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## P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

 M O R N I N G S E S S I O N WEDNESDAY, MAY 13, 2015 (COURT CALLED TO ORDER)THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.
THE COURT: Be seated, please. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

VOICES: Good morning, Judge.
THE COURT: Call the case, please.
THE DEPUTY CLERK: MDL Number 2592, In re: Xarelto Products Liability Litigation.

THE COURT: Would liaison counsel make their appearance for the record, please.

MR. DAVIS: Good morning, Your Honor. Leonard Davis, from Herman Herman \& Katz, on behalf of the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee.

MR. IRWIN: Jim Irwin, Your Honor, for defendants.
THE COURT: I met a moment ago with our lead liaison counsel to discuss the agenda with them. I'll take it in the order that it's given.

Pretrial orders. Anything?
MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, there are a number of new pretrial orders that have been issued.

So that individuals who are on the phone get the benefit, I will advise them that the Court has a website that's located at www.laed.USCourts.gov, and there is a Xarelto tag, which they should go to. The Court posts all of its pretrial orders and other information, including joint reports and ongoing materials.

THE COURT: I do that for the purpose of individuals, particularly individuals who are not able to attend, or if they are able to participate on the phone and they need something in writing.

I put everything on my website, all the forms, all of the things, the calendar and all of the transcripts of even these hearings go on the website, so that they'll have access to it.

I also think that it's helpful to scholars in the field to have these websites for MDL cases. They are able to follow them or, at the end of the case, at least, have a package to see how they've developed and make whatever they will out of it.

Case Management Order, anything?
MR. DAVIS: Yes, Your Honor. I'll go through some of these, if you would like me to, the new Pretrial Orders, and explain very, very briefly some of them, if I may. THE COURT: Okay. MR. DAVIS: Pretrial Order Number 11 was issued May the

11th. That provides for the bundling of complaints. I'll discuss that later, but it allows for up to a hundred claimants to be put together in what's called a joint complaint, which is later followed by a short form complaint.

That allows for a number of cases to be filed into the Court. Those who are looking at it need to look at the direct filing order, as well as the service order that's out there.

Pretrial Order Number 12 is new, which is a stipulated protective order.

Pretrial Order Number 13 and 14 deal with fact sheets. Specifically, I just want to remind plaintiffs in particular that it's approximately 60 days in which they have to file a fact sheet.

Again, that's on MDL centrality, which we'll talk about as we get into this conference further.

Pretrial Order 15 deals with preservation of documents and electronically stored evidence. All people are reminded to look at that. It supplements or modifies PTO Number 1.

Pretrial Order 16 deals with summonses.
So those are the new Pretrial Orders.
THE COURT: My thinking on the MDL, particularly in the start of the MDL, I think the first thing, before depositions are taken and before the documents are exchanged, I think it's
helpful to put down infrastructure.
It's kind of like building a subdivision. You put in the sewer lines and the light lines and things of that sort before you start building the houses. It makes a lot more sense because after the houses start, then it becomes more complicated.

So I directed the parties to focus on infrastructure. They are about there now, and so we'll be able to now move forward and, I think, more efficiently, because we've got protocols in place and various other documents. Various other Pretrial Orders set forth certain infrastructure that hopefully will make it easier for the parties.

MR. DAVIS: The second item is Case Management Orders.
Case Management Order Number 1 was issued by the court on May 4th. Folks should go to the website to look at that. But there have been some activities that have been undertaken since then, for instance, initial productions by defendants were provided, and they are being reviewed.

Counsel contact information -- I'm sorry --
MR. IRWIN: May I say something?
MR. DAVIS: I'm sorry.
MR. IRWIN: Thank you, Mr. Davis.
Your Honor, with respect to the paragraph 2 of Case Management Order Number 1, there is a deadline in there that the defendants will respond to the request for production

09:09:5310

09:09:5411

09:09:5812

09:10:02 13

09:10:0714

09:10:1115

09:10:14 16

09:10:1717

09:10:22 18

09:10:2619

09:10:31 20

09:10:38 21

09:10:42 22

09:10:45 23

09:10:50 24
$09: 10: 5325$
on May 31.
The plaintiffs very courteously provided us early on with a draft request for production. We were served with the formal request, and there were some changes in it.

