's not an after photo that we feel like is representative of plaintiff's current level of hair regrowth.

THE COURT: Ms. Gulewicz.

MS. GULEWICZ: It looks like we submitted after photos on the 20th.

THE COURT: They've showed me the photo and it looks like a headband that's basically covering her hair. How many did you submit?

MS. GULEWICZ: We submitted one after photo.

THE COURT: All right. I think you need an after photo with her hair where you can actually see her hair. So I am going to give you 15 days to cure that, but it's not appropriate to have a photo with some sort of covering on her hair.

MS. GULEWICZ: Okay, your Honor.

THE COURT: Nancia Pantoja.

MR. INSOGNA: Yes, your Honor, Nancia Pantoja. This case is another where we have a Plaintiff Fact Sheet that's largely incomplete. We don't have a date of diagnosis, cancer markers,

14:06:28 17

14:05:33 2

14:05:37

14:05:39

14:05:43

14:05:45 6

14:05:49 7

14:05:58 8

14:06:02 9

14:06:06 10

14:06:09 11

14:06:11 12

14:06:13 13

14:06:18 14

14:06:23 15

14:06:24 16

14:06:30 18

14:06:35 19

14:06:41 20

14:06:47 21

14:06:50 22

14:06:58 23

14:07:00 24

14:07:02 25

treatment date, number and frequency of cycles, chemo medication,
prescribing physician or facility, any of that critical information
to develop an analysis.

THE COURT: Ms. Gulewicz.

MS. GULEWICZ: Yes, your Honor. This is one of those Plaintiff Fact Sheet that we submitted which the plaintiff gave us photographs, authorizations, we did our best to submit what we could. And because the deficiency is no PFS, we just ask for 15 days so we can submit a substantially complete.

THE COURT: I am going to grant 15 days.

Zelma Redden.

MR. INSOGNA: Yes, your Honor. Zelma Redden, we received -- actually, this is a case where received a Plaintiff Fact Sheet on May 7th, but then it appears earlier today that the case was dismissed because the plaintiff was actually treated Taxol and not Taxotere.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. INSOGNA: So I think we just need to confirm the dismissal on this case.

MS. GULEWICZ: It's confirmed. I realized that I didn't dismiss it earlier and I went ahead and dismissed it.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Daphne Sherlock.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Yes, your Honor. No before photos from within five years of treatment.

THE COURT: Ms. Gulewicz.

14:08:02 16 14:08:04 17

14:07:21

14:07:22

14:07:24

14:07:27 7

14:07:32 8

14:07:36 9

14:07:39 10

14:07:43 11

14:07:46 12

14:07:49 13

14:07:53 14

14:07:58 15

6

14:08:09 19

14:08:06 18

14:08:09 20 14:08:13 21

14:08:16 22

14:08:22 23

14:08:25 24

14:08:26 25

MS. GULEWICZ: This is one where the plaintiff has had a
hard time finding photos within five years. We're just asking your
Honor for additional time so we can ask the friends and family
members and try to exhaust all avenues so we can get those before

THE COURT: You know this has been filed for every a year? It was filed in April of 2018. I am going to give you seven days, but that's -- there should have been ample opportunity to cure this deficiency.

Wanda Vaughan.

photos.

14:08:45 5

14:08:47 6

14:08:49 7

14:08:55 8

14:09:01 9

14:09:04 10

14:09:07 11

14:09:10 12

14:09:16 13

14:09:19 14

14:09:24 15

14:09:29 16

14:09:29 17

14:09:34 18

14:09:37 19

14:09:46 20

MS. BRILLEAUX: Yes, your Honor. This was another substantially incomplete PFS. We received a PFS on May 13 for a plaintiff with this name but it doesn't identify the case number or the filing date, so defendants can't confirm whether it's actually the appropriate plaintiff. And the PFS is also nearly entirely blank.

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Gulewicz.

MS. GULEWICZ: Well, the deficiency was no PFS submitted, so we took the stance that we did submit a Plaintiff Fact Sheet.

We can go back in and cure the issues, but we just needed to show --

THE COURT: I'm going to give you 15 days.

MS. BRILLEAUX: And that's for the complete Plaintiff Fact Sheet, your Honor?

THE COURT: Substantially complete. I mean, there may be

14:09:51 21

14:09:51 22

14:09:56 23

14:09:58 24

14:09:59 25

some things that you can't have. 14:10:01 1 14:10:05 2 MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: Candace Williams. 14:10:05 3 MS. BRILLEAUX: Sorry. Candace Williams, no PFS. 14:10:06 4 THE COURT: Ms. Gulewicz. 14:10:11 5 14:10:13 6 MS. GULEWICZ: For Candace Williams? 14:10:17 7 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. MS. GULEWICZ: I see that we did submit a Plaintiff Fact 14:10:18 8 14:10:23 9 Sheet on May the 14th for Candace Williams. 14:10:28 10 THE COURT: I am going to grant seven days for defense to 14:10:31 11 confirm. 14:10:32 12 MS. BRILLEAUX: Your Honor, I'm just looking at my notes 14:10:34 13 on this one. We have a PFS that doesn't identify the case number or filing date. We would request that that be cured within 14:10:38 14 14:10:42 15 24 hours. 14:10:49 16 THE COURT: Well, you have the case number here on my 14:10:51 17 list, so I'm curious. 14:10:53 18 MS. BRILLEAUX: The PFS has been uploaded for a plaintiff 14:10:56 19 with this name but it doesn't have any of the identifying 14:11:00 20 information so that we can verify that it is assigned to the correct plaintiff. 14:11:03 21 14:11:03 22 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Look, just within seven 14:11:08 23 days cure that information, that is the docket number and -- what 14:11:16 24 else was it? The date the complaint was filed? 14:11:19 25 MS. BRILLEAUX: It has neither the case number or the

14:11:21 1 | filing date of the case.

THE COURT: Okay. Make sure that's done, Ms. Gulewicz, within 24 hours. I am going to give defendant seven days to determine if it's been cured.

And then we have Patricia Zarcone.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Yes, your Honor. This is after photos that are not dated and then no initiation of the CMO 12A process.

MS. GULEWICZ: Yes, your Honor. This is a case where the client has passed away and we're dealing with her husband. She died December 7th and we recently got in contact with him last month, and he's doing his best to try to work with us on this case.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to give you 60 days on that one.

Ms. Gulewicz, I think we've completed the Fears Nachawati listing, so you certainly can get off the line. Let me just again caution you that when there's no PFS submitted, it's not enough to put a name on a piece of paper and file it and say, well, now a PFS has been submitted. There's got to be some effort made to complete the Plaintiff Fact Sheet.

And additionally, I am going to advise again that a great deal of this information could have been handled ahead of time.

The deadlines mean something, and so when these corrections should take place, they need to be done timely.

Additionally, the declaration process was designed in order to avoid having to take so long in these, so I am going to

14:11:29 4 14:11:30 14:11:34 6 14:11:37 7 14:11:43 8 14:11:45 9 14:11:51 10 14:11:56 11 14:12:01 12 14:12:04 13 14:12:05 14 14:12:16 15 14:12:24 16 14:12:29 17 14:12:33 18 14:12:36 19 14:12:40 20 14:12:47 21 14:12:49 22

14:12:55 23

14:12:57 24

14:13:05 25

14:11:22 2

14:11:25 3

caution you that you need to take -- to participate in the process 14:13:09 1 in a way that it was designed to take place. Okay. Thank you, 14:13:14 2 ma'am. 14:13:20 3 MS. GULEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. Thank you. 14:13:20 4 THE COURT: You can get off the phone now. 14:13:21 5 14:13:24 6 All right. Bachus & Schanker. MR. ELLIOTT: Good afternoon, Judge, Chris Elliott. 14:13:33 7 THE COURT: Good afternoon. Let's go. Susan Campbell. 14:13:35 8 14:13:41 9 MR. ELLIOTT: We filed a dismissal yesterday. 14:13:44 10 MS. BRILLEAUX: Your Honor, our records show that it was 14:13:46 11 filed last night at 9:51 P.M. 14:13:49 12 THE COURT: This matter is dismissed with prejudice. 14:13:52 13 Joann Charnock. 14:13:55 14 MR. INSOGNA: Yes, your Honor. This is a no Plaintiff Fact Sheet, at least as of 10:42 yesterday morning. 14:14:00 15 14:14:03 16 MR. ELLIOTT: We got word that the plaintiff passed away. 14:14:06 17 We would ask for 30 days. Next of kin does wish to participate, so 14:14:10 18 we expect to be able to get that all completed within 30 days, or 14:14:15 19 60 days would be great. 14:14:16 20 THE COURT: When did you find out that she passed away? MR. ELLIOTT: We didn't find that out until this month, 14:14:19 21 14:14:23 22 and we just got the obituary, we found the next of kin on the 14:14:27 23 obituary, so we've discovered -- anyway. 14:14:31 24 THE COURT: You didn't answer my question. When did you 14:14:33 25 find this out?

