1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA			
2	***************			
3	IN RE: XARELTO (RIVAROXABAN) MDL 2592 "L" PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION			
4	OCTOBER 25, 2016			
5	0010BER 20, 2010			
6	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO JUDGE ELDON E. FALLON ALL CASES			
7	MAG. JUDGE MICHAEL NORTH			
8	**************			
9				
10	TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE HEARD BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELDON E. FALLON			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE			
12	APPEARANCES:			
13	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS' MR. LEONARD A. DAVIS			
14	LIAISON COUNSEL: Herman, Herman & Katz, LLC 820 O'Keefe Avenue			
15	New Orleans, LA 70113			
16	MR. GERALD EDWARD MEUNIER			
17	Gainsburgh, Benjamin, David, Meunier & Warshauer			
18	Energy Centre 1100 Poydras Street			
19	Suite 2800 New Orleans, LA 70163-2800			
20				
21	PLAINTIFFS STATE MS. DAWN BARRIOS LIAISON COMMITTEE Barrios Kingsdorf &			
22	CHAIR: Casteix, LLP 701 Poydras Street			
23	Suite 3650			
	New Orleans, LA 70139			
24				
25				

1	PLAINTIFFS:	MS. SINDHU S. DANIEL BARON & BUDD, P.C.	
2		3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Suite 1100	
3		Dallas, TX 75219-4281	
4			
5	FOR THE DEFENDANTS' LIAISON COUNSEL:	MR. JAMES B. IRWIN, V Irwin Fritchie	
6		Urquhart & Moore, LLC 400 Poydras Street	
7		Suite 2700 New Orleans, LA 70130	
8		now of round, Ext rotos	
9	FOR THE DEFENDANTS:	MS. CHANDA A. MILLER	
10		Drinker, Biddle & Reath, LLP One Logan Square	
11		Suite 2000 Philadelphia, PA 19103-6996	
12		Till Tade Tpilla, TA 13103-0330	
13	SPECIAL MASTER:	MR. JACOB WOODY	
14	SI LOTAL HASTER.	BrownGreer PLC 250 Rocketts Way	
15		Richmond, VA 23231	
16			
17	Official Court Reporter:	lania M. Cruith DDD CDD	
18	official court Reporter.	Lanie M. Smith, RPR, CRR 500 Poydras Street, B-275 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130	
19		(504) 589-7782	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	Description		
25	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced via computer.		

1	INDEX			
2		PAGE NO.		
3	Pretrial Orders	4		
4	Case Management Orders	6		
5	Bellwether Selections	6		
6	Counsel Contact Information Form	6		
7	Plaintiff Fact Sheets	7		
8	Defendant Fact Sheets	7		
9	Service of Process on Certain Bayer Defendants	5		
10	Bundling of Complaints/Answers/Responsive Pleadings	13		
11	Preservation Order	13		
12	Order Governing the Parties' Interactions with MDL Plaintiffs' Prescribing and Treating Physicians	13		
14	Discovery	13		
15	Discovery Issued to Third Parties	13		
16	State/Federal Coordination	14		
17	Matters Set for Hearing Following Status Conference	14		
18	Next Status Conference	14		
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>

(Call to order of the court.)

THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Call the case, please.

THE COURTROOM MANAGER: MDL Number 2592, In Re: Xarelto Products Liability Litigation.

THE COURT: Counsel, make your appearances for the record, please.

MR. DAVIS: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm

Leonard Davis from the law firm Herman, Herman & Katz,

co-plaintiffs' liaison counsel.

MR. IRWIN: Good morning. I'm Jim Irwin for defendants.

THE COURT: All right. We're here today for our monthly status conference, except for people on the phone.

I'll take the motions after this conference; and we'll give them an opportunity, if they need to, to call in.

I met with the counsel for the lead liaison and discussed the proposed agenda. We take it in the order proposed.

Anything on pretrial orders?

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, the joint report lays out a number of issues specifically with respect to the first section on pretrial orders. There's a new pretrial order in the 10 series, in 10A and 10B. It's actually not a pretrial order

09:06AM

7

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

09:06AM 10

11 12

13

14 09:07AM 15

16

17

18

19

09:07AM **20**

2122

23

24

09:07AM **25**

that's new, but there's an order that was issued by the Court and we specifically reference that in section 7, which deals with service of process on certain Bayer defendants. There's an order that was issued by the Court which extends the time for service of a summons and complaint pursuant to Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and it's now -- for the benefit of those who are on the phone and who have not yet checked the Court's website to see orders, it extends the time to run from the issuance of the summons by the clerk of court for the filing of the complaint; and I make that announcement so that folks are aware. My office and Jerry Meunier's office have received a number of phone calls with respect to service issues and I remind people that matters are posted to the Court's website and that with respect to service this issue arose as a result of problems in the clerk's office in getting summons out and I make that known to folks who may be listening on the call.

THE COURT: Right. But we had a problem because of the influx of cases, but I'm told by the clerk's office that they're current now and, so, we will be able to move it along quite well. We have about 14,000 cases that have been filed, individual cases; but they're coming in now just regularly as opposed to groups, as we've seen early on.