They agreed that we could have until June 8 to respond to that. We wanted your blessing on that, please.

THE COURT: Sure. That's fine. If you all agree on that, I don't have any problem with it.

MR. DAVIS: That's correct.
Thank you, Jim.
Counsel contact information form, I'd just remind individuals that those forms need to be provided. It's very helpful so that communications can take place between liaison counsel and individuals, as well as with MDL centrality. Please look at Pretrial Order 4A and make sure that the counsel contact information form is turned in.

MDL centrality. BrownGreer --
THE COURT: Yes, I've asked Orran Brown to come today and to give us a slide presentation of what it is. I think it's helpful for the lawyers to at least hear what it's about, and if they have any questions, they are who to contact.

MR. DAVIS: On the plaintiffs' side, with respect to what I'll call the file and serve module, the plaintiffs have agreed that that system will be utilized for the distribution of pleadings and discovery documents, and that is ready to be
up and running.
Plaintiffs are encouraged to get their information from BrownGreer. I know that BrownGreer will go through that.

MR. IRWIN: Might I add this morning, Your Honor, as we discussed in chambers, that, like with interrogatories and document requests, notices of deposition will not be filed in the record --

THE COURT: Right.
MR. IRWIN: -- but they will be served through centrality.

THE COURT: Yes.
Orran, do you want to present at this point?
Let me hear from Orran Brown at this point on MDL centrality.

MR. BROWN: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm Orran Brown, from BrownGreer. With me is Jake Woody, from BrownGreer. We're going to show today -- Jake is going to show very briefly how the MDL centrality system works.

The day the Court entered the three orders that Mr. Davis mentioned on May the 4th was a trilogy of orders, PTO 13, PTO 14 and Case Management Order Number 1, that directed the parties to use a plaintiff fact sheet and defendant fact sheet and set the deadline for doing it.

We opened the online system that day, May 4, so
that people could fill out plaintiff fact sheets online, and we have an automated system that records that data in live data.

That system is up and running. Jake is going to show us quickly basically how it works. We're here to answer any questions the Court may have about it.

Obviously, if any of the counsel who are using this for the first time need help with it, we're here to help. We have e-mail addresses and phone number that Jake will show that we want to help everybody use this system and make it easy for them.

THE COURT: Jake.
MR. WOODY: Good morning, Your Honor. My name is Jake Woody from BrownGreer. I'll go through the MDL centrality system very briefly here.

It is simply an online portal for electronic submission of plaintiff and defendant fact sheets. The web address for MDL centrality is www.MDLcentrality.com/MDL2592.

THE COURT: I'll put that on our website, too, so that people have access to it.

MR. WOODY: When you go to that website, you'll see essentially a login screen that allows you to log in if you have credentials.

If you don't have credentials, you can contact us. You can use the Register as a Law Firm button that you can see on this login screen. We also preregistered about 225
people when the system went live based on submissions to plaintiffs' liaison counsel. We preregistered people and e-mailed them temporary logins and user names.

THE COURT: Now, what is the login ID? Is that your name?

MR. WOODY: It's a variation of your name. Everybody has a different one. Everybody has a different login and password.

THE COURT: Do you assign the login ID and password, or do they pick it?

MR. WOODY: We assign you a login and password. Once you're in the system, you can change it if you need to. The password we assign you is temporary, and you'll be prompted to change it as soon as you log in.

Nobody has the password. Once you change it, it's private. It's yours. We don't have it. You can always go change it and manage it through the system.

THE COURT: So if somebody pulls this up today, and they want to log in, what do they do?

