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## PROCEEDINGS

(September 20, 2016)
THE COURT: Be seated, please. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

Call the case.
THE DEPUTY CLERK: MDL 2592, In re Xare7to Products Liability Litigation.

THE COURT: Counsel make their appearance for the record, please.

MR. MEUNIER: Jerry Meunier, co-1iaison counse1 for plaintiffs.

MR. IRWIN: Jim Irwin for defendants. Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning. We are here today for our monthly status report. I have several motions in addition to the status report, but we will take the status report first. Let me hear from the parties.

MR. MEUNIER: May it please the Court. Jerry Meunier for plaintiffs. The joint report, Your Honor, lists the pretrial orders that have been entered in the case. None has been entered since the last conference.

In regard to case management orders, we understand the Court will soon be entering CMO 2A, which is submitted as to the adjustment of trial dates for the bellwether trials next year.

THE COURT: Yes. We have several bellwether trials set already. We have two in New Orleans, one in Mississippi, and one will be set in Texas. We have had to move them back a bit because of the circumstances, but we are hopefully on schedule.

MR. MEUNIER: Section 4 of the joint report reiterates the information on counsel contact information forms, Judge. We put that in every report because Mr. Davis and I consider it extremely helpful and important in the case that counse1 continue to submit those forms so that we have current information on counsel.

On plaintiff fact sheets, which is in Section 5, we understand that Mr. Woody will not be present today to make a report. He has submitted to counsel the information on plaintiff fact sheets. I will just give those numbers for the record.

As of September 19, 2016, a total of 12,010 plaintiff fact sheets have been submitted. 1,371 fact sheets are in progress. The total registered plaintiff count is 13,381; 13,381 total registered plaintiffs in the MDL.

THE COURT: The fact sheets play an important role in the litigation. This type of case is so vast that instead of having interrogatories sent to the parties and the time that it takes for interrogatories, the Court gets both sides to prepare a fact sheet containing information that each side needs and
feels is important to at least preliminarily deal with the issues in the case.

So the fact sheets go out, and I give the parties an opportunity to answer the fact sheets. That is a way of getting early discovery so that depositions can proceed timely. The fact sheets are very important, and they call for the plaintiffs and the defendants to submit certain information.

From the standpoint of the plaintiffs, they have a period of time to get the information. If they cannot get the information, there's also an opportunity for the liaison counsel to get involved, assist, and do what they can to help them get information. If they still can't get information, then I have to get involved in it and provide for dismissal of the case if the information is not forthcoming.

I don't do that in a willy-nilly matter. I give everybody an opportunity to get the information. If you can't get the information or won't get the information or are not interested in getting the information, then you need to be in another lawsuit and not in this one. That's important for everybody to know.

We have presently in this Court about 13,000 individual cases. I have at least 500 lawyers that are handling this case. It just needs to be handled in some efficient, effective way to get through this type of
litigation. If not, it goes on interminably, and we will be here for 20 or 30 or 40 years dealing with this. It's important for everybody to try to cooperate and get this information.

MR. MEUNIER: Your Honor, we mentioned in the same section on plaintiff fact sheets the system we have in place with defendants on those fact sheets which are either deficient or which are not timely provided. Following this conference, in fact, there will be further discussion and some motions to be addressed by the Court on those matters.

It is a successful protocol. We think it's working to help narrow it down before we bring to Your Honor's attention those issues that involve plaintiffs with fact sheet difficulties.

Nothing new on defendant fact sheets or bundling or the preservation order or the order governing interaction with physicians.

Section 10 deals with discovery as between the parties. There are deadlines approaching for the completion of general discovery. Counsel are working hard and diligently to get those depositions taken and that discovery completed.

The biweekly discovery conferences that we have on the calendar with Your Honor are proving to be extremely helpful to expedite the handling of issues and not delay resolution based on motion practice.

THE COURT: Let me speak on that. As everybody knows on the phone as well as in the courtroom, I have monthly meetings that everybody is invited to. I notice them on my website so that there's no secret. Everybody knows the time and the place for the meeting. If they can't make the meeting in person, they have an opportunity to call in. I have hundreds of people on the phone generally, just as I do today, listening to the presentation at the monthly meetings. Oftentimes those monthly meetings are transcribed; and if they are transcribed, I put that on the website.

In addition, the discovery is fast going on. We have bellwether trials set for early next year, and the parties are vigorously pursuing discovery. They present to me material that they have some objection to. I review the material and make a decision on it.

In addition, every two weeks I have a date that I consult with the parties if they have any discovery issues. This hopefully moves matters along, but it also depends on cooperation from the people who may not be on the committees to supply the information necessary.

MR. MEUNIER: Your Honor, in Section 11 we do address the pending discovery issued to third parties. With respect to the Duke Clinical Research Institute (DCRI), we have had a further recent production of documents which have been shared with the defendants. That matter is proceeding efficiently.