MR. DAVIS: And, Your Honor, the order that was issued wasn't posted in the series of a pretrial order.

09:08AM

7

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

09:08AM 10

11 12

13

14

09:09AM **15**

16

17 18

19

•

09:09AM **20**

21

2223

24

09:09AM **25**

THE COURT: Right.

MR. DAVIS: And, so, those who need access to it, it's Rec Doc. Number 4217 and I may ask if that can be posted to the Court's website. That might be helpful.

THE COURT: We'll do that. Anything on the Case Management Orders?

MR. DAVIS: Yes. The only other one that's new is Pretrial Order 12B, which applied specifically to a third party production by Portola; and that one is posted.

The second item on the agenda is Case Management Order Numbers 2, 3, 4 and 5. Pretrial Order 2A -- I'm sorry. CMO, Case Management Order 2A was entered by the Court on September 21st, 2016. That order sets the four trials, the four bellwether trials. Specifically it gives the dates of the first trial, which is March 13th which is in the Eastern District of Louisiana, the April 24th which is another Eastern District of Louisiana, the May 30th which is in Mississippi and the other yet to be determined, the Texas; and it also provides cutoff dates and that's in a case management order.

Your Honor, I have nothing further to report on bellwether selection.

Counsel Contact Information Forms, we continue to receive those and remind people that if they're new to litigation, to please send those in.

With respect to Plaintiff and Defendant Fact

09:09AM

7

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

09:10AM **10**

11

12

13 14

09:10AM **15**

16

17

18 19

09:11AM **20**

21

22

23

24

09:11AM **25**

Sheets, there are various motions that are set after the status conference on alleged deficiencies, and I know that BrownGreer is present to make a report.

THE COURT: Right. Yes, let's get a report. The fact sheets are very important both for those in court as well as those outside of court on the phone. The information that's provided is really not that difficult of information to obtain. I have to enforce those. I want to give time to do so; but if I get the impression that there's no interest in supplying the information, then I'm going to have to dismiss the case because you have to show initially that you're taking the medication. That's part of the fact sheets. And some of the other aspects of the fact sheets, it's really easy to fill out; and if you need some help, there's opportunities to get help. Call liaison counsel and they'll put you in touch with someone who can give you help, but we've got to get those fact sheets filled out.

Jake, what's the report?

MR. WOODY: Good morning, Your Honor. My name is

Jake Woody from BrownGreer. I have a brief report on Plaintiff

Fact Sheets.

So far we've received 12,599 Plaintiff Fact Sheets. We have another 1,375 in progress, which brings our total to 13,974, and I think that's in line with what the clerk's office reports on the number of cases.

09:11AM

09:12AM 10

09:12AM **15**

09:12AM **20**

09:12AM **25**

1 This is our sort of submission timeline, as you 2 Up until July of this year, we received about 500 3 fact sheets a month. In July that number jumped to 1500 and in 4 August it jumped to 3500 and that was not unexpected. As you recall, the bundled complaint deadline was in May, which put --5 09:13AM the Court received a large number of new complaints which, in 6 7 turn, leads to new fact sheets. But as can you see, the 8 numbers returned down to normal in September; and in October we 9 received 375 so far with just a few days left in the month. 09:13AM 10 Despite that spike in submissions, our 11 demographics have remained largely stable, 51 percent male, 12 49 percent female. As you can see from the age chart there in 13 Rows 4 and 5, 53 percent of all plaintiffs are between the age 14 of 60 and 79. 09:13AM **15** THE COURT: How does that square with our discovery 16 pool? 17 MR. WOODY: I'm glad you asked that. I have that 18 information here. This is our comparison with the discovery 19 pool. 09:14AM **20** 51 percent of the MDL is male. 21 52 percent of the discovery pool is male. 22 53 percent, as I just mentioned, is between 60 23 and 79; and 77 percent of the discovery pool is that same age. 24 59 percent of the MDL allege a GI bleed. 09:14AM **25** 72 percent of the discovery pool allege that injury.

And 52 percent of the MDL took Xarelto for the reduction of risk of stroke and 72 percent of the MDL, of the discovery pool, took it for that.

I do want to mention that the discovery pool was weighted a bit to try to find people with these characteristics. So, that's why the numbers in the discovery pool are a little bit higher than the MDL; but the characteristics that were weighted are the ones that are most prevalent in the MDL. So, the cases that are eligible for bellwether are representative of the MDL as a whole.

THE COURT: Yes, I think that's one of the advantages of the centrality is that it gives you an opportunity to at least capture the census of the litigation in a smaller group, which we call the discovery pool; and then from that discovery pool after those cases have been discovered, then bellwether cases are selected. And when you select them from the discovery pool that mirrors the census of the litigation, you get a better shot, a better opportunity to at least get some information for your clients and for you also with the bellwether cases.

MR. WOODY: Yes, sir.