MR. WOODY: They can click the Register as a Law Firm button that's at the bottom left side of the screen there, or they can e-mail us at MDLCentrality@BrownGreer.com, tell us who at your firm needs access. We need their name and their e-mail address. We will create the access, the credentials for them, and e-mail it to them.
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I do want to stress that because we use e-mail to communicate login and passwords, it's very important that you be able to receive e-mails from us.

We have found that, at times, e-mails that come from us may go to your spam folder or your junk folder. The way to solve that problem is to add BrownGreer.com as a trusted sender, so that we can communicate with you by e-mail.

If you have requested access and haven't heard from us within 24 hours, check your spam folder or your junk folder. If there is nothing there, you can e-mail us at MDLCentrality@BrownGreer.com. We'll handle it outside of this automatic e-mail system. We'll call you and set it up that way.

Once you log in, the navigation all takes place at the top of the screen, with these tool bars at the top. We also have this home page where we'll share important information about the MDL.

You can see here, we've listed some generic information about the deadlines to submit a plaintiff fact sheet, we have our terms of use, and we have our e-mail address and contact information here for people who need to contact us.

The navigation bars at the top of the screen are fairly self-explanatory. You click on the navigation bar or hover over it, and you get a dropdown menu of all your options.

If you click on the home option, you'll see you
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have a firm administration tab, which allows you to manage access within the firm. You can add users, delete users if they leave the firm. You can change who has rights to submit a fact sheet and who has rights simply to work on it, so that no one can accidentally submit a fact sheet.

We also have posted online tutorials here.
They're video, short videos of different functions within the system. You can click on those and watch them.

We're also happy to, if people would like, to do a one-on-one WebEx tutorial that's live. We can go through any questions people have about the system. The way to set that up --

THE COURT: What does My Account mean on that bar? MR. WOODY: That's where you would manage -- if you need to change your password, change your e-mail, if your e-mail changes, you can do that there, and you can log out of the system there.

The Fact Sheets tab we think is the most important tab that will get the most use. This is where you go to start a new fact sheet, to search for existing fact sheets and plaintiffs, to find the authorization forms, the HIPAA forms, etcetera, that are associated with the fact sheets.

To start a new fact sheet, you click on the Start New button. It's pretty self-explanatory.

You can also use our bulk upload system, which is
a way that you can import some basic information by spreadsheet, so that you don't have to data enter it individually.

Right now, we have very basic information there, name, date of birth and Social Security number. Those are the three data points that we need to start the process. We'll assign them a unique number based on the Social.

That is all we ask for in the upload template. If there are other things people would like to upload, contact us, and we'll see if we can accommodate that. I think that we probably can.

We've actually talked to a few people about expanding that already, and that is something that I think will grow as we work through the program.

We also have here what we call Plaintiff
Management. It's the bottom tab here. If you want to allow your clients to fill out a draft of the fact sheet on their own computer, you can use this to send them an e-mail that will have their own temporary login and password with their own credentials.

All they can do is fill it out. They can't see anybody else's fact sheet. They cannot submit it to the defendants. They can simply do basic data entry on their own computer and submit it to the plaintiffs' firm, who then has ultimate authority to manage the fact sheet at that point.
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To start a new fact sheet, as I mentioned, we do need some very basic information. When you click the Start New Fact Sheet, you'll see this screen. We ask for the name, the date of birth and the taxpayer ID or Social Security number.

Once you give us that, the process is started. We'll assign a unique number to that plaintiff, to differentiate people with the same name, so that we can tell them apart.

We do ask for e-mail here. It's not required that you give it. It doesn't go on the fact sheet. We use that for the plaintiff management tool $I$ just talked about a minute ago.

THE COURT: Now, when you say primary e-mail, you're talking about the plaintiff, or you're talking about the attorney?

MR. WOODY: This is the plaintiff's e-mail, and we can change that to clarify that this is for the plaintiff.