14:14:34 1 MR. ELLIOTT: Within the last week.

THE COURT: I am going to give you 45 days.

MR. INSOGNA: Your Honor, I'm sorry, I am not able to hear Mr. Elliott. Is it possible to move a microphone or something?

THE COURT: I am going to ask him to do that now. They found out within the last week that Ms. Charnock died, so I am going to give him 45 days to get everything in order.

MR. INSOGNA: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Hattie Coleman.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Yes, your Honor. This is no proof of use, no before photos, and no initiation of the CMO 12 process.

MR. ELLIOTT: Actually, we have gone through the CMO 12 process, your Honor, except for the deposition. We issued a subpoena duces tecum to Dr. Dozier's office. He stated that he switched companies and that right now he is looking through his system to see if we could get the evidence we need to move forward with her case.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ELLIOTT: We do have photos, we have a signed declaration, we have authorizations in there, ESI. We've done everything else that's required in this litigation. We just ask for more time so Dr. Dozier can check his records.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Your Honor, to reiterate, we have no proof of use, no proof of use of docetaxel, and no before photos.

14:15:17 **14** 14:15:20 **15**

14:14:40 2

14:14:45

14:14:48

14:14:51

14:14:51

14:14:54

14:14:58 8

14:15:03 9

14:15:05 10

14:15:08 11

14:15:09 12

14:15:14 13

5

7

14:15:30 17

14:15:26 16

14:15:35 18

14:15:37 19

14:15:41 21

14:15:38 20

14:15:45 22

14:15:48 23

14:15:52 24

14:15:53 25

14:15:59 **1**14:16:04 **2**

14:16:08 3

14:16:14 4

14:16:15 5

14:16:17 6

14:16:18 7

14:16:22 8

14:16:26 9

14:16:29 10

14:16:33 11

14:16:34 12

14:16:36 13

14:16:45 14

14:16:47 15

14:16:52 16

14:16:57 17

14:17:00 18

14:17:02 19

14:17:08 20

14:17:11 21

14:17:13 22

14:17:16 23

14:17:19 24

14:17:24 25

Just to clarify, our records show that she's produced, plaintiff has produced photos labeled as before, but they're actually dated after her claims to chemotherapy treatment per her PFS.

MR. ELLIOTT: We can clarify that, your Honor. I don't have that in front of me right now.

THE COURT: All right. I am going to grant seven days.

MR. ELLIOTT: Well, your Honor, with the POU issue, I'd ask for a little more time with Dr. Dozier, because he is going through his system to backtrack and see what he can find us. It may take more than seven days.

THE COURT: I understand that, I am talking about the photos. But the 12A process, so you have started that.

MR. ELLIOTT: Yeah, we did that, we're at the deposition stage. We actually subpoenaed the doctor, and he said rather than take his deposition, he is going to look through the system to see if he can get us what he needs. I just don't know how much longer it's going to take him to do that. I would assume within the next 30 days we would have that information.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Is that for proof of use or for CMO 12?

THE COURT: For proof of use, do you have any information that she took Taxotere?

MR. ELLIOTT: We have our client's representations to the law firm that she took it, and we have the proof that she lost her hair, we have the proof of injury. And so, you know --

MS. BRILLEAUX: Respectfully, your Honor --14:17:30 14:17:31 2 MR. ELLIOTT: We sent a subpoena to Dr. Dozier's office. Like I said, he doesn't have the records available --14:17:35 .3 THE COURT: No, I understand that. So it's not --14:17:38 MR. ELLIOTT: It's not really the product ID CMO 12. 14:17:43 14:17:47 6 THE COURT: It's not a product ID issue, it's we don't have proof that she took Taxotere or docetaxel. 14:17:49 7 14:17:54 8 MS. BRILLEAUX: Or chemotherapy. 14:17:59 9 THE COURT: And I guess my question is, so on page 5 and 14:18:06 10 6 of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet --14:18:13 11 MR. ELLIOTT: If I had it in front of me --14:18:15 12 THE COURT: That's just where she checks -- oh, it's just 14:18:18 13 you have to show records demonstrating. So the fact that she 14:18:29 14 doesn't have records in hand? 14:18:30 15 MS. BRILLEAUX: We don't have any -- as we discussed 14:18:34 16 before, it's not a product ID issue under CMO 12, it's an issue that she took any docetaxel at all and we don't have that. 14:18:38 17 14:18:43 18 THE COURT: You don't have the records. 14:18:44 19 MS. BRILLEAUX: Right. So we have nothing other than 14:18:47 20 Mr. Elliott's representation that the plaintiff has represented to the firm, which I think is the representation of every plaintiff in 14:18:50 21 14:18:54 22 this case. So we just don't have any proof of use that she took 14:18:56 23 any docetaxel or Taxotere. 14:18:59 24 MR. ELLIOTT: Your Honor, I think I am confused here

because their noncompliance says no CMO 12A. So they told us that

14:19:01 25

14:19:07 1 that was the noncompliance, so we went through and went through that process. I mean, that's their noncompliance description is no CMO 12A.

THE COURT: Listen.

MR. ELLIOTT: I can't as I sit here right now say that -anyway, I would just like more time for Dr. Dozier to go through his records. I think within the next 15 to 30 days he would have that back.

THE COURT: Why would I think that's different? I mean, it was filed in 2017, so it's been 18 months.

MR. ELLIOTT: Yeah, we file these sometimes because of the label chain. We're just concerned on how the Court might rule on a statute of limitations issue here. So some of these we had to file based on our client's representation that they took Taxotere. And then we go through the process. We did order the records, they come back, and sometimes they say records destroyed or sometimes they come back and they just don't have them.

But we've gone through a step further and it looks like Dozier may be able to find something for us, because the client -we wouldn't have filed the case but for our client telling us that she took the Taxotere and we have the proof of injury.

MS. BRILLEAUX: And our records show that there's no reference to chemotherapy at all, just that she had surgery. She had a left breast cancer mastectomy post chemo, so we don't have really any -- I'm sorry, history of left breast cancer post

14:19:12 2 14:19:17 14:19:20 14:19:27 14:19:34 14:19:38 7 14:19:42 8 14:19:43 9 14:19:45 10 14:19:47 11

6

14:19:49 12 14:19:53 13 14:19:56 14 14:19:59 15

14:20:06 17 14:20:08 18

14:20:02 16

14:20:11 19 14:20:15 20 14:20:18 21

14:20:21 22 14:20:23 23

14:20:26 24 14:20:33 25

mastectomy. So we don't have any even reference to chemotherapy
treatment at all, much less docetaxel or Taxotere.

MR. ELLIOTT: I think we have some evidence, your Honor, to keep moving with the case. I just would like Dozier's -- if he comes back and says I can't find anything, then I am okay dismissing the case. But at this point --

THE COURT: When did you last speak to Dr. Dozier?