I do want to touch briefly on PTO 27 which the Court entered on April 22nd of 2016. PTO 27 changed the fact sheet obligations a bit. It required that plaintiffs only submit Section 1 of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet, produce proof of

09:14AM

09:14AM **10**

09:15AM **15**

09:15AM **20**

09:15AM **25**

use records and proof of injury records and also medical records and pharmacy records. It lessened the number of questions and the number of documents that plaintiffs had to submit.

It's been six months; so, I can give a little analysis of what that's done to the fact sheet process. Before PTO 27, only 20 percent of plaintiffs were able to start and submit a fact sheet within two days. After PTO 27, that number went up to 26 percent. So people are able to start and complete the fact sheet a little bit faster, which is intuitive, because it's shorter and it's less information to provide. But it did, in fact, make it faster for people to submit.

Before PTO 27, 49 percent of plaintiffs received a deficiency notice from the defendant. After PTO 27, that number dropped down to 22 percent. So, it looks like the quality of the information that people were able to provide improved, they received fewer deficiencies and were able to move through the fact sheet process faster.

Finally before PTO 27, 56 percent of everyone who got a deficiency notice got more than one. They got a deficiency notice, they amended their fact sheet and then they got another deficiency notice after that.

After PTO 27, the percentage of people who got multiple dropped down to 10 percent. So, again, another

09:15AM

09:16AM 10

09:16AM 15

_

09:16AM **20**

09:17AM **25**

indication that PTO 27 made it faster to do the fact sheet and also improved the quality of the answers on the fact sheets themselves.

THE COURT: Yes. And I think we've learned from the fact sheets that there is some information that is critical information to at least allow someone to participate in the litigation. That's the type of information that we ought to get immediately and then the other information may be a little more problematic in the sense that it takes a little more time to gather the information called for.

So, the fact sheets now are somewhat divided in the sense that there's some opportunity to get the information quickly that is critical and then give time for the information that's takes a little more time to collect.

MR. WOODY: Yes. sir.

Finally just some brief statistics on MDL

Centrality. We have 426 firms using the system, over 1600 individual people. We've been able to identify 117 duplicate plaintiffs. Just based on the Social Security number, we can tell it's the same person represented by different firms. We notify each firm and let them work that out. We have over 155,000 documents uploaded and stored in the system. The largest file is one gigabyte, which is a very large file. I mention that only because we expect large files, medical records and that sort of thing.

09:17AM

09:17AM 10

09:17AM **15**

09:18AM **20**

09:18AM **25**

And finally we have served 5,876 pleadings that we received through the ECF system. We send an e-mail to all counsel notifying them that something new has been filed and actually attach the document and store those in our system and they're always searchable and available if anyone ever needs to go look for a motion or an order.

THE COURT: Good.

MR. WOODY: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Jake. I appreciate it.

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, my office and Jerry's office continue to get calls from individuals with respect to fact sheet issues and we continue to encourage plaintiffs' counsel to fill out their fact sheets and submit what they can and recognize that if it's incomplete that they can always supplement and they have an obligation under the order to supplement; but we do encourage all plaintiffs' counsel to timely submit that profile form.

THE COURT: Yes. And I reinforce that. If you have information, don't wait until you get the last question answered until you submit. If you've got some information, submit it. I'll give you an opportunity to supplement; but if you don't do it at all, then that's an indication to me that you're not interested in participating. So, if you have some information, give it. I'll give you an opportunity to supplement, but at least get that information in.

09:18AM

09:18AM 10

09:19AM **15**

09:19AM **20**

09:19AM **25**

1 MR. DAVIS: With respect to Item 8 on the status 2 report, the Court is well aware and I believe counsel should be 3 aware that the clerk's office no longer accepts bundled 4 complaints; but you still have your obligation on profile forms and to continue the process in the litigation. 5 09:20AM With respect to Number 9, there's nothing to 6 7 report on Preservation Order. It's on there so that folks are 8 aware of that. We encourage you to look at Pretrial Order 15. 9 With respect to Number 10, there's nothing to 09:20AM 10 report. 11 On Number 11 there are a number of ongoing 12 13 14

discovery items. The parties continue to meet for biweekly discovery conferences with the Court which are very helpful and we'll take those issues up as needed in those conferences and folks are welcome to look at the Joint Report if they want to see what discovery is ongoing because they're laid out in the report, but I don't think that there's a need for me to burden the Court with each of those items.

THE COURT: Just for everyone's information, I have meetings every two weeks with liaison lead counsel to talk about any discovery issues; and we resolve those discovery issues at that time.

MR. DAVIS: With respect to Item 12, third-party discovery is ongoing.

I'll point out two items that just need to be

19

09:20AM **15**

16

17

18

09:21AM **20**

21

22

23

24

09:21AM **25**

updated to the Court. With respect to PhRMA, documents did, in fact, come in and they've been provided to the defendants and, so, that production is ongoing and I know Your Honor was involved in PhRMA issues previously; so, you have an update on that.

With respect to Portola, they also have made productions and those documents are in the process of being reviewed. And if there are any other issues with respect to third parties, we will let you know in the discovery biweekly conference.

There are a few other items that are reported in the Joint Report as to third parties, but there's nothing that needs to be further addressed with those matters unless defendants may have something that they want to add to that.