We already have the plaintiff's e-mail from the registration process. You don't have to give us any more information about your firm or who you are. We know who you are from your login. We know who is filling these out.

Once you fill this out and click continue, you'll be taken essentially to a table of contents for the plaintiff fact sheet. These questions are exact copies of the questions within the paper fact sheet that was attached to the
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Pretrial Order.
We have sort of condensed it into sections. The Roman numerals you see in this section correspond to the Roman numerals in the paper version. These are the main sections.

So that you can jump around, you can fill out in any order that you want, you can start it, save it, come back to it later, you can see that we, next to each title, are able to tell you the status of your completion.

If you started it, it will say In Progress. If you haven't started it, for example, Number 7 here, there is no information entered there, it says, Not Yet Started. So that's a cue to you that you need to start that if you want to submit the fact sheet.

You can access these by using these blue buttons on the right side. Once you start it, it will say Edit. You edit the information or add to the information you've already entered. If you haven't started it, it simply says Start.

You can also, from this screen, generate a draft of the fact sheet that you have started. If you need to save it, e-mail it to somebody, or just want to print it out, you can click the Generate New Draft. It takes a snapshot of all the data that you've entered.

You can then print it, save it to your computer, e-mail it, whatever you need to do with it. Any time you change information, you can update that to show the newest
information.
I'm not going to go through every fact sheet screen, it would take more time than we have this morning, but I did want to show an example of what these screens look like when you start the process.

This is a screen from section 2 of the personal information. Again, the Roman numerals and the headings match those in the paper fact sheet.

We are able to prefill information in certain instances based on information you've already provided. Here we've prefilled the name. You've already given that to us. You don't need to give it to us again. So we put it in there for you.

The answer types vary depending on the question. In some cases, it's simply a free text. You can type whatever you want. In other cases, it's a date range. You pick from a dropdown of rates or a radio button when there is only one of two answers allowed, for instance, male and female, use radio buttons there.

The answer types and the questions vary throughout the fact sheet. This is just an example of what these questions look like and how you can answer them.

We've talked to a number of people who wanted to ask questions about maybe they are unable to provide the answer they want. If you have questions like that, you can always
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contact us. We can work with people to change the system.
We can't change the fact sheet, of course, and we can't necessarily provide information about how you should answer a question, but we can provide technical assistance with, if you wanted to be able to type free text, we can give you a box to do that, things like that.

If you have questions like that, you can always e-mail us at the e-mail address I provided and will provide later, or call us at the number that I'll provide in a minute.

You can always upload documents at any time in this process. A number of documents are required to be submitted along with the fact sheet. You can use the upload feature. Then, to view the documents you've uploaded, you simply click on the View Documents button that you see on every screen.

I've given a couple of examples of documents here. Prescription records and medical records, that's an example of a document that a user would upload. You have that on your computer somewhere in a PDF. Say, you want to upload it. You give it a name, and you upload it, and it's there.

The top document there, it says, Plaintiff Fact Sheet. That's an example of a document that we would generate. When you submit a fact sheet and complete it, we will generate a PDF copy of that fact sheet for your records. It will be in the file whenever you need it.
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If you revise the fact sheet at any point and resubmit it, there will be a revised fact sheet in the documents.

These documents, once you submit them, are available to both sides. You can upload it at any time. The defendants can upload documents as part of the defendant fact sheet process.

So this is basically a document repository for each client.

THE COURT: How about the security of this information?
MR. WOODY: It's all secure. That's why we're so secure with the login credentials. We don't want anybody to get access to this that shouldn't have it. It's obviously sensitive.

We, at the start of this process, had a number of conversations with both the plaintiffs and defendants about our security procedures. We answered all their questions I think satisfactorily.