MR. ELLIOTT: I don't have the dates on here. These are notes from 5/20. Says we've gone through the CMO 12 process except for the deposition. After issuing a subpoena duces tecum to Dr. Dozier's office, wrote and stated that they have switched EMR companies, and if the records exist, they would be on that system as the client treated prior to 2008. So it would be a Sanofi case. Dr. Dozier's office is in touch with the EMR system and are trying to retrieve the records, which would show both CMO 12 and POU. They are also giving me a contact from the EMR service so I can request documents from them, so we're doing our due diligence --
MS. BRILLEAUX: And, your Honor, we have received some

MS. BRILLEAUX: And, your Honor, we have received some medical records but none of them reference chemotherapy treatment at all. They reference a mastectomy but not chemotherapy.

THE COURT: I am going to come back to this one.

MR. ELLIOTT: Okay.

THE COURT: Kimberly Coombs. I'm hoping to get something that's easy.

MR. ELLIOTT: We filed a Plaintiff Fact Sheet on 5/27,

14:21:09 9

14:21:14 10

14:21:18 11

14:21:20 12

14:21:22 13

14:21:27 14

14:21:31 15

14:21:34 16

14:21:40 17

14:21:41 18

14:21:43 19

14:21:47 20

14:21:53 21

14:21:59 22

14:21:59 23

14:22:01 24

14:22:01 25

14:20:45

14:20:48

14:20:54

14:20:57

14:21:00

14:21:03

5

8

14:22:04 1 it's cured, your Honor.

14:22:05

14:22:07

14:22:12

14:22:17

14:22:19

14:22:20

14:22:26 8

14:22:31 9

14:22:34 10

14:22:37 11

14:22:44 12

14:22:47 13

14:22:51 14

14:22:58 15

14:23:02 16

14:23:06 17

14:23:10 18

14:23:14 19

14:23:15 20

14:23:17 21

2

3

5

7

MS. BRILLEAUX: Your Honor, this is one that we got over the Memorial Day weekend, and so we have not checked. We would ask for 24 hours to confirm that the deficiency has been cured and that it would be ripe for dismissal if it has not.

MR. ELLIOTT: And --

THE COURT: Well, wait a minute. I am not -- I mean, what bothers me is I am giving 15 days to cure certain things.

Ms. Brilleaux, what bothers me in this circumstance, I give you 24 hours to look at it and you decide that it's not enough. I am not prepared to allow you to dismiss cases based upon your review.

I am going to give you seven days, and you all work it out to confirm that a Plaintiff Fact Sheet has been filed. And then if it's not in compliance, then we'll deal with it. But it's hard for me to operate in the dark when I don't know -- what's not going to be acceptable is a Plaintiff Fact Sheet with a name and a date. But I would have to -- seven days.

Pamela Crawford-Burno.

MR. ELLIOTT: Same.

MR. INSOGNA: Yes, your Honor. This is another case where we were advised yesterday of a cure, so I think we just need seven days to assess.

THE COURT: So ordered. Jo Ann Dannenfelser.

MR. INSOGNA: Same, your Honor.

MR. ELLIOTT: She passed away. We're asking for a longer

14:23:20 22

14:23:22 23

14:23:28 24

14:23:29 25

extension than seven days.

THE COURT: When did she pass away?

MR. ELLIOTT: Let's see. Client died actually in August of 2018, we were trying to reach her and that's not why we know that she's passed away. So unfortunately, these didn't show up on a noncompliance until 30, 45 days ago. We did make diligent attempts to figure out and then we discovered that she's passed away, so we would ask for time to talk with the family and see if they want to participate -- actually it says we spoke with the father Eric -- anyway, we're asking for more time.

THE COURT: We need to come back to this one because this is a suit that was filed three months after she died.

MR. ELLIOTT: Yes. Okay. We can come back to that.

THE COURT: We'll come back to that.

MR. LAMBERT: Your Honor, this issue came up at the hearing last week on a different case, and liaison counsel are conferring with that counsel. We'll do the same with Mr. Elliott. I think --

THE COURT: And I understand that that can happen -- let's just pass for right now. Let's just pass it for right now and we'll have a conversation after this.

MR. LAMBERT: There may be a need for a separate case to be filed with the survival claim.

MR. ELLIOTT: And we can amend to pursue the right of survivorship.

14:24:15 12

14:23:33 1

14:23:35 2

14:23:37

14:23:40

14:23:45

14:23:50 6

14:23:55 7

14:23:58 8

14:24:02 9

14:24:06 10

14:24:12 11

5

14:24:19 13 14:24:27 14

14:24:28 15

14:24:31 16

14:24:37 17

14:24:42 18

14:24:42 19

14:24:50 20

14:24:54 21

14:24:55 22

14:24:59 23

14:25:01 24

14:25:05 25

14:25:06 1 THE COURT: Let's just talk about this one later.

THE COURT: Lois Haworth.

MR. ELLIOTT: Okay.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Yes, your Honor. This is no proof of use.

MR. ELLIOTT: I am showing that we have proof of use.

MS. BRILLEAUX: We have a one-page record, it's a pre-2011 treatment, but we have a one-page record that's a consultation note post an abnormal mammogram that talks about the findings. But again, no evidence or even mention of chemotherapy treatment.

MR. ELLIOTT: Not according to my records, your Honor.

Says received a recommendation for Taxotere, so there's some record in there that she took Taxotere.

MS. BRILLEAUX: But that's a recommendation and not -MR. ELLIOTT: So this is my notes. My staff did a rush
request for correct dates on 5/10. We believe this will produce
the Taxotere proof of use. Right now we believe we have enough
evidence to move forward because we have some records saying she
got a recommendation for Taxotere and the client saying she took
Taxotere.

We're getting the records, they will be here any day. We have an appointment this week to get any further missing information from the client and this will be cured, I mean, as soon as we get those records. So we ask for at least 30 days until we

14:25:06 2 14:25:11 3 14:25:12 4 14:25:13 5 14:25:14 6 14:25:17 7 14:25:20 8 14:25:24 9 14:25:28 10 14:25:33 11 14:25:33 12 14:25:35 13 14:25:41 14 14:25:44 15 14:25:44 16 14:25:48 17 14:25:52 18 14:25:56 19 14:25:58 20 14:26:01 21 14:26:01 22

14:26:04 23

14:26:08 24

14:26:13 25

14:26:16 1 get the records back. We did put a rush request in to the 14:26:20 2 facility.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Your Honor, this was a case that was filed in March of 2018.

THE COURT: Mr. Elliott, I know you're frustrated. But let me tell you what's frustrating to me. It looks like there's no effort to get the information until you get on the list.

MR. ELLIOTT: We do. No, we do make effort. I can tell your Honor, before we file every case we have to have some proof, there's these exceptional circumstances, anomalies like the one where we file it because of the statute of limitations, but those are few and far between. We don't file -- we don't file them unless we have a Rule 11 basis, we have some record proof; and this one we do, she had a recommendation for Taxotere. That was the basis for the filing and her representations to us. We think we'll get more to validate that in the coming days, so.

THE COURT: I am going to give you 15 days. This has been pending since March of last year.

Faith Hernandez.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Yes, your Honor. This is no PFS submitted.

MR. ELLIOTT: We submitted it yesterday.

THE COURT: Seven days.

MS. BRILLEAUX: The next one is Lee Jones. I believe that's a 505(b)(2) case.

14:26:53 **15** 14:26:57 **16**

14:26:20

14:26:26

14:26:22 4

14:26:29 6

14:26:33 7

14:26:34 8

14:26:37 9

14:26:41 10

14:26:43 11

14:26:46 12

14:26:49 13

14:26:50 14

14:27:02 17

14:27:05 18

14:27:08 19

14:27:10 20

14:27:11 21

14:27:12 22

14:27:17 23

14:27:23 24

14:27:26 25

14:27:28 1 MR. ELLIOTT: Same, we just --

14:27:29 2

14:27:31 3

14:27:35 4

14:27:40 6

14:27:46 7

14:27:49 8

14:27:54 9

14:27:59 10

14:27:59 11

14:28:03 12

14:28:07 13

14:28:12 14

14:28:15 15

14:28:21 16

14:28:22 17

14:28:28 18

14:28:33 19

14:28:37 20

14:28:38 21

14:28:39 22

14:28:46 23

14:29:05 24

14:29:13 25

14:27:36

MR. INSOGNA: Sorry, your Honor. Lee Jones, we were advised last night that there was a Plaintiff Fact Sheet submitted, so we'd request seven days to check.