MR. IRWIN: No.

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, with respect to the state/federal coordination matter, I've been advised that in Pennsylvania the bellwether picks are due on October the 31st and they have categories that are both plaintiff and defendant picks and they have ten trials that are set beginning September 2017. I also have been told that they go by *Frye* as opposed to *Daubert* and that Judge New will be dealing with his issues is what I've been told.

And I know Ms. Barrios is present to give a report, but I was asked yesterday in our PSC meeting where we

09:21AM

09:22AM 10

_

09:22AM **15**

09:22AM **20**

09:23AM **25**

had some coordination on that issue to report that. 1 2 MS. BARRIOS: Thank you, Mr. Davis. 3 Good morning, Your Honor. Dawn Barrios for the 4 federal/state committee. I'm going to just tag onto things that Lenny said 5 09:23AM because I got an e-mail asking me to specifically read things 6 7 to Your Honor; so, I always do what people ask me to do. 8 I'm going to read it. 9 Judge New entered the bellwether CMO 11 last week. The bellwether plan was heavily negotiated for a month 09:23AM 10 11 by the parties. 12 The parties are in the process of picking a core 13 discovery pool of 24 cases by October 31st. Pennsylvania would like to thank PSC members Ellen Relkin and Sindhu Daniel for 14 09:23AM **15** their assistance in the bellwether case selection process. 16 The first trail in Pennsylvania is 17 September 29, 2017. There will be two cases tried every month 18 beginning September. Judge New was advised that the MDL trial 19 had moved its bellwether trial dates by a month. Judge New was 09:24AM **20** very clear that while he was pleased the MDL was ahead of 21 Pennsylvania, he would not move his trial date now that they 22 are all set in case the MDL moves the trials again. 23 And, lastly, the defendants opposed the 24 plaintiffs' request for a coordinated generic expert schedule

with the MDL. Judge New agreed with the defendants and opted

09:24AM **25**

not to coordinate at this time. The parties in Pennsylvania are to meet and confer to propose a staggered schedule including generic expert report disclosures and briefing.

That's the end of what I was requested to read.

Your Honor, I had given your law clerk and provided to the parties the state court stats as of October 24th. Again, I'd like to thank the defense for their help in providing it to me. I would also like to thank Pennsylvania's co-lead counsel because they provide information, as does Mindy Nokes in Ellen Relkin's office.

The largest things that have happened is that the JCCP has now been effectuated and all the cases now are before Judge Kenneth Freeman. California currently has 50 Xarelto users. And I would like to count users as opposed to cases, because so many cases have multiple users. That is 2 percent of all the state court stats. California will have its first status conference mid-December.

Delaware has a large number of cases as well Your Honor. There's 195 Xarelto users in Delaware court, and that is 11 percent of the state courts' cases.

In Florida a new judge has been appointed and I've highlighted that on your copy and on everyone's copy, Your Honor, because that would mean if you wanted to reach out to that judge, that judge would be the one to reach out to.

THE COURT: Okay.

09:24AM

09:25AM **10**

09:25AM **15**

09:25AM **20**

09:26AM **25**

MS. BARRIOS: Missouri was a total of 153 Xarelto 1 2 That's 9 percent of the cases outside the MDL. 3 And by far Pennsylvania has the most. They have 4 1285 users, and that is 75 percent of all the state court 5 cases. 09:26AM In total there are 1285 cases filed outside the 6 7 MDL, and the number of Xarelto users is 1694. 8 Thank you, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Thank you very much; and again, if I can be of any help or service to any of the judges on any of those 09:26AM 10 11 cases, I would be happy to do so. We've got a number of forms. 12 Everything is on my website and if you need any information 13 that's not on the website, please call me and I'll make sure 14 you have it. 09:27AM **15** MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, there are two matters that I'm 16 aware of that are set for hearing after the status conference. 17 One is the alleged deficiencies and Ms. Daniel is here to help 18 us with that. Those are rollovers from last month. THE COURT: Right. 19 09:27AM **20** MR. DAVIS: Then there's a motion to dismiss without 21 prejudice the matter of Shayne Potter, and I don't know if 22 counsel is on the line for that. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Well, we'll take a break at this 24 time. 09:27AM **25** Let me give you the next dates. November the

1 29th is the next date and December 20th, both at 9:00 A.M. 2 I'll meet with liaison counsel at 8:30 as I always do. 3 Okay. Anything else before we go into the 4 motions? MR. DAVIS: Thank you, Your Honor. 5 09:27AM THE COURT: I'll be back in about 5, 10 minutes. 6 7 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: All rise. 8 (Court is in recess.) 9 THE COURT: Be seated, please. We have a number of motions, and people are on 09:41AM 10 11 the phone. I'll take the motions one at a time; and if anybody 12 on the phone has to speak to that motion, they can do so. 13 Otherwise, let's everybody listen. Let's take the first 14 motion. 09:41AM **15** MS. DANIEL: Good morning, Your Honor. Sindhu Daniel 16 for the plaintiffs. 17 MS. MILLER: Good morning, Your Honor. Chanda Miller 18 for the Janssen defendants. 19 MS. DANIEL: Your Honor, there were 14 cases that were 09:41AM **20** discussed at the order to show cause hearing held on 21 September 20th; and it was decided that they would be continued 22 until today. There are attorneys on the phone who are aware of 23 the circumstances, and they would like to address their cases 24 specifically. 09:42AM **25** Three of those cases have fallen off of this

list, Your Honor. Leslie Jones, Tamisha Verner and Ericka Williams are no longer on this list.