Our security is the best it can be. It's the top of the line for this sort of thing. That's why we don't let you share login credentials. If you forget your login credentials, we make you reset it with a computer-generated password, which is inconvenient in the short-term, but the reason for that is, because these documents are sensitive, we don't want them to be accessed by anybody who shouldn't have
access to them.
You can also search for plaintiffs and plaintiffs' facts sheets by using the search dropdown menu, and that's in that fact sheets menu we talked about earlier.

You can search for specific plaintiffs by entering their name, a part of their name, their date of birth or their Social Security number. The search results are displayed here at the bottom. You can see, we show you their results and their name and their date of birth. We also show a status of the fact sheet, whether you have submitted it or not.

So if you want a quick way to figure out which fact sheets you've submitted and which you haven't, you can use the search feature and look at the status. You can click on these header rows here to sort, so that you can quickly see who's submitted and who's not.

If you want to see your entire inventory, you can use the See Inventory tab on this screen, and it shows you a list of everybody you've entered into the system, whether you've submitted them or not.

Finally, we do have -- Mr. Davis talked earlier about pleadings and discovery. We are, I think, now added to do the ECF notifications that the Court -- that come through the Court with pleadings and orders. We will use that to download the pleadings and orders, create a virtual repository for all of those. It will automatically download.

Only the plaintiffs will have access to this, but you'll able to search for pleadings by the name, the docket number, who filed it, when they filed it. You'll also be able to search for specific text within a pleading, if you would like to do that, by using the keyword search.

As we start to get those ECF notifications, the number of pleadings will grow. Eventually, it will be essentially a mirror of the documents available in PACER.

THE COURT: So the plaintiffs, the litigants, will file in court, and you will download from the Court filing. They won't have to file with you either?

MR. WOODY: No. The only place you need to file is with the Court.

THE COURT: With the Court.
MR. WOODY: You don't have to file it again with us to make it available. We will automatically download it, save it. There is no second step.

MR. DAVIS: Except for discovery pleadings. That does not get filed with the Court.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. WOODY: The discovery part works very similarly to this, with the addition to what Lennie just said. Someone has to upload those documents because they are not filed.

But the system works the same, there's just an extra step where you have to upload those discovery documents.
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Once they are uploaded, they are available to all of the plaintiffs to view, to save, to print, whatever they need to do with them.

Finally, Your Honor, if people need to contact us, our contact information is here. Again, the website is www.MDLCentrality.com/MDL2592. We do have video tutorials available there for people to view.

You can always e-mail us at
MDLCentrality@BrownGreer.com, or call us at 804-521-7200. We will answer any questions you have. You can also set up individual tutorials for anybody who needs them.

THE COURT: Okay. I've asked Orran and Jake to remain afterwards. In the event anybody has any questions, they'll be able to contact them today and talk with them about it.

MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. We're happy to do that at any time, stay around and give help and answer questions.

The last comment I wanted to make is, is because we built this system, then the luxury is we can change the system. We want it, obviously, to be something all the parties agree to before it's changed.

There are certain things we cannot do anything about. We can't change the questions in the fact sheet. There are about 130 lawyers already using this system, and we've gotten one request that we cannot accommodate.

We had one firm, one lawyer, who does not want to
fill it out online. They want to send us a PDF of a filled-out fact sheet, which defeats the purpose of having the information all live and central.

What we're going to tell that firm is we want to work with you. If you send us that PDF, we will enter the data for you; we just have to be paid for our time to do it, on top of the system fee.

So we'll help them, but if we're going to have to do their typing for them, we'll have to work out with them getting paid for the time.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. BROWN: We'd rather people not do that. We want people to use it online. We think it's easy to use. It's just like ordering something online. But we're trying to make accommodations to meet anybody's particular needs.

THE COURT: Okay, fine.
The problem with cases of this sort, meaning MDL's, is that it is very difficult to get your hands around the whole litigation. That's important to be able to do because you have to pick bellwether cases, you have to pick discovery pools and things of that sort, which represent the whole litigation.