With respect to the requested discovery from Pharmaceutical Research and Manufacturers of America (PhRMA), Your Honor issued a minute entry in July (Rec. Doc. 3730) which directed us to confer with counsel for that entity and come up with appropriate search terms to narrow the scope of what we were requesting by subpoena. Search terms have now been agreed upon. There is going to be a discussion soon that will address the appropriate timetable to complete that production now that the search terms are decided.

Then with respect to Portola Pharmaceuticals, Judge, we discussed this with you in chambers. We have heard from counsel for that entity. We have followed the Court's directive from your order (Rec. Doc. 3796) to have further meet-and-confer discussions on the issue specifically of how to handle "Attorneys' Eyes On1y" (AEO) documents that are designated in the production by Portola and who should have access to those documents. The timetable for that was addressed with the Court. It's helpful that you have offered us a solution going forward.

THE COURT: I talked to Portola's attorney today in the presence of counsel for both sides of this litigation. What I instructed the Portola attorney to do is to begin sending the information immediately, with the understanding that each side will receive the information, but it will be received for the attorneys' eyes only.

Now, all of us know that it's going to be necessary for outside experts to look at it and maybe even inside experts to look at it, but the first step is to get the material. The material will be forthcoming hopefully by the end of the week or the end of next week. The attorneys then will go into the next step and file a motion for outside experts or inside experts or whoever else they want to look at the case. I will take a look at their motions, and I will make a decision on that. I want to make sure that Portola gives the information so that we can get it out of their possession into the possession of the parties. That's what we are going to do, a step-by-step process.

MR. MEUNIER: Thank you, Judge. Section 12 of the record addresses state/federal coordination. Our state/federal liaison in the MDL, Ms. Barrios, is here to make a report.

MS. BARRIOS: Thank you, Mr. Meunier.
Good morning, Your Honor. Dawn Barrios for the state/federal committee. I would like to thank the defense, Weitz \& Luxenberg, and also Mr. Weinkowitz for providing me with the information to put on the chart that I have given your law clerk and the parties.

There are two items different on this chart. We have highlighted the names of the new judges so that you would know they are new from last time. If you will notice, there are a couple of them in California.

California has formed the JCCP, which is their coordinating proceedings. Leadership has not been put into place. They have had a conference recently. Judge Freeman has decided that he would like the parties to coordinate with the MDL, that they want to use the MDL's protective order, but they have to make sure that it comports with California law. He will probably be appointing a liaison counsel to the MDL. In California, the 13 cases that we have listed, not all of them have yet been transferred over to Judge Freeman.

There is also a different item that I want to bring to the Court's attention and that is a scratch-out. That means that the case is no longer in existence. If you will note, in New Jersey the case has been dismissed. Then again in South Carolina, that case has been removed to the MDL.

So totally this month, Your Honor, there are 1,193 cases filed. It's been an increase of 116 since last status conference. The current number of Xarelto users in those cases is 1,564 , and that's 58 more than last time.

Your Honor, Mr. Weinkowitz is here, and I think he will make a presentation on Pennsylvania.

THE COURT: What's the state that's the most --
MS. BARRIOS: Pennsylvania.
THE COURT: Pennsylvania.
MS. BARRIOS: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you, Dawn.

MR. WEINKOWITZ: Good morning, Your Honor. Not much really to report. We have about --

THE COURT: Spe11 your name for the record, please.
MR. WEINKOWITZ: Mike Weinkowitz,
W-E-I-N-K-O-W-I-T-Z. We are close to 1,000 cases in Pennsylvania. We have a status conference with Judge New tomorrow. On the agenda is our bellwether process, which we have been meeting and conferring on for about two weeks. I think we are close. There are some issues that Judge New needs to decide, discovery issues, going into the bellwether program, but I think we will be able to successfully meet.

THE COURT: Mike, I will be talking to Judge New too, I'm sure. My interest is to be of assistance whenever I can. If I can coordinate matters with him, I will make every effort to coordinate matters. It's going to be really his call as to his schedule, of course, but we will see what we can do to hopefully help both sides as well as the courts coordinate matters.

MR. WEINKOWITZ: Thank you, Your Honor. One of the case management orders we are going to present to him tomorrow is a coordinated generic expert schedule, which would include depositions, expert reports. We'11 see what the judge wants to do on that.

THE COURT: We11, I know that the defendants will have some input on that, and hopefully we can resolve any
coordination issues that may or may not be present.
MR. WEINKOWITZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you, Mike, for being here.
MR. MEUNIER: Your Honor, I just may add that the coordination with Pennsylvania is particularly important when you look at Ms. Barrios' report. Of approximately 1,200 state court cases filed, about 800 or about two-thirds are in Pennsylvania.

Your Honor, following the conference there will be some matters and motions presented on the plaintiff fact sheet deficiency question and requested dismissals of those cases. The only other thing to decide in this conference would be the date of the November conference.

THE COURT: The next meetings will be on October 25 and November 29.

MR. MEUNIER: Both at 9:00 a.m.
THE COURT: Both at 9:00 a.m., right. So that's October 25 and November 29.

MR. MEUNIER: Thank you, Judge. Sindu Danie1 wil1 handle the PSC aspect of the next part.
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