There are six cases where plaintiffs are represented by counsel; so, there are three firms representing those six cases and I believe they should be all on the line.

If we want to take the first case, which is Robin Arnoth, I know Mr. Pinedo is on the line representing those plaintiffs.

MR. PINEDO: Yes, Your Honor. Chris Pinedo on behalf of the plaintiffs.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. PINEDO: We had originally filed this lawsuit and were retained by Gerard Arnoth, the husband of Robin Arnoth, deceased; and we started going down the road to get the probate proceedings, whereupon we found out a fact our client did not tell us and that was that he and Ms. Arnoth were divorced. So, he no longer has standing to appear in a representative capacity for the estate. We have made multiple calls to the heirs to find somebody responsible to be able to represent the estate. We have talked to at least one heir who does not have mental capacity and, therefore, we would request that this case be dismissed without prejudice and plaintiffs would agree that if it was re-filed, that it be re-filed in the MDL.

THE COURT: What's your input there?

MS. MILLER: Your Honor, we wouldn't agree with that.

09:42AM

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

09:42AM 10

11

12

13 14

09:42AM **15**

16 17

18

19

09:43AM **20**

21

22

23

24

۷.

09:43AM **25**

The complaint was filed in December of 2015. So, at this point they've had since December 2015. We sent them overdue notices beginning in January. They received five; so, they've had ample opportunity to try to work this out.

THE COURT: What I will do is let me pass this one again for 30 days, give you another opportunity to tell them to do something or the case is going to be dismissed. Blame it on me because it is my fault, if there is any fault. question of getting the material. I want to give you enough time. I don't want to dismiss any case in which a person is interested in proceeding. But there are individuals -- and we all have to recognize that -- who initially want to be in a litigation, but they change their mind halfway through or partway through and they don't want to deal with it anymore. They get on with their lives, and I have to respect that too. But if you want to be in the litigation, you've got to follow the rules of the litigation. We need the material that's called for; and if you can't get it all, get what you can and send it to the Court. I'll understand that. But if you don't do anything, that's an indication that you're not interested in participating. So, let's tell them that; and I'll give you another 30 days to emphasize to them that the case is going to be dismissed.

What's the next one?

MR. PINEDO: Yes, Your Honor. I have told them unless

09:43AM

7

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

09:44AM 10

11 12

13 14

09:44AM **15**

16

17

18

19

09:44AM **20**

21

22

23

24

09:45AM **25**

1 a representative comes forward, it will be dismissed. We will 2 reach out again. I will represent to the Court we have made 3 multiple, multiple attempts; but I will reach out one more 4 We have not been able to have anybody step up to the plate who is qualified to take out an administration. But we 5 09:45AM will attempt again, Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. Next time detail all of the 8 things that you've done so that's in the record. I know you're 9 doing your best, and it's not any reflection on you. You're 09:45AM 10 doing your very best to get your client to cooperate; so, be 11 prepared to detail into the record all of the material, all 12 that you've done, all the information you have regarding how 13 you've tried to contact them and so forth. 14 Okay. Thank you --09:45AM 15 MR. PINEDO: Your Honor --16 THE COURT: -- very much. 17 MR. PINEDO: (Inaudible) as opposed to verbal, because 18 it might take --19 THE COURT: No, verbal is fine. No, you can do it 09:46AM 20 verbally. 21 MR. PINEDO: Thank you, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Yes. Okay. MS. DANIEL: Chris, if you want to go into the next 23 24 case, it's Barbara Dunlap. 09:46AM **25** MR. PINEDO: On the Barbara Dunlap case, we have made

multiple attempts to the client to get the information. She did not respond and then finally we sent her a form to sign asking for permission to dismiss the case without prejudice. She signed that form, sent it back to us and that's why we're asking this Court to have the case dismissed without prejudice, because the client has consented to it and we would ask that -- we are willing to agree to any condition that if she does re-file, it will be within the MDL.

MS. MILLER: This is another case, Your Honor, that was

MS. MILLER: This is another case, Your Honor, that was filed in December of 2015. We've sent them four notices since that time notifying them that the PFS was deficient and overdue and would not agree to a dismissal without prejudice or --

THE COURT: Chris, let me do the same thing. I'll give you another 30 days to tell the lady that it's going to be dismissed with prejudice and then just be prepared orally to tell me what you've done and how you've done it and what response, if any, you've gotten. We'll pass it for 30 days to give you another opportunity.

MR. PINEDO: Yes, Your Honor. I will contact this client again.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Chris.

MS. DANIEL: Chris, your last case is Louise Sells.