So if you don't know what the litigation is, what the status is in the litigation, what it entails, it's very hard to pick discovery pools, and it's very hard then to pick
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bellwether cases from those discovery pools.
So I've been working with Orran on this for a while. This is the first MDL that is using it. We may have to change it in some instances. But the thing that this allows us to do is it allows some searchability so that we are able, after the case solidifies, and we know who's in the case, I'm able to then use search terms to find out how many cases are death cases, how many cases are stroke cases, how many cases are other types of incidents, so that we can begin to figure out a way of putting them in categories so that we can select the discovery pool that represents the census of that litigation, and then from that discovery pool pick the bellwethers that represent the census of the litigation, because at that point we'll know what the census of the litigation is. This is a big tool for us and a big advance in the MDL process.

I like the concept, also, of being able to simply file it in court, and then the centrality takes it up, as opposed to having to file in both places, because in the past I've had that situation, and some people felt they just needed to file one place, but they filed with the -- in the wrong place. They didn't file it in court, they filed with the other party, and sometimes we had prescription problems.

So you don't need to do that in this situation. You just need to file in court, and then they get it from
court, and it goes to everyone.
Okay. Thank you very much. I appreciate it.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: How about the fact sheets?
MR. DAVIS: I've already addressed fact sheets, Your Honor. I won't repeat it. Obviously, just that they're due in 60 days.

I will say that we spent a lot of time, both parties have spent a lot of time talking with Orran, Jake and BrownGreer, and we appreciate their efforts. We know that it's a new system, and if there are questions or issues, hopefully they will be brought to their attention, and they can be addressed quickly.

THE COURT: Yes, that's the important thing, Orran. We've got to keep an eye out and keep an ear to the ground. If something develops, we've got to get together and talk about it and resolve that issue.

MR. DAVIS: On defendant fact sheets, I have nothing to report other than they will be due following the submission of plaintiff fact sheets.

THE COURT: Now, Jim, you all said something about in conference that you need to fine tune some aspects of it.

MR. IRWIN: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
Your Honor did issue, I think, Pretrial Orders 13 and 14 approving the PFS and the DFS.
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We were in discussions preparing a joint proposed order. We're continuing discussions. There are several paragraphs that we may be submitting to you to complement or supplement your approval orders that might deal with HIPAA protections, work product protections, that sort of thing. So we're working on that, Your Honor.

MR. DAVIS: We will be discussing that and will jointly submit something.

THE COURT: Orran, I'll read you in on this. When I get them, I'll talk with you about it, see how we can tweak it.

MR. DAVIS: Number 7, bundling of complaints. As I mentioned, the Court issued Pretrial Order 11, and we are encouraging --

THE COURT: Thank you.
MR. DAVIS: -- folks that if they have -- to take advantage of the bundling complaint, that they do so.

THE COURT: All right.
MR. IRWIN: I may just add to that, Your Honor, that we are having discussions with the PLC about managing the costs that might be associated with dismissals early or later on --

THE COURT: Right.
MR. IRWIN: -- and we'll be talking back with the Court about that.

THE COURT: Yes, it's a work in progress, and I'll be working with you all on that. I recognize the issues.
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MR. IRWIN: The PSC is also working on some forms -THE COURT: Right.

MR. IRWIN: -- in connection with bundled complaints, and encourage people that if they have questions, that they contact liaison counsel at this time.

THE COURT: I'm trying to make it as easy as possible to get into this litigation by individuals, so that we have the gravity of the ligation in the MDL, as opposed to spreading it throughout the Court systems. This is one method of doing that.

Preservation order.
MR. DAVIS: I've already addressed that, Your Honor, preservation order, the modification, earlier, in Pretrial Order 15.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. DAVIS: Furthermore, a depo notice was sent to defendants in connection with preservation issues, and we are in the process of discussing that with defendants with respect to an issue regarding preservation.

THE COURT: Document production protocols.
MR. DAVIS: Briefs were filed in connection with that in relation to the production that defendants will be making in June, starting that production, in response to the initial Request for Production of Documents.