THE COURT: So ordered.

Dorothy Kuykendall.

MR. INSOGNA: Your Honor, this is a case where there has been no Plaintiff Fact Sheet submitted. One was submitted on May 26th, there are a significant number of blanks. I think this is similar to the Fears Nachawati circumstance, so --

MR. ELLIOTT: No, no -- I'm sorry, your Honor, can I speak to that? I personally went in to look at these Plaintiff Fact Sheets. I have an example of what we're doing. We're not filing blank Plaintiff Fact Sheets. I don't want to be lumped in with the Fears Nachawati situation.

I can give you an example. I will tell you we have difficulty from clients getting certain things like all of their health insurance information, identifying each pharmacy drugstore, you know. And what we do is we'll put something there that says discovery continues.

MS. BRILLEAUX: This is yours.

MR. ELLIOTT: So it's just -- you can see that we do go through these Plaintiff Fact Sheets. All of the basic identifying information is in there. All of the appropriate -- I mean, it's my belief that all of the appropriate boxes have been checked. These

are not blank. They're substantially filled out, probably to the tune of at least 70 percent. You know, so I just -- I don't want to be lumped in to these other situations.

14:29:28

14:29:31 5

14:29:33 6

14:29:35 7

14:29:40 8

14:29:44 9

14:29:52 10

14:29:53 11

14:29:56 12

14:29:58 13

14:29:58 14

14:30:01 15

14:30:08 16

14:30:15 17

14:30:16 18

14:30:20 19

14:30:23 20

14:30:26 21

14:30:30 22

14:30:33 23

14:30:36 24

14:30:39 25

THE COURT: Mr. Insogna, you said there's no health insurance information? What else?

MR. INSOGNA: No, your Honor. The items that I've noted are the date of cancer diagnosis, the cancer markers that go to staging, the dates of chemotherapy treatment, the name of the prescribing oncologist, prior medication history, and a list of other medical providers.

THE COURT: But do we have proof of use?

MR. INSOGNA: Yes, your Honor, proof of use was submitted in this case.

THE COURT: And proof of injury?

MR. INSOGNA: Yes, I believe so, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Then it's just some of the other information that you don't have?

MR. INSOGNA: Correct, your Honor. Information that would normally be subject to a deficiency notice. It was just that this was a Plaintiff Fact Sheet submitted after the last hearing and it's still blank in significant ways.

THE COURT: I am going give him 30 days to fill in those things. I think proof of use and proof of injury is more problematic, but I am going to give him 30 days to cure these other deficiencies.

MR. INSOGNA: Understood, your Honor. 14:30:40 1 THE COURT: Debra Laframboise. 14:30:42 2 MR. INSOGNA: Yes, your Honor. This case and the next 14:30:48 .3 several are cases where we were advised of Plaintiff Fact Sheet 14:30:51 4 submission last night. 14:30:52 5 14:30:53 THE COURT: Okay. So seven days for those and let's go 6 through these. Would that be Debra Laframboise, Brenda 14:30:55 7 Lampkin-Blakemore; is that seven days? 14:31:00 8 14:31:03 9 MR. INSOGNA: Yes. 14:31:05 10 THE COURT: Sylvia Lewis? 14:31:06 11 MR. INSOGNA: Yes. 14:31:08 12 THE COURT: Wanda Lopez? 14:31:10 13 MR. INSOGNA: Correct. 14:31:12 14 THE COURT: And Sherri McDonald? 14:31:16 15 MR. INSOGNA: All the way through Kimberly Norwood, your 14:31:19 16 Honor. THE COURT: Let me read this for the record. 14:31:19 17 Sherri 14:31:22 18 McDonald, Yvonne Mitchell, Darlene Newtown and Kimberly Norwood. 14:31:27 19 As to all of those, the Court is going to grant seven days --14:31:31 20 MR. ELLIOTT: Actually Mitchell, your Honor. All of these we filed Plaintiff Fact Sheets on. Mitchell, she's passed 14:31:34 21 14:31:37 22 away, so we would just ask for the family to be able to go through 14:31:42 23 the process. Just more time for her we'd ask. 14:31:47 24 THE COURT: Okay. I am going to grant 30 days on Ms. Mitchell. But you filed a Plaintiff Fact Sheet, right? 14:31:51 25

MR. ELLIOTT: Yeah, I mean we have family cooperation --14:31:53 1 actually, Yvonne doesn't look like we filed a Plaintiff Fact Sheet. 14:31:56 2 MS. BRILLEAUX: Yes. I think that that was --14:32:00 Mr. Insogna, that's one where we have no PFS submitted. 14:32:03 4 THE COURT: Okay. All right. As to --14:32:07 14:32:07 6 MR. ELLIOTT: The others are correct, we did file Plaintiff Fact Sheets on. 14:32:09 7 THE COURT: The Court's going to grant seven days as to 14:32:10 8 14:32:14 9 the others, 30 days to Ms. Yvonne Mitchell. 14:32:17 10 Drema Osborne. 14:32:20 11 MS. BRILLEAUX: This is a -- we were advised that there was a purported cure of the no PFS deficiency yesterday afternoon. 14:32:24 12 14:32:29 13 So we would ask for 24 hours to confirm that it was uploaded as represented. 14:32:35 14 14:32:37 15 THE COURT: Okay. You want seven days to go through it, 14:32:40 16 too? 14:32:41 17 MS. BRILLEAUX: Yes, thank you. 14:32:44 18 THE COURT: Mercy Ozuna. 14:32:46 19 MS. BRILLEAUX: Same situation, your Honor. 14:32:48 20 THE COURT: Seven days. 14:32:54 21 Jamie Payne. 14:32:56 22 MR. INSOGNA: No Plaintiff Fact Sheet as of yesterday 14:32:58 23 afternoon, your Honor. 14:32:59 24 MR. ELLIOTT: We did file it yesterday evening. 14:33:01 25 THE COURT: You did?

MR. ELLIOTT: Yeah. Jamie Payne, she's been filed. 14:33:02 1 14:33:05 2 THE COURT: I am going to grant seven days to review. Vera Perez. 14:33:09 .3 MR. INSOGNA: No Plaintiff Fact Sheet as of yesterday 14:33:13 4 afternoon, your Honor. 14:33:16 5 14:33:16 6 MR. ELLIOTT: As of 5/27 Vera's cancer has come back, 14:33:20 7 she's back on chemotherapy, she would like an extension, she is going through a difficult time. So I don't know, 60 days, I 14:33:24 8 14:33:27 9 mean --14:33:28 10 THE COURT: I'll give her 30 days. 14:33:28 11 MS. BIERI: We really can't hear Chris at all. I can hear Kelly just fine, so I don't know what Kelly's doing. 14:33:28 12 14:33:28 13 MR. ELLIOTT: Must be something wrong with this microphone, or maybe I just need to speak fuller. 14:33:44 14 14:33:44 15 THE COURT: You're not talking into the microphone. 14:33:44 16 MR. ELLIOTT: Okay. I'll just speak much closer. Sorry 14:33:44 17 guys. 14:33:44 18 THE COURT: Linda Ouarles. 14:33:45 19 MS. BRILLEAUX: Your Honor, this is no PFS submitted. 14:33:48 20 see that we were advised yesterday of a purported cure, so we would ask for seven days. 14:33:52 21 14:33:53 22 THE COURT: Court's going to grant seven days. 14:33:55 23 Paula Quill. 14:33:58 24 MR. INSOGNA: No Plaintiff Fact Sheet as of yesterday afternoon, your Honor. 14:34:01 25

MR. ELLIOTT: We did discover she did pass away and that

14:34:05 2 was after the filing of her lawsuit. We would ask for 30 days to

THE COURT: The Court's going to grant 30 days.

Sophia Richards.