MR. PINEDO: Louise Sells. We have reached out to him multiple times. He says he has sent back the information, and we haven't got it. We've sent it to him again. We even sent

09:46AM

09:46AM 10

09:47AM **15**

09:47AM **20**

09:47AM **25**

him, since he had not responded to us, a form asking him to give us permission to dismiss his lawsuit. This is the son, because Louise Sells has passed away and, so, we had sent information to Cory Sells, who had retained us. And then we also sent him a disclosure asking for permission to dismiss the lawsuit, and he did not send that back. I'll represent to the Court that we have attempted to call him somewhere between 10 and 15 times; and we have written letters to him probably 7 to 10 times. And when we get him on the phone, he says, "I will send it" or "I have sent it," we don't get it and then we turn around and call him again.

But the crucial fact for the Court to know is that we sent him a waiver giving us permission to dismiss the lawsuit and he did not sign that either; so, I'm not in a position to agree to dismissal with prejudice for this particular client because I have not gotten that back from him. I would request that the Court dismiss it without prejudice and that if it is re-filed, that it be re-filed within the MDL.

THE COURT: Well, the response is going to be the same, I'm afraid; so, I'll give you one last time to contact that lawyer -- or that client and then be prepared to tell me all of the things that you've done and the fact that they haven't responded and then I will dismiss it.

MS. DANIEL: The next case, Your Honor, is

Isabella Copes and Ryan Bradley from the Bradley Law Firm, I

09:48AM

09:48AM **10**

09:48AM 15

09:48AM **20**

09:49AM **25**

believe, is on the line.

MR. BRADLEY: Good morning, Your Honor. I do have Isabella Copes and also Roberta Usher, which is line item 12; and they both kind of suffered the same kind of analysis here. Both are cases we got hired right before we chalked up the statute of limitations and did everything we could to investigate the case and thought we had a good-faith basis to file the case based upon the client's representation that a hemorrhagic stroke had occurred. We ordered medical records immediately. Unfortunately, you know, we couldn't get the records within time. So, it was better to be safe than sorry. We filed in anticipation that the medical records would flesh out that, yes, there was a hemorrhagic stroke. It turns out that there was not a hemorrhagic stroke in both of these cases; so, it does not fit the pattern of the form complaint in this case for the MDL.

So, we are seeking to dismiss both of these cases without prejudice and we've notified both clients here that the Court may dismiss with prejudice, but we would ask for it to be dismissed without so they could proceed if they wished in a different forum on a different type of claim.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. MILLER: Your Honor, the Copes case was filed in November of 2015, and they've been on notice for -- the first notice was sent in May of 2016. They have had more than enough

09:49AM

09:49AM 10

09:50AM **15**

09:50AM **20**

09:50AM **25**

time. It's the same with Roberta Usher's case. The complaint was filed on January 2016, received the first notice of deficiency in May of this year; so, in both cases they've had plenty of time to provide proof of injury.

THE COURT: I think you've done all you can for the client; but this case, they have been aware that it's going to be dismissed with prejudice. There's an opportunity for them to have responded; they didn't, other than the way that you said it. It seems that this case ought not to be in this proceeding; so, I'll dismiss it with prejudice.

MS. MILLER: Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. DANIEL: For Gloria Robinson, Your Honor, is anybody on from Kennedy Hodges.

MR. GREEN: Yes. This is Donald Green representing Gloria Robinson.

So, Your Honor, we have a pending amended motion to withdraw as counsel; and I think it's also subject to the show cause order as well. We were asking that the motion to withdraw as counsel be addressed first before any action is taken to dismiss the case.

THE COURT: Donald, this is what I'm going to do. I'm not going to dismiss the case at this point. I'll give you another 30 days to get to the client and tell them that I'm serious about it. If they have any information at all, they can give it to you; and you submit that. If they don't do

09:51AM

7

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

09:51AM **10**

.

11 12

13

14

09:51AM **15**

16

17 18

19

09:51AM **20**

21

22

23

24

09:52AM **25**

anything at all, then I'm going to interpret that as their indication that they don't want to proceed in this case; and I'll just dismiss it with prejudice.

Advise them of that and if you can't get their cooperation, then next 30 days, the next time we have a conference, be prepared to tell me all that you've done and whatever their response is; and I'll have to take that into consideration.

MR. GREEN: Your Honor, we have been able to -- we did reach her back on October 12th. We had tried multiple times to call her, sent letters, all of that, of course. And we finally did talk to her, and she was very abrupt on the phone. I mean, this goes back to the motion to withdraw as counsel. She has been very uncooperative. We've left a lot of messages. We've actually talked to her on the phone, and she's hung up on us before. That's why we're intending to withdraw as counsel.

Now, do you want to address that pending motion?

THE COURT: Well, what I'm telling you is that if you want to withdraw from counsel, let's have another counsel substitute for you so that I don't -- so that I'm not dealing with someone pro se. They hired you originally. They must have wanted a lawyer. If they want another lawyer, let's get another lawyer involved in it.