THE COURT: Right. I got the briefs from the parties.
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Basically, the plaintiffs would like the information in native format.

Native format, of course, as we know, shows the metadata and shows who started the document, what changes, and various things. It's really a history of that particular document.

The defendant suggests that it be produced in TIFF Plus, which allows the document to be more accurately Bates stamped. Also, it's in a PDF format, so it stops the opportunity to change it and makes it a lot easier to use during depositions and during presentation in the bellwether process.

I've heard from both sides. Frankly, I find myself agreeing with both of them. I understand the feeling that the plaintiffs expressed that, under the Federal Rules, they do have an opportunity to designate which type of format the document should be presented in. They feel that they should have the document in the same form that the defendants have the document.

But I also understand the ease of marking the documents. We're dealing with millions of documents here, and so marking is very important; also, the significance of it not being able to be changed during the process of its use.

So I do agree with both of them. I would like to come up with a solution that satisfies both of their needs. It
$09: 37: 11 \quad 1$
seems to me that one way of doing that is to have the defendants produce the documents in native format and have the plaintiffs change it to the TIFF Plus format.

Then, both will have what they need. The plaintiffs will have the native format material; the defendants will have the opportunity to facilitate the use of it during depositions and during trial.

With that information, they want to go back to the drawing board and talk about it and see how they can come up with something that satisfies both of their needs. That's where we are with that issue.

MR. DAVIS: We are planning on having some discussions further, and we'll report back to the Court. We understand that the Court wants to make sure that documents are Bates numbered is one of the big concerns.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. DAVIS: With respect to discovery, I've mentioned that we've issued a first set of discovery requests for production. That's outstanding.

We also provided to defendants some draft 30 (b) (6) notices on corporate structure and insurance, and we'll have further discussions with defendants on that.

With respect to Item 11, there is an outstanding subpoena to the FDA. We have not received response from the FDA yet, but we will report as time goes on and as more
develops.
With respect to Number 12, science day, science day has been scheduled by the Court to take place here in the courtroom on June the 11th. This will be, as 1 call it, Science Day 101, the basics.

THE COURT: Right. It's really for my benefit, so that I'm able to get up to speed with the science of this particular drug.

The parties have given to me a bibliography. I'm working my way through it at this time. Science day, they will bring in their experts, and the expert will, as Lennie says, give me a 101, or even less than a 101, course in it.

They'll talk about it, use PowerPoints. They'll have an opportunity for me to ask questions and get a better feel for the science involved in this matter.

It's helpful to me because I'm going to be dealing with Daubert motions, I'm going to be dealing with motions to produce. Some background information, some basic science will at least educate me as to the significance of the material that I'll be dealing with.

It's more informal. I don't feel the need to have a court reporter. I don't feel a need to swear in the people. I'm not planning on having this in a telephone presentation, with the exception of Judge New. I've talked with Judge New. He's got an issue he was going to try to
resolve and be here, but if he can't be here, he may be able to participate by phone. I will open the phone lines for him, so that he also has access.

We're going to be working together on this. I look forward to working with him. I know he'll be a great help to me, and perhaps I can be of some modest help in his situation. But that's what we have planned to do on science day.

MR. DAVIS: The parties look forward to being able to educate both Your Honor and Judge New. We hope that we'll put on Science 101, that is, the basics. If the Court has questions, we'll be prepared to answer any of the questions. State/federal coordination. Dawn.

MS. BARRIOS: Good morning, Your Honor. Dawn Barrios for the federal/state committee.

I'd like to report to those on the phone that since our last status conference, you have appointed additional members of the committee: Gibson Vance from Beasley Allen, Mike Weinkowitz and Dan Gallucci. Both Mike and Dan are colead in Philadelphia litigation.

I'd like to start out by thanking the defendants for accommodating our request to put the information they are giving us on an Excel spreadsheet, and they've very courteously cooperated with that.