14:34:09

14:34:13

14:34:15 6

14:34:15 7

14:34:15 8

14:34:18 9

14:34:21 10

14:34:23 11

14:34:26 12

14:34:33 13

14:34:39 14

14:34:41 15

14:34:45 16

14:34:47 17

14:34:52 18

14:34:57 19

14:35:04 20

14:35:10 21

14:35:13 22

14:35:19 23

14:35:23 24

14:35:27 25

MR. INSOGNA: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. INSOGNA: Sorry. May I ask, for the plaintiffs who have passed away is the 30 days to substitute a representative or to provide a Plaintiff Fact Sheet?

THE COURT: Well, hopefully both. But I will tell you that if it requires additional time, that's not with anyone sitting on hair hands, the Court will entertain a request to extend it.

Because -- it just depends on your jurisdiction. Some jurisdictions you can get a representative appointed immediately, others it may take some time.

I am granting 30 days hopefully to have -- I don't know if the paperwork has been started on the representative and so this is already in the pipe, but if not, it may take some time and I would expect everyone to extend professional courtesies if someone -- because these case that I am looking at, this case was filed December 2018, she died after the filing, that means that, I am guessing, it was earlier this year, so it may take some time. I would expect you all to extend personal courtesies, but I am giving you 30 days today.

MR. ELLIOTT: Thank you.

MR. INSOGNA: Of course, understood, your Honor. I just wanted to confirm what our follow-up obligations are.

THE COURT: Sure. Ms. Berg.

MS. BERG: Your Honor, just to be consistent. For the plaintiffs that have been deceased and need to find a substitute, you've been giving 60 days. There's a few that were given 45 or 30 days, and we just ask the circumstance where it may take a very long time to work through that those get 60 days.

THE COURT: Which one?

MS. BERG: That's Nos. 5 --

THE COURT: I tell you what, No. 5, she died last month.

MS. BERG: That was 60.

THE COURT: That's why I granted 60 days. These others --

MS. BERG: No. 16 was 60 days, 18 was 45 days.

THE COURT: I think, very frankly, in my view I was considering the fact that the woman just died, which is why I gave 60 days, and that they'd made contact, but it was a month ago.

Some of the others, what I'm hearing is, "She's deceased.

I'm in contact, I've been talking to her son." Well, I'm assuming things are already progressing. But if indeed it becomes problematic, then we'll deal with it. But I don't want to give anybody any opportunity to sit on their hands.

And so the reason of 60 days was because I did mark that

14:35:50 8

14:35:54 9

14:35:44 7

14:35:29 2

.3

6

14:35:30

14:35:33

14:35:34

14:35:39

14:36:03 10

14:36:04 11

14:36:06 12

14:36:09 13

14:36:10 14

14:36:13 15

14:36:15 **16** 14:36:23 **17**

14:36:42 18

14:36:46 19

14:36:53 20

14:36:56 21

14:36:59 22

14:37:04 23

14:37:08 24

14:37:13 25

she died last month. Okay? 14:37:17 1 14:37:19 2 MS. BERG: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: But thank you, Ms. Berg. 14:37:20 .3 Sophia Richards. 14:37:22 4 MS. BRILLEAUX: Yes, your Honor, no PFS submitted. 14:37:25 14:37:31 6 MR. ELLIOTT: We actually have a representative going to her home this week and hope to have -- we would ask for seven days. 14:37:33 7 We made contact, so we need -- we'd like the notary to be able to 14:37:38 8 14:37:44 9 get to the home and her to fill everything out and get it back to 14:37:47 10 us. I realize it's --14:37:48 11 THE COURT: You have no information at all from this 14:37:50 12 lady? 14:37:50 13 MR. ELLIOTT: The issue is, your Honor, we actually just 14:37:53 14 discovered her new address. Sometimes our clients move, we have to skip trace them, and we find their address --14:37:56 15 14:37:59 16 THE COURT: I am going to give you seven days. Don't 14:38:04 17 take eight. 14:38:04 18 MR. ELLIOTT: Yes. Yes, your Honor. 14:38:08 19 Bridget Robinson. 14:38:10 20 THE COURT: And that's only because the suit was filed in 14:38:13 21 December. Bridget Robinson. MR. INSOGNA: Yes, your Honor. No Plaintiff Fact Sheet 14:38:16 22 14:38:18 23 submitted. 14:38:19 24 MR. ELLIOTT: This is the same situation. We have, actually, an appointment today at 8 P.M. she'll have her documents. 14:38:21 25

14:38:24 1 THE COURT: Seven days. MS. BRILLEAUX: The next one, your Honor, Sarah Rundell 14:38:27 2 is no before photos. 14:38:30 3 MR. ELLIOTT: We've uploaded a before photo on 5/27 14:38:33 (VERBATIM), I'm told it's within five years. So I think we've 14:38:37 5 14:38:41 6 cured that. MS. BRILLEAUX: So our notes show that it is dated summer 14:38:41 7 2002, but that the, according to the PFS, chemo treatment took 14:38:47 8 place in March through May of 2002, so this would actually be an 14:38:54 9 after photograph rather than a before. 14:38:59 10 14:39:01 11 MR. ELLIOTT: I need to run that around, your Honor. My 14:39:03 12 understanding is that we cured this, so I fully expect that that's 14:39:07 13 within the five. But I'll -- if you give me seven days, I can get 14:39:10 14 that. 14:39:11 15 THE COURT: The Court's going to grant seven days. Did 14:39:14 16 you look at the photo today? MS. BRILLEAUX: I mean, I personally did not. But -- we 14:39:16 17 have the photo was uploaded on May 7th, 2019 and that it's dated 14:39:21 18 14:39:27 19 summer 2002, which doesn't align with the chemo treatment dates. 14:39:32 20 THE COURT: I thought you said -- when did you upload the photo, Mr. Elliott? 14:39:35 21 14:39:36 22 MR. ELLIOTT: 5/7, May 7th. 14:39:41 23 THE COURT: Y'all go figure this out. Seven days. 14:39:44 24 Carrie Rupert. MS. BRILLEAUX: Yes, your Honor. This is for -- this is 14:39:46 25

a similar issue, no before photos. We have that the only photo
that is labeled before is dated after chemotherapy treatment. It's
dated January 15th, 2013, and the treatment dates in the PFS are
June 2012 through August 2012.

MR. ELLIOTT: I have notes here we've uploaded all of her core documents, her photos, declaration signature page, medical records, authorizations, proof of use. We're also waiting to go through the CMO 12 process to gather the results so we can confirm the manufacturer. That's all I have. I have everything else being compliant.

THE COURT: I am going to give you seven days to figure this out.

Louise Sena.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Yes, your Honor. This is no before photos, similar issue. The only photo labeled before is dated after chemo.

MR. ELLIOTT: We uploaded before photos on 5/27. We spoke with her Ms. Sena at the time to let her know she needs to gather any other information for her PFS, but it looks like we've uploaded Plaintiff Fact Sheet, authorizations, photos, proof of use, and CMO 12 product ID has been identified. So I am not sure. I would ask for seven days, I guess.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Your Honor, again, the issue is that the photo labeled before is dated with a date that is inconsistent with it being a before.

14:40:28 11

14:40:09

14:40:13 6

14:40:17 7

14:40:20 8

14:40:24 9

14:40:27 10

14:40:33 13

14:40:30 12

14:40:35 14

14:40:37 15

14:40:41 16

14:40:47 17

14:40:52 18

14:40:54 19

14:40:58 20

14:41:02 21

14:41:09 22

14:41:12 23

14:41:14 24

14:41:19 25

THE COURT: I understand that.

14:41:21 2 MR. ELLIOTT: I can get that c

MR. ELLIOTT: I can get that clarified in seven days.

THE COURT: Let's get that. Seven days. Something about this is not making sense.

Imogene Seymore.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Yes, your Honor. This is no before photos from within five years of treatment.

MR. ELLIOTT: Before photos we uploaded on 4/22; however, they are not within five years, she is correct. However, we did have her sign a written statement that the rest of her photos were lost in a house fire, this is an exceptional circumstance where she lost --

THE COURT: How far out was her before photos?

MR. ELLIOTT: I don't have that information -- oh, actually, this one might have been like eight years and it's not within five.

MS. BRILLEAUX: My notes show from 12 years, 12 years before chemo.