But if they're not going to participate, then I don't want to be in a position of having somebody -- you're

09:52AM

09:52AM 10

09:53AM **15**

09:53AM **20**

_

09:53AM **25**

representing her now. I'd like you to tell her that if she wants another lawyer or if she wants to speak to the Court, she can do so; but I can't have somebody not even respond to their own lawyer and still be in the case. That's not fair to her; it's not fair to the Court; it's not fair to anyone, including the defendant. So, I'd like to give her an opportunity.

I don't like to dismiss these cases with prejudice before I give them enough opportunity to respond. If they want another lawyer, let them get another lawyer. If they have some information, let them give the information that they have and we'll take it piecemeal; but if they don't want to participate at all, if they don't respond to their own lawyer, but they don't want to get another lawyer, but they don't want to respond to the Court's -- to give the information to their own lawyer, then I have to interpret that as somebody who is no longer interested in proceeding with the case.

MR. GREEN: That's understandable, Your Honor. We have mentioned to her before -- we have requested in voicemail messages and in letters that she -- that we've announced our intention to withdraw and we have advised her to find other counsel and, of course, we haven't received a response on that either. But we'll continue to try. We will continue to try to get ahold of her and let her know what's going on and hopefully we can get a response from her one way or the other.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Donald.

09:54AM

09:54AM 10

09:54AM 15

09:55AM **20**

09:55AM **25**

1 MR. GREEN: Thank you. 2 MS. DANIEL: Your Honor, the remaining five cases are 3 all pro se. I will read them off. If anyone is on the phone 4 after I am done reading the list, you can speak to the Judge. Arin Cunningham, Clifford Howard, John Lynn, 5 09:55AM Wayne Muldrow and Lukesha Terrell. 6 7 Are any of you on the call? MR. MULDROW: Wayne Muldrow. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. Why don't you tell us about it, sir. MR. MULDROW: The last time we spoke, you gave me some 09:55AM 10 11 extra time to try to find some evidence; but I spoke with, like 12 I told you, some people in town here, my lawyer. My doctor has 13 retired since the Xarelto was issued. He has dementia, so I 14 heard, and a lot of his records were destroyed in several 09:56AM **15** floods that we've had here; so, there's nothing I can do, sir. 16 I don't -- I can't have any proof or anything on anything. I 17 don't know what to do. 18 THE COURT: Could you get an affidavit or something 19 from him saying that he prescribed it, that he gave it to you 09:56AM **20** or prescribed it for you? 21 MR. MULDROW: Well, I was trying; but they said since 22 he has dementia, he's not capable of doing that now. 23 could have gotten to him before that, he could have done it, 24 they said; but he's not allowed. He's not going to sign 09:56AM **25** anything now because he has been diagnosed with dementia.

THE COURT: I see. 1 2 MR. MULDROW: Yes. sir. 3 THE COURT: Yes. Well, you're not going to be able to prove it. If you stay in the case, you're going to be 4 dismissed eventually because you're not going to be able to 5 09:56AM prove that you've even taken the drug or that it was even 6 7 prescribed for you. 8 MR. MULDROW: Yes, sir. Yeah, I understand. 9 understand. I was just following through because I did get something in the mail to be on the conference call this 09:57AM 10 11 morning. I was following through so you can do whatever you 12 need to do for me. 13 THE COURT: Thank you very much for all of your help. 14 I'm going to have to dismiss your case with prejudice, but 09:57AM **15** you've done a good job in trying and you need to know that. 16 MR. MULDROW: Yes, sir. All right. Thank you. 17 MS. DANIEL: Thank you, Your Honor. The list is 18 complete. 19 THE COURT: Anything else? Any other cases? 09:57AM **20** MS. DANIEL: No, that's it, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: What's the other case? 22 MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, I think that's it on the cases 23 that we've been handling. I want to thank defendants and 24 Sindhu for all their efforts in minimizing the Court's

involvement in these matters, and I think it's been helpful as

09:57AM **25**

we've gone through this. 1 2 THE COURT: Right. Prepare an order for me to sign 3 dismissing the cases with prejudice so that we --4 MS. MILLER: Yes, we will, Your Honor. MR. RUSSELL: Your Honor? 5 09:57AM THE COURT: Go ahead. 6 7 MR. RUSSELL: My name is Bill Russell, and I'm with the 8 firm of Sommerman, McCaffity. I was told that we had three 9 cases that were pending on here too. 09:58AM 10 THE COURT: Hold on a moment. 11 MS. DANIEL: You don't have any cases on this order 12 today. 13 MR. RUSSELL: Okay. Borlandelli, Cho and Thorpe? 14 THE COURT: Sindhu, do you know what he's saying? 09:58AM **15** MS. DANIEL: No, we can't hear him. 16 MS. MILLER: Can you repeat the names again, please? 17 MR. RUSSELL: Yes. One was Shelley Borlandelli and --18 MS. DANIEL: No. No. Your cases are not on this list. 19 You can call my office, Sindhu Daniel at Baron & Budd; and I'll 09:58AM **20** be happy to discuss it with you. 21 MR. RUSSELL: All right. 22 MS. QUINLIVAN: Your Honor, this is Meghan Quinlivan on 23 behalf of Shayne Potter. I believe our motion to dismiss is 24 also pending for today. 09:58AM **25** MR. DAVIS: On the prior matter, I believe they're on