I also have received copies of all the
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complaints. I'm going to put them in a Dropbox. If Your Honor would like access to that, I would be happy to give it to you. The federal/state committee is going to work on it to try to find out who the attorneys are who have most of the cases.

Your Honor, what I've provided is just the Court facts as of yesterday. There are basically six states that have all of the cases outside of the MDL. You can see, the bulk, obviously, are in Pennsylvania.

The number of cases filed outside the MDL are 202. The number of Xarelto users on those cases are 231, but the total number of plaintiffs named are 339, because we did find some bundled complaints in other jurisdictions.

I look forward to working with the members of the committee and will be happy to answer any questions or do anything that you would like.

Your Honor, I know Judge New is going to provide his telephone number, and I will provide it to you, for the science day. His next status conference is the 20th of this month. BrownGreer is going to go make the presentation because he's interested in the centrality as well.

THE COURT: Great.
MS. BARRIOS: Thank you.
MR. IRWIN: I was just going to add that California, Illinois, and Missouri cases will be removed.

MS. BARRIOS: Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: So there is just one case in California? MS. BARRIOS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That's going to be removed?
MR. IRWIN: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Indiana, you said?
MR. IRWIN: Illinois, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Illinois, okay, and Missouri.
MS. BARRIOS: And Missouri.
MR. DAVIS: Just to follow up on some of those statistics, yesterday when I checked with the Clerk's Office here, I understand there are 586 open cases and about a dozen transfers that were in process, just so the Court is aware. THE COURT: All right.

MR. DAVIS: Proposed Case Management Order Number 2 is Item 14 on the agenda. The parties will be in discussions and have started discussions regarding Case Management Order Number 2. It's just on the agenda, and that will be worked on.

I believe that's it for the report, Your Honor. THE COURT: One thing that I do want to mention, I mention it each time, if any lawyer who is not on a committee wants to be on a subcommittee, they should contact liaison counsel, and I expect them to be on a subcommittee. If they want to do work, I want them to be able to do work.

So contact liaison counsel. If, somehow or another, they seem to be busy or can't get to you, get to the
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Court, and I'll make sure that they hear your interest in participating in the case.

One thing that I'm conscious of in a case of this sort, you have to have some structure. You have to have some order in it. We have too many lawyers involved in the case.

One case that I'm handling now had 1,400 lawyers involved in the case. This case is not that many lawyers, but there are a lot of lawyers involved in the case. You can't have everybody going in different directions. It doesn't work.

So you have to have some structure in it. I have to appoint committees, but I don't want it to be a closed shop. I don't think that's good for the process. I don't think that's good for the institution. But it has to be coordinated through liaison counsel.

This is mainly from the plaintiffs' standpoint. The defendants have their own selected lawyers to handle the case; but, the plaintiffs, any plaintiff lawyer who wants to participate should feel that they're able to participate in some form or fashion, as long as they work through the committee system.

MR. DAVIS: The PSC met yesterday. In that meeting, we specifically addressed committee structures. We are in the process of honing in to finalize those lists and will be reporting to Your Honor on that in due course.

THE COURT: My thought is that the PSC works through
subcommittees. We have a PSC member on a committee or is chair of the committee, but subcommittees are formed with people who are not on the PSC. They're formed with people who are interested in working and are not on the PSC. They're able to do that.

In the Vioxx case that I handled a while back, I had only nine people on the steering committee, but I had 106 people doing work on it, so that they were able to do work, make contribution and, of course, earn some fee on it also.

So I think that's important in the process. Otherwise, this process just dies from problems.

MR. DAVIS: I think the only item remaining, Your Honor, is the next status --

THE COURT: The next status conference is June 10th, and the following status conference is July 9th.

MR. DAVIS: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Let me see Lennie.
THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.
(WHEREUPON, the status conference was concluded at
9:47 a.m.)
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