MR. ELLIOTT: Might be thinking of a different one. We do have a statement from her that her photos were lost in a house fire.

THE COURT: Nobody else in her family has a photo of her?

I mean, where did the 12-year photo come from?

MR. ELLIOTT: I mean, we go to their house, you know, we do everything we can to get the before photos. We know how

14:41:52 **13** 14:41:55 **14**

14:41:24

14:41:28 4

14:41:31 5

14:41:33 6

14:41:34 7

14:41:36 8

14:41:39 9

14:41:44 10

14:41:48 11

14:41:52 12

14:42:01 16

14:41:57 15

14:42:02 17 14:42:05 18

14:42:06 19

14:42:09 20

14:42:13 21

14:42:13 22

14:42:16 23

14:42:18 24

14:42:20 25

important that is to the Court and the litigation. If you give me
seven days, I can try to see if there's any others. But at the end
of the day, if that's the only before photo she has and she lost
the rest in a house fire, then I think we've cured the deficiency.

THE COURT: Well, I know you think that. What's been frustrating to me, as I indicated last time, is this crutch that it's become. And I just wonder where the 12-year photo came from. If all of the photos were lost, where did this one come from? She didn't have a driver's license from before?

MR. ELLIOTT: I'll try all of this. I'll personally call her myself this week and see what we can do, what we come up with.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Your Honor, just for the purposes of consistency, it's defendant's understanding that no statements are supposed to be submitted unless and until you order them to be.

And that is my understanding of how that's been instructed to the rest of the plaintiffs in this litigation.

THE COURT: And that's why -- I didn't say I was going to accept it.

MR. ELLIOTT: We don't generally have these situations where -- we know how important it is these days to make sure we have the within five before photos. My staff is very clear on what they're supposed to be getting. But this one, unfortunately, this is what we have.

If you give me seven days, I can try to clarify if that's it. But if it's it, we would ask that it not be dismissed.

14:42:40 5 14:42:44 6 14:42:48 7 14:42:54 8

14:43:02 10 14:43:06 11

14:42:59 9

14:43:10 12

14:43:12 13

14:43:15 14

14:43:20 15

14:43:23 16

14:43:25 17

14:43:28 18

14:43:34 19

14:43:37 20

14:43:44 21

14:43:48 22

14:43:51 23

14:43:53 24

14:43:57 25

14:44:13 1 THE COURT: Why would I do that, Mr. Elliott?

14:44:16 2 MR. ELLIOTT: I'm sorry?

THE COURT: Why would I do that?

MR. ELLIOTT: Why would you not dismiss it?

THE COURT: Yeah. This is where I am. This case was filed in March of last year, so that's been pending for now over 14 months.

MR. ELLIOTT: Right.

THE COURT: And you were on notice that there was this deficiency and now -- but now if today you give me another seven days, I think I can probably cure this.

MR. ELLIOTT: Well, no. My position is we've cured it.
We've uploaded the before photo that we have and we have a written
statement from the client saying that the rest were lost in a house
fire. I am representing to the Court what we're told by our
clients. And so I think we've met our obligations.

If a client -- if that's all they have, I can't see why the case would be dismissed. I think your Honor even stated before if it was Katrina, or something like that, but why is a house fire any different than Katrina? It's the same kind of catastrophic situation where you lose valuable things.

So I think this could be one of these unique circumstances, at least that's what she's represented to us.

But again, if you'd like, I can go back and ask her, are you 100 percent sure, did you look under every stone to make sure

14:44:33 8

14:44:16 3

14:44:18 4

14:44:21 5

14:44:26 6

14:44:33 7

14:44:36 9 14:44:38 10

14:44:44 11

14:44:46 12

14:44:49 13

14:44:52 14

14:44:56 15

14:44:59 16

14:45:09 17

14:45:11 18

14:45:15 19

14:45:18 20

14:45:22 21

14:45:25 22

14:45:27 23

14:45:30 24

14:45:35 25

there's not another within five.

THE COURT: I think part of the problem was, what I indicated is that I would have to talk to the plaintiff to satisfy myself that there is some legitimacy to this claim.

MR. ELLIOTT: Okay.

THE COURT: And I don't know if she is an only child that has never had a relative and never attended any function outside of her home, but it's very hard for me to believe that anybody cannot get hold of a picture from five years prior to treatment. And I am not trying to be difficult, but I am going to give you seven days, but -- and then if you come back and say there was a house fire and it burned everything that anybody, everybody was storing everything at her house, I will have to question her --

MR. ELLIOTT: Okay. That's fine.

THE COURT: -- before I am satisfied.

MR. ELLIOTT: Okay.

THE COURT: Before I will accept an affidavit.

MR. ELLIOTT: We will do what we can to get her on the phone to satisfy your Honor.

THE COURT: Uh-huh. There is something you wanted to say, Ms. Barrios?

MS. BARRIOS: Your Honor, I was going to offer as an officer of the court to be the one to speak with the plaintiff about the availability of photos so you didn't have to do that. I'm happy to do that, your Honor.

14:45:43 3

14:45:46

14:45:42 2

14:45:39

1

14:45:46 5

14:45:51 6

14:45:53 7

14:45:56 8

14:46:00 9

14:46:05 10

14:46:09 11

14:46:15 12

14:46:20 13

14:46:23 14

14:46:25 15

14:46:28 16

14:46:29 17

14:46:31 18

14:46:33 19

14:46:35 20

14:46:42 21

14:46:44 22

14:46:48 23

14:46:52 24

14:46:57 25

14:46:59 1 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

MS. BARRIOS: And we'll report back to you.

THE COURT: I just think my concern has been because I did that in one case and then it opened this flood gate and it was easier to say I don't have photographs than to say -- and even when people have a house fire where you may lose everything in your home, very few people don't have somebody somewhere.

MS. BARRIOS: Everybody has a niece's wedding.

THE COURT: I told you that, that it's either graduated from high school, that has gotten married, or there's a baby shower. There is something somewhere where there is a photograph. Or you might have a job and you have to take a picture to get into the building.

MS. BARRIOS: That's a great idea.

THE COURT: People are going to have driver's licenses.

MS. BARRIOS: I believe Mr. Elliott is going to be in town for a couple of days, and I will make sure that he and I speak with the client and I will do a report to the defendants and to your Honor.

THE COURT: It's not enough to say I looked under my sofa and I didn't have any.

MS. BARRIOS: I totally understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Deborah Short.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Yes, your Honor. No PFS.

14:47:53 **16** 14:47:54 **17**

14:47:02

14:47:03

14:47:09

14:47:14

14:47:21

14:47:25

14:47:30

14:47:33 9

14:47:35 10

14:47:38 11

14:47:42 12

14:47:47 13

14:47:48 14

14:47:50 15

7

8

14:48:01 19

14:47:58 18

14:48:02 20

14:48:04 21

14:48:05 22

14:48:07 23

14:48:10 24

14:48:11 25

MR. ELLIOTT: Oh, we made contact with Ms. Short. 14:48:20 have a representative going to the home to get her documents is my 14:48:24 2 understanding. So we would ask for seven days. 14:48:27 .3 THE COURT: I am going to give you seven days only 14:48:40 because this was filed in December. But, gosh, you had notice 14:48:42 5 14:48:46 6 before. This is beyond frustrating. 7

MR. ELLIOTT: Your Honor, just so you know, my office, I sent letters to the clients preemptively before the lawsuits are filed, we do that, we send them their blank PFS so they can get it back to us in a timely fashion. Just some folks are just harder to reach than others unfortunately. But it's not for a lack of effort on my office's part, I can tell you that for sure.

THE COURT: Lisa Simpson.

MR. ELLIOTT: We dismissed that on 5/21.

MS. BRILLEAUX: 5/21?

MR. ELLIOTT: That's what I have here.

MS. BRILLEAUX: We don't have a record of that dismissal.

THE COURT: It's dismissed with prejudice.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Carol Smith.

MR. ELLIOTT: We had a bad address for her, so we were sending her letters. It looks like now we have made contact with her, she wishes to participate. We'd ask for another seven days.

THE COURT: Seven days.