1 the motion to withdraw as counsel list; and if they would call 2 my office -- this is Leonard Davis, plaintiffs' liaison 3 counsel. If you would call my office, I'll address that. 4 My appreciation is that the Court intends on addressing the motions to withdraw as counsel at the next 5 09:59AM status conference. 6 7 MR. RUSSELL: Okav. 8 MS. HEACOX: Your Honor, this is Catherine Heacox from 9 Lanier Law Firm. Just like the other gentleman, I have two 09:59AM 10 cases that I thought we were going to discuss today and that 11 was Smith and Payne. Ruby Smith and Bonita Payne. 12 MS. MILLER: Those were subject to a separate order 13 where they had until October 20th to submit a PFS or be dismissed, and we did receive a PFS for Ruby Smith. So, that 14 09:59AM **15** would be removed. 16 We did not receive a PFS for Bonita Payne. 17 MS. HEACOX: Right. So, what's going to happen with 18 Bonita Payne? 19 THE COURT: We've passed that until October -- what is 09:59AM **20** it? 21 MS. MILLER: Back in September you had given them 30 22 extra days and that was passed until today. 23 THE COURT: What about that one? What about the information on that one, Catherine? 24 10:00AM **25** MS. HEACOX: The client is a lot like some of the

others that were described here in that we sent multiple, multiple letters. We've called multiple times. I personally talked to the -- the last time we spoke or that I spoke to the Court about this, the daughter had agreed to try to help and then I spoke to her on the phone and she said, you know, "Now is not a good time."

So, we set up another time and then she wasn't there; so, we sent a letter to ask them to agree to dismiss. They wouldn't sign it. I do believe we've uploaded some information at this point, but the client will not sign the papers; so, I --

THE COURT: Do you want another chance to do that, Catherine? Do you want me to pass it for another 30 days? I don't want to dismiss these cases if the individuals have a reason or if they're upset about somebody passing or something of that sort. I want to give them time; but they have to understand that if they are in the litigation, they have to participate in the litigation. They can't just join it and then say, "Call me when the case is over." I mean, they have to actively participate. It's not fair to you. It's not fair to the defendants or the Court, for that matter.

MS. HEACOX: I agree with you a hundred percent. And if you're willing to give me another 30 days, I'll pull out all the stops and see whether that makes a difference.

THE COURT: Okay. I'll do that.

10:00AM

10:00AM 10

10:00AM **15**

10:01AM **20**

10:01AM **25**

MS. HEACOX: Thank you.

THE COURT: Let's pass it for 30 days. Thank you, Catherine.

Anything else?

MS. MILLER: Nothing else, Your Honor.

MR. DAVIS: Shayne Potter is the other matter that's set for hearing. There's a motion on that, a motion to dismiss without prejudice.

MS. MILLER: Is counsel on the phone?

MS. QUINLIVAN: Yes. Good morning. This is

Meghan Quinlivan on behalf of the plaintiffs in the Potter

matter.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. QUINLIVAN: We filed our motion to dismiss this case without prejudice. There is no dispute that dismissal is appropriate. The question is just whether it's with or without prejudice. Our firm filed the complaint on Mr. Potter's behalf on December 31st of last year; and a few days later on January 4th, we learned that Mr. Potter had passed away several days before the complaint was filed. He passed away on December 19th. After we learned that, we attempted to communicate with family members to notify them of the potential claim; but we have not been retained to pursue a claim on behalf of any potential beneficiaries; so, our request is to dismiss the case without prejudice simply to preserve the

10:01AM

7

9

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

10:01AM 10

11

12 13

14

10:02AM **15**

16

17 18

19

10:02AM **20**

21

22

23

24

10:02AM **25**

1 status quo with respect to the rights of any of those potential 2 plaintiffs whom we do not represent. 3 THE COURT: I understand the issue. What I'd like to 4 do with this one, I'll pass this for 60 days because it seems that 30 days may be too soon because of the death in the 5 10:03AM family. But you have to advise the people that they have to 6 7 either hire you or hire another lawyer and proceed with the 8 If they don't do that within 60 days, I'm going to 9 interpret that as an indication that they just do not want to 10:03AM 10 proceed with the case and I'll have to act accordingly and I'll 11 be dismissing it with prejudice. So, I'll give you an 12 opportunity to talk to them. Tell them that in 60 days I'll 13 need to hear from them or you, one way or the other. 14 MS. QUINLIVAN: Okay. Understood. Thank you, 10:03AM **15** Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 17 Anything else? 18 Okay. All right, folks. I'll see you-all next 19 time. 10:03AM **20** THE COURTROOM MANAGER: All rise. 21 (WHEREUPON, the proceedings were adjourned.) 22 23 24 25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Lanie M. Smith, CRR, RPR, Official Court Reporter, United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript, to the best of my ability and understanding, from the record of the proceedings in the above-entitled and numbered matter.

/s/ Lanie M. Smith
Official Court Reporter