Raelynn Smith.

14:48:49 14:48:52 8 14:48:56 9 14:49:00 10 14:49:04 11 14:49:08 12 14:49:13 13 14:49:14 14

14:49:17 15

14:49:19 16

14:49:20 17

14:49:23 18

14:49:25 19

14:49:26 20

14:49:31 21

14:49:34 22

14:49:37 23

14:49:42 24

14:49:44 25

MS. BRILLEAUX: No PFS submitted. 14:49:45 14:49:47 2 MR. ELLIOTT: Submitted on -- submitted yesterday. MS. BRILLEAUX: We don't have -- was that in the list of 14:49:51 3 14:49:54 4 cures that you sent? MR. ELLIOTT: I am not sure. It may have been submitted 14:49:55 last night, but it says filed on 5/28. 14:49:58 6 THE COURT: Seven days, Ms. Brilleaux, for you to 14:50:03 7 confirm. 14:50:06 8 14:50:06 9 MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you, your Honor. 14:50:07 10 THE COURT: Addie Smith. 14:50:09 11 MS. BRILLEAUX: Before photos not dated. 14:50:15 12 THE COURT: Date your photos within seven days. 14:50:17 13 MR. ELLIOTT: Seven days, okay. MS. BRILLEAUX: Christine Smith, I think Mr. Insogna's on 14:50:19 14 the line for that one. 14:50:25 15 14:50:26 16 THE COURT: Mr. Insogna? MR. INSOGNA: Your Honor, Christine Smith is no before 14:50:29 17 photos for proof of injury. 14:50:34 18 14:50:37 19 MR. ELLIOTT: We did upload before photos within five --14:50:42 20 correctly dated within five, I have notes here on 5/27. 14:50:45 21 THE COURT: I am going to grant you seven days to 14:50:48 22 confirm. 14:50:49 23 MR. INSOGNA: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: Chantel Southern. 14:50:51 24 14:50:53 25 MR. INSOGNA: No Plaintiff Fact Sheet submitted.

MR. ELLIOTT: Filed yesterday, your Honor. 14:50:56 14:50:59 2 THE COURT: Seven days to confirm. Tammy Strong. 14:51:02 MR. ELLIOTT: Same, filed yesterday. 14:51:03 THE COURT: Seven days to confirm. 14:51:05 14:51:13 Judy Sublette. MS. BRILLEAUX: Your Honor, for this one we have a 14:51:15 7 similar issue that we discussed before. We have a photo labeled as 14:51:17 8 14:51:19 9 a before photo but the dates do not match up, and our records show 14:51:24 10 that it's actually from after treatment. 14:51:26 11 MR. ELLIOTT: I show that we fixed that, your Honor. 14:51:29 12 THE COURT: I am going to give you all seven days to work 14:51:32 13 through that. MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you, your Honor. 14:51:33 14 14:51:36 15 THE COURT: Nomindari Sukhee. 14:51:37 16 MS. BRILLEAUX: No PFS submitted. 14:51:39 17 MR. ELLIOTT: Another one we have an appointment at her home to get the documents. We would ask for seven days. 14:51:42 18 14:51:45 19 THE COURT: Seven days because it was filed in December. 14:51:48 20 Jill Sweeden. 14:51:50 21 MR. INSOGNA: No Plaintiff Fact Sheet, your Honor. 14:51:53 22 MR. ELLIOTT: Filed yesterday. 14:51:54 23 THE COURT: Seven days to confirm. 14:51:56 24 Ruby Tate. 14:51:57 25 MS. BRILLEAUX: No PFS submitted, your Honor.

MR. ELLIOTT: We discovered she passed away. I don't 14:51:58 1 have any details on dates of when she passed, but we would ask for 14:52:01 2 more time to reach the family. 14:52:04 3 THE COURT: So you haven't talked to anybody in the 14:52:08 4 family? 14:52:10 5 14:52:11 6 MR. ELLIOTT: Actually, I misread that, it says family wishes to participate, so we have made contact with the family. 14:52:13 7 THE COURT: I am going to give you 45 days. 14:52:18 8 14:52:24 9 Rhonda Treash. 14:52:25 10 MR. ELLIOTT: Same, Plaintiff Fact Sheet was filed 14:52:27 11 yesterday. 14:52:28 12 THE COURT: Seven days to confirm. 14:52:31 13 Marta Vargas. 14:52:33 14 MR. ELLIOTT: Filed yesterday. 14:52:34 15 THE COURT: Seven days for defense counsel to confirm. 14:52:41 16 Hope Vidal. 14:52:42 17 MR. ELLIOTT: Filed yesterday. 14:52:47 18 THE COURT: Seven days to confirm. 14:52:49 19 Teresa Whitlock. 14:52:50 20 MS. BRILLEAUX: Your Honor, no before photos from within five years of treatment. 14:52:53 21 14:52:54 22 MR. ELLIOTT: We have before photos were dated and 14:52:57 23 properly uploaded on March 30th, those are my notes. Present day 14:53:01 24 photos were properly dated and uploaded on the same day. So it's 14:53:05 25 our belief that we have cured this.

MS. BRILLEAUX: None within five years of treatment. 14:53:09 1 14:53:11 2 MR. ELLIOTT: Says dated properly. We can clarify that if you give us seven days. 14:53:14 3 THE COURT: Seven days to work this out. 14:53:16 Debra Williams. 14:53:21 14:53:23 6 MS. BRILLEAUX: No PFS submitted. 14:53:26 7 MR. ELLIOTT: Filed yesterday. THE COURT: Seven days to confirm. 14:53:31 8 14:53:33 9 Donna Wood. 14:53:34 10 MS. BRILLEAUX: No before photos from within five years 14:53:38 11 of treatment. 14:53:44 12 MR. ELLIOTT: I asked her and she says she only has 1999 14:53:48 13 photos, son lives in another state. We asked for other family 14:53:52 14 members, she does not have any of her. She does not like photos. 14:53:57 15 And so that was her excuse as to why she can't get us any photos 14:54:01 16 within five, all she has is a 1999 picture. THE COURT: She doesn't have a driver's license? 14:54:03 17 14:54:05 18 MR. ELLIOTT: I think the issue, her treatment was, you 14:54:09 19 know, long ago, so she wouldn't have a copy of the driver's license 14:54:13 20 within five. That's the issue. It's not a new treatment. photos we have is the 1999. 14:54:19 21 14:54:25 22 When was this filed? This was filed in March, but that's 14:54:27 23 her -- she understands, I mean, we had the conversation with her.

She knows that you could dismiss her case, she understands that,

but she says that's all she's got.

14:54:34 24

14:54:38 25

THE COURT: Ms. Barrios, do you have something to say?

MS. BARRIOS: Yes, ma'am. Your Honor, I will be happy to

extend the same offer I extended before as an officer of the court,

I'll get together with Mr. Elliott, we'll call the client and

report to defense counsel and your Honor the substance of the

conversation.

MR. ELLIOTT: I think in these situations, your Honor, we could technically call a friend, you know, if they're on trial or something and say, "What did her hair look like before her chemotherapy? Was it full? Was it thick?" We could have witness testimony that was spent time with her, co-workers and stuff like that. If there is, in fact, no pictures, there's other ways to prove it up is my point.

So I just hate to see these kind of cases get thrown out if we've done our due diligence and they've gotten us the best photo that they can get us. That's just my two cents. Anyway.

MR. LAMBERT: Your Honor, I'm sorry. Palmer Lambert again.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Lambert, you're halfway up.

We have had this conversation in prior show cause hearings, and it's the PSC's position that there are many different ways to potentially prove your case. And we're not at the stage of evaluating the sufficiency of the evidence regarding the pre-commission and the post commission and whether or not the hair loss was caused by a particular use of the defendant's product.

14:54:40 1 14:54:43 2 14:54:46 3 14:54:49 14:54:52 5 14:54:56 6 14:54:59 7 14:55:01 8 14:55:08 9 14:55:10 10 14:55:14 11 14:55:18 12 14:55:22 13 14:55:23 14 14:55:28 15 14:55:32 16 14:55:39 17 14:55:42 18 14:55:45 19 14:55:46 20

14:55:50 21

14:55:55 22

14:56:01 23

14:56:08 24

14:56:13 25

1 So at this stage, we're only evaluating what your Honor 14:56:19 had asked us to evaluate, which is does the plaintiff have 14:56:23 2 photographs in their possession that are responsive to the PFS. 14:56:27 .3 they don't have them, they need to make some sort of verification 14:56:31 as to why they don't have them and it has to be a good reason, I 14:56:34 14:56:38 think is what your Honor said before, and it has to be signed and certified under penalty of perjury. 14:56:43 7

But I do think Mr. Elliott is correct, the fact that a single photograph exists more than five years before is not a valid basis for dismissal at this stage.

MS. BRILLEAUX: And just for the purposes of the record, the PFS and the following pretrial orders are clear that a photo within five years of chemo is a requirement under the PFS.

MS. BARRIOS: Your Honor, Dawn Barrios. The PFS actually says if you have it in your possession, it's limited to possession. But to shortcut the argument, I am happy again to --

THE COURT: I am going to grant seven days to give Ms. Barrios an opportunity to see what she can do.

Ramona Young.

MR. INSOGNA: No Plaintiff Fact Sheet, your Honor.

MR. ELLIOTT: We just filed it, ask for seven days.

THE COURT: When?

MR. ELLIOTT: And the same thing with Zupko, the last one, the PFS was filed.

THE COURT: I am going to grant the defendants seven days

14:57:34 19

14:56:51 8

14:56:53 9

14:56:59 10

14:57:04 11

14:57:07 12

14:57:12 13

14:57:17 14

14:57:20 15

14:57:24 16

14:57:28 17

14:57:31 18

14:57:36 20

14:57:38 21

14:57:42 22

14:57:42 23

14:57:45 24

14:57:47 25

14:57:50 1 to review.

14:57:58

14:58:01

14:58:16 6

14:58:16 7

14:58:18 8

14:58:22 9

14:58:25 10

14:58:27 11

14:58:31 12

14:58:37 13

14:58:40 14

14:58:44 15

MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you, your Honor. Are there some that we need to revisit?

THE COURT: Yes, we have two we have to go back to.

MS. BERG: I think 19 and 21 -- or 22, 19 and 22.

THE COURT: Hattie Coleman.

MR. ELLIOTT: This is the doctor -- where their noncompliance description was no CMO 12A, so we go through that process. We got to the deposition stage of Dr. Dozier, he was willing to take a deposition, but he said, hey, let me check in my own records and see if I can get you what you need. So we're asking for at least 15 days just so that Mr. -- Dr. Dozier can see if he can get the docetaxel proof and the manufacturer for us; and if not, the case will get dismissed. I mean, I'm fine with that.

THE COURT: I am going to grant 15 days.

The thing that bothered me about this case is it was filed in 2017, so we're 18 months out.

MS. BRILLEAUX: And, your Honor, just again for the record and I just want to be very clear, we have received medical records in this case and none of them indicate even chemotherapy cycle.

THE COURT: I understand that.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you.

THE COURT: And then Jo Ann Dannenfelser, that was number 22 and she passed away, the best I can tell --

14:58:47 16 14:58:50 17

14:58:55 18

14:58:57 19

14:59:00 20

14:59:04 21

14:59:05 22

14:59:06 23

14:59:06 24

14:59:13 25

MR. ELLIOTT: Before her lawsuit was filed, that was one of those --

THE COURT: -- 8/28. And what's the story there?

MR. ELLIOTT: I don't think -- we were filing these because of the statute, you know, a lot of times. Just so we're compliant with that. So not necessarily we can always talk to these folks, and so a lot of our clients are not quite responsive to us in the first place. I have to actually send investigators to their house, knock on the door to get their cooperation. And so it's not haven't heard of that a client wouldn't call us back before.

If I have the Rule 11 basis to file their suit, that's what we're going to do. And unfortunately, we didn't discover that she had passed away until she showed up on a deficiency. And now, I guess, we've made contact with her father Eric and it looks like he wishes to participate. So we ask for some time.

THE COURT: Has Eric started -- did they do a succession?

MR. ELLIOTT: I don't have those details if he started in the state or if he's done any kind of probate paperwork. All I have is he wishes to participate, so.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you have something, Mr. Lambert?

MR. LAMBERT: Yes, your Honor. We're happy to discuss,
as we were discussing with the other counsel from the first part of
this hearing last week, whether or not the heirs want to pursue the
appropriate survival action under whatever state law is applicable

14:59:57 13 15:00:01 14 15:00:04 15 15:00:09 16

15:00:19 18 15:00:22 19 15:00:27 20

15:00:12 17

15:00:34 22 15:00:37 23 15:00:41 24

15:00:48 25

15:00:32 21

to their claim, we're happy to discuss that and report back at the 15:00:51 1 15:00:55 2 next conference. THE COURT: Why don't we just pass this for 30 days until 15:00:56 we see what we're going to do. Okay. 15:01:03 4 I believe that concludes it. 15:01:07 MR. ELLIOTT: 15:01:11 6 MR. LAMBERT: Before Mr. Insogna leaves the phone, can we 15:01:15 7 wish him a happy birthday? THE COURT: It's your birthday? 15:01:17 8 15:01:17 9 MR. INSOGNA: It is, thank you. 15:01:21 10 THE COURT: Happy birthday. 15:01:22 11 MS. BRILLEAUX: Happy birthday, Mr. Insogna. 15:01:25 12 MR. INSOGNA: Thank you everyone. 15:01:26 13 THE COURT: I will not ask you how old you are. 15:01:35 14 MS. BARRIOS: The plaintiffs would like to tell 15:01:38 15 Ms. Bieri, who was in a tornado shelter last night, but yet 15:01:43 16 nonetheless got us the list, how much we appreciate her efforts. 15:01:47 17 THE COURT: Oh, my goodness. 15:01:48 18 MS. BRILLEAUX: And staff as well. But, yes, Ms. Bieri was literally driving to take shelter from a tornado and we still 15:01:51 19 15:01:55 20 got the list last night. THE COURT: Ms. Bieri, are you okay? 15:01:56 21 15:01:59 22 Yes, your Honor. Everything turned out just MS. BIERI: 15:02:02 23 fine for us. Thank you for your kind words, Ms. Barrios, and yours 15:02:06 24 as well, Judge Milazzo. 15:02:08 25 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'm glad everyone is safe and

sound. I had no idea. We could have put this off some if that was necessary. I am very relieved to know you all are fine. Thank you.

MS. BARRIOS: Your Honor, I'm sorry, there's just one, I

hate to say housekeeping record. A time ago in a show cause hearing there were three cases represented by Mr. Gordon Kessler: Jennifer Jennings, her case number 2:18-CV-10009; second is Romona Jimenez, No. 2:18-CV-09378; the next is Gennell King, No. 2:18-CV-09090. It's just really to correct the record.

The deficiency was that no CMO 12A process had begun and the actual statement on the transcripts instructs counsel to upload that to Centrality. Technically, that's not uploaded to Centrality, that is e-mailed to defense counsel. And plaintiffs' counsel was just very concerned that he wasn't following the orders of the Court, but what the Court had ordered is not the usual process. So I just wanted to make a statement on his behalf for the record.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. BARRIOS: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. BRILLEAUX: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you all.

(WHEREUPON, THE PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED.)

24

15:02:25

15:02:30

15:02:35

15:02:52 8

15:03:05 9

15:03:10 10

15:03:17 11

15:03:22 12

15:03:26 13

15:03:31 14

15:03:34 15

15:03:40 16

15:03:43 17

15:03:43 18

15:03:44 19

15:03:45 20

15:03:45 21

15:03:47 22

15:03:52 23

5

6

7

25

* * * * * *

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Karen A. Ibos, CCR, Official Court Reporter, United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript, to the best of my ability and understanding, from the record of the proceedings in the above-entitled and numbered matter. /s/ Karen A. Ibos Karen A. Ibos, CCR, RPR, CRR, RMR Official Court Reporter 